Bibliotheca Jainica.

VOLUME IN

THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAS

ORIGINAL TEXTS AND COMMENTARIES

HTIV

introduction, translation, notes, etc.,

EDITED

WITH THE CO-OPERATION OF VARIOUS BCHOLARS

33

SARAT CHANDRA GHOSHAL, M.A., B.L.,

haravati, Kavea-Turtha, Videabhusana, Bharati,

General Editor:—"THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAR," ARRAIL





VOLUMES NOW READY,

THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAS.

Re

	440	-	
Vol I DRAVYA-SAMGRAHA, edited by	у		
S C Ghoshal, Esq, MA, BL	,		
(Library edition)	. 5	8	c
Vol II —TATTVARTHADHIGAMA SÜTR.	A		
edited by J. L. Jami, Esq., M.A.		1 8	3 0
Vol III -PANCHASTIKAYASARA, edited b	y		
Prof A Chakravarti, Esq, MA	٠,		
LT	٠ ،	} {	0
Vol IV — PURUSHÂRTHA SIDDHYUPÂYA	Α,		
edited by Pandit Ajita Prasad, Esq	١.		
MA,LLB		4 :	3 0

APPLY TO

THE CENTRAL JAINA PUBLISHING HOUSE,

Presided by Bishambher Nath Bhargava at the Standard Press Allahabad VOL. III

श्रीपञ्चास्तिकायसार । THE BUILDING OF THE COSMOS

OF

PAÑCHÂSTIKÂYASÂRA

(THE FIVE COSMIC CONSTITUENTS)

BY

SVAMI SRI KUNDAKUNDACHARYA

EDITED WITH

Philosophical and Historical Introduction, Translation, Notes and an Original Commentary in English

BY

PROF. A. CHAKRAVARTINAYANAR, M.A, LT,

Senior Lecturer in Blental & Moral Philosophy,

Presidency College, Madras



FUELISHED EY
RUMAR DEVENDRA PRASADA
THE CENTIAL JAINA PUBLISHING HOUSE
ARRAH (INDIA), 1920.



महलं भगवान् यीरो, महलं गीनमोगणा । भगलं कृद्कुंदायो जैनयमेरित् महलं ॥ Blessed be the Edocable Vira Blessed be the Epostle Gautama Blessed be the Saint Hundakunda Blessed be the Jaina religion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The Historical Intro	oduction			Page
The Age of Kundakı	anda or Elaci	barya		i—x
A philosophical Intr	oduction -	•••		xvi—xxi
Jaina Physics	•••	` 		xxiî—xxv
The Conception of I	dotion	•••		xxv—xxvii
Jaina Metaphysics	•••	`		xxviii
Kåla	•••	•	•••	ib.
The Six Dravyas	•••	•••	•••	zxiz
Dravya Guna and Pa	ryáya	•••		xxlx
Jaina Biology	***	•••		xxxii
Prânas	•••	•••	•••	xxxiii
Different Kinds of B	erths	•••	•••	ib.
Microscopic Beings	***	•••	•••	ib.
Jaina Psychology	•••	•••	•••	xxxv
Soul and Body		٠		*xxxvi
Sensations and Sense	Organs	•••		xxxvii
Analysis of Sensation	19			xxxviii
Sense Perception	•••	• •	• •••	xxxix
Jāāna or Knowledge	•••	•••	•••	xl
Affection	•••	•••		ib.
Conation or the Cons	ciousness of	Action	•••	zlii
Jaina Logic	•••	•••	•••	, xliii
Knowledge and the C	bject of Kno	wledge (Jūāna	and	
Jūeya)	•••	•••	•••	xlvi
Saptabhanga—Jaioa	Logic	•••	•••	, li
Works by Sri Kundal	-	•••	•••	lxxxv
English Translation o Gathås (Text), Not			rakrit 	1-179





PROF A CHARRAVARTI VIA I I Senior Lecturer 12// losof Pre idei ev College Viar

THE HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

The Age of Kundakunda or Elacharya.

Sri Kundakundacharya the author of our work was a very famous Jaina Philosopher and theologium. He was also a great organiser of Religious institutions. His name is held in great veneration especially by the Digambara Section of the Jainas. Many great religious teachers claimed it in honour to trace their lineage from the great teacher kundakunda. Several inscriptions that are found in South Judic and Misses salaring. So Jaina teachers begin with Kundakundancaja.—of the line of kundakunda Students of Jaina literature are familiar with such phrases as the following.—

Sri Kundakunda Gurubattabarambai axam .

Srt Kundal unda Santhanam .

Sri Kundakundakya munindra vimsa

These are some of the phrases claimed by Jaina writers such as Sakalabhusana author of Uppidesaratamala, Vasunand, author of Upasahadhyanam, Brahma nem, data of Aurathana Kathakosha Iostaoces may be multiplied without number, for showing the important place occupied by our author in the hierarchy of Jaina tearhers

Some of the epithets employed to chreaterise him are also significant of his great importance. Vuunidra—the Indra among the ascetics, Vunnchakratali—the emperor among the munis, Kaundess—Lord Kunda, are familiar designations of the great teacher

The personality of this great teacher as is generally the case with world famous individuals is lost in obscurity and shrouded with traditions. We have to depend upon so many written and oral traditions to have a glimpse of this great person. The early history of India is but a string of speculations and even as such there are very many gaps. Under these circumstances, we have to be very cautious about the history of our author

11

The one great landmark in the chronelogy of Indians Chandra gupta Maurya This great emperor of Magadha is not only referred to in the various literary works of India but is also mentioned by foreign historians especially the Greeks This emperor Chandra Gupta especially is of peculiar interest to the students of the early history of the James Lewis Rice and Dr F W. Thomas have done consi derable service to Indian History by cautiously interpreting several available facts, archæological and epigraphical, relating to that period 'The early faith of Asoka and the migration of Bhadrabahu with Chandrigupta are now accepted facts of History tendency among European scholars to post date the historical events and persons ralating to India is a just antidote to the thantastic and legendary notions of indigenous writers who generally measure time by millemums. Nevertheless we have to point out that the orientalist have sometimes overreached their work. They generally proceed on the assumption that writing is a late acquisition in Indian civilization The learned arguments put forward on Panins by Goldsticker to undermine this assumption have been before the learned public for some decades. The excavations of Jaina stupas at Mathura and Mr K P Jayaswal's discovery of Konika's Statue with the inscriptions try to set back the pendulam of Indian chronology to an earlier period Speaking about the Jama stupas Sir Vincent Smith strates as follows a ---

'The assumption has generally been made that all edifices in this stupa form are Buddhist. When the inscription under discussion was executed not later than 157 A.D., the Vodva stupa of the Jainas at Mathura was already so ancient that it was regarded as the work of the gods. It was probably therefore erected several centuries before the Christian era.

Again says he,

'Assuming the ordinarily received date B C 527 for the death of Mahayira to be correct the attainment of perfection by that saint may be placed about B C 550. The restoration of the stupa may be dated about 1300 years later or A D 150. Its original erection in brick in the time of Parasyanath the predecessar of Mahayira would fall at a date not later than B, C 600 considering the significance of the phrase in the inscription "built by the god, as indicating that the building at about the beginning of the Christian era was believed to date from a period of mythical antiquity the date B, C 600 for its

erection is not too early Probably therefore this stupe of which Dr Fuhrer exposed the foundations is the oldest known buildings in India

When we take these historic discoveries with the Jaina traditions that a number of Tirthankaras preceded Lord Mahavira we may not be altogether wrong in supposing that adherents of Jama faith in some form or other must have existed even anterior to Valianua and that Mahavira himself was more a reformer than the founder of the faith. If there vere June influential enough to build stupes in honour of their saints even anterior to 600 B C will it be too much to suppose that the followers of this religion might lave existed in South India even before Badrahahus migration to the south In fact it stand to reason to suppo e that a large lods of ascetics on account of a terrible famine in the north inigrated to a country where they would be welcomed by their devoted co religionists. If the south were instead of a friendly territory waiting to receive the Sangha of learned ascetics a land populated with strangers and of alien faith Bhadrabahu would not have ventured to take with him into strange land a large body of ascetics who would depend entirely upon the generous of the people. The Isioa tradition that the Paodya King of the South was a Jama from very early times and that Bhadrabahu expected his hospitality might have some historical background

Up to the time of Bhadribahu's migrition there was no split in the Jaina fold. That the schism of the Svetambaras arose obout the time of Bhadribahu I on account of the hardsfup, of the faining is more than probable. This fact is evid-oced by the complete absence of Swetambaras in the Deccan and South India. The Jains in the South and Mysore always claim to be of Mulasangha the original congregation.

Ooe other interesting fact, is the Migration of the Digambara from the south to the north for the purpose of religious propaga dism. One point of agreement comes out clearly and is note worthy, ie the direction of the Digambara in gration. It was from the south to the north from Bhadalpur to Dellu and Jaipur. This agrees with the opinion that the Digambara separation originally tool place as a result of the migration southwards under Badrabahu in consequence of a severe famine in Bebar the original home of the undivided Jaioa Community (Prof. A. F. Rudolf Hoernle. Ind.)

Ant. Vol. XXI. Three further Pattavalis of the Digambaras, pp. 60 and 61.)

Professor Hoernle says that he has not been able to identify Bhadalpur. It is no other than Patalipura or Patalipura which is the old oame of Thiruppappuliyur or modern Cuddalore (Reports on the Archeological Survey of India, Vol. 1906-07—Article on the Pallavas In V. Venkryya) suggests that it is not the above place and identifies it with Turwadi a place near Panruti with many Jirina antiquities, and remains. This is only a matter of detail But still the reason given by V. Venkryya is not quite sound. The fact that Pathiripulyur is mentioned in Devaram as sacred to God Siva will not conflict with its being also the centre of the Jainas.

Now this Bhadalpur or Patalipura is associated with our author Sri Kundakunda as we shall show later on

Before we proceed further let us make sure about the age in which he lived and worked. For this we have clear evidence furnished in the several Pattavalis preserved by the Jamas both Digambaras and Swetambaras. After Mahavira there had been a succession of teachers as shown below —

Year
12
12
38
14
16
22
19
29
10
15
17
18
21
17
18
13
20
14
14

			Years.
IV -Eleven Augins	Nakshatra	•••	18
	Jayapulaka	•••	20
	Pandara	***	39
	Dbruvasena	•	14
	Kamsa		32
			· '
	Total		465
			Years.
V Minor-Angues .	Subbadra	.,,	6
	Yasobhadra		18
	Bhadrhahu, II.		

In the year 2 after the Acharya Subhadra's (accession to the partificate), the birth of Vikrama took place; and in the year 4 of Vikrama's reign Bhadrababhi 11 took his seat on the pontificial chur. Further succession will be evident from the following table:—

"Indian Antiquary" Vols. XX and XXI The several Patta-

31

		Remarl s	!	He was a Brah ara by caste	1 Panwar by caste	1 Sala by caste		15 He had four other names (ablidua)	dın, Vakrıgrıvı Gridhrapichchin, Elichirya
-	I		Digs			٠,	6	12	
l	Foth		susmold	Ξ	7	8	6	95 (10	
		_	srea (36	ç	+	65	S	
Inter	caltry dry s	_		m	v	+	m	۲,	
,	_ 1		Days	13	55	ş	9	2	
	Pontuff		/louthe	9	9	+	6	2	
l			7 6318	21	6	+	9	51	
1		_	Pase	_					
l	Monk		Months				2		
١			Year	8	<u>.</u> ج	2	32	25	
١	lier i	1	,40			_			
١	House holder	1	Month.				~		
1	Non	1	2755 6	Ä	31 22	=	7,	=	_
١	รธ		Christian	33 53	31	•=	17	90	
	Dates of		זר זוחר	÷.		υ % τ 7	146 to 14	رم.	
ļ	១ខ្ព		S,	5	26	-			
				· ==		_		:	
			\ 1000	1 marketete 11	հար լ գսրլո	Magharadia	4 functional, I	5 Kurdikundı	
		3 4 .	Sental re-	-	C1	•	+	٠,	

If we take this date 8 B C as the reliable date of his accession to the pontificial chair then the date of his hirth would be about 52 B C For, only in his forty fourth year he became pontiff or an achary:

What is his birthplace and scene of his activities? With regard to his birthplace we have no better evidence. Here allowe have to depend upon traditions-oral and written. Let us see whether we can have any useful information from these traditions. In a work called Punyasravakatha Sri Kunda Kundacharva's life is cited as an example for Shastradana or gift of Shastras The account is as follows -In Bharatha Khanda in Dakshanadesa there was a district called Pidatha Nadu. In a town called Aurumarai in this district there lived a wealthy vaishya by name Karamunda. His wife was Srimati They had a con-herd who tended their cattle. His name was Wathwaran One day when he was driving his cattle to an adjoining forest he saw to his great surprise that the whole forest was consumed by forest fire except a len trees in the centre which retained the luxuriant green foliage. This roused his curiosity and he went and inspected that place. There he found the dwelling place of some great Viuni and also a how containing the Agamas or the fam Scriptures - Hitterate as he was he attributed the safety of the spot to the presence of the Agamas which he carried home with great awe and reverence. He put up the Agamas in a sanctified place of his master's house and continued to worship the same dady

Sometime after, a religious monk visited their house. He was effered Bhiksha with great veneration by that wealthy viashya Juchenn this cow herd also offered the Aganas to the great Rish. On account of these gifts—food from the Master and the Scripture from the servant—the Rishs was very much pleased and blessed them both The Master of the house since he had no children was to have an intelligent son and the old and faithful servant would be born as the promised son of the house. The happy event came to pass and the son born to the family became a great philosopher and religious teacher. This was our author. Sir kunda kunda

The story further turns upon his refigious tours. The mention of his name as the wisest of mortals in the Samarasarana of Sree Maotbaraswam in Purvavdeba, the visat of the two Charanas to verify the fact, his supposed irreverence to them on account of his

concentration, the return of the Charanas in disgust, the explanation of the misunderstood event the reconciliation between the Charanas and Sri Kunda Kunda and the latter's visit to the Sanavasarana with the Charanas are all incidents mentioned in detail. Further as the fruit of his previous gift of Shastra he became a great leader of thought and organiser of institutions. Finally he secured the throne of Achar, a and thus spent his life in usefulness and glory.

There is another account of his life given in "Runda Rundachar) a Charitar" a pamphlet published in Digamber Jain office, Surat. According to this his birth place is in the country of Malwa. His parents are mentioned as Rundasreshts and Rundalata. The young boy hunda hunda was apprenticed to religious teacher for the purpose of education. Early in life he showed an ascetic disposition and therefore he was ordained as a monk and admitted into the Singha. The latter part of the story is almost identical with that of the previous one.

Both these versions appear to be quite legendary. The latter judged from the names of the parents is evidently a latter construction by some imaginative mind based upon the name of the hero kunda kunda. The places mentioned in the former story are not easily identifiable. The only reliable information there perhaps is that the author belongs to Dakshana Desa. Waiving these two stories as of no material use we have to depend more upon circum stantial evidence. Emphasis must be laid upon the fact that Sri Kunda kunda belonged to Dravida Sangha.

'La communaute digambara portrait le nom de mula sangha Un synonyme de co terme parait. Etre Dravila Sangha, qui ne signifie sans doubte nen de plus que communaute "des pays dravidiens " Le mula sangha comptait pluseurs sectes dont la plus importante Etait le Kunda hunda anvaya (-p. 42 Introduction, Reportaire Epigraphie Jaina)

This suggestion of Guerinot's based upon circumstantial evidence is a useful cue for our purpose

is a useful cuc for our purpose

We have to collect further evidence if possible to corroborate the
theory that our author belonged to the Dravidian country.

(I) In an unpublished manuscript trest ug about . Mantra Lalahna wa haye the following slova —

Dakshana desi mal yé hamagra me munir mahatmhair Flachirro nimna drayilagani—dhiso dhiman This sloka is interesting to us. The work treats about a female disciple of Elacharya, who was possessed of a Brahma Rakshasa. This possessed disciple was no doubt well versed in Shastras but would get up on the summit of a small hill called Nilagiri by the side of the village, Hemagranu in which Elacharya lited, and would laugh and weep alternately with all hystorical violence. She is said to have been circled by Elacharya with the help of Jwalamalini Mantra. Fortunately we are able to identify all the places mentioned in the above sloka.

Malaya is the name of that part of the Madras Presidency comprised by partion of North Arcot and South Arcot traversed by the Eastern ghats. The talok of Kalla Kurichi, Tiruvannamah and Wandewash prihaps form the central trict of this Malaxa. Hema grama which is the Sanskritised form of Ponnur which is a village near Wandewash. Close to this village, there is a hillock by the name. Nilagin. On the top of this hillock on a rock there are even now the foot prints of Elacharya who is said to have performed his tapas thereon. Even now pilgrims frequent this village once in a year, to perform paya to the foot prints. Further the sloka mentions Elacharya to be Dravidagana disa. We know very well that Elacharya, is unother well known name for Kunda Kunda.

Now this Elecharya is recording to Jama tradition the author of the great Tumil classic "Thiruklura". This is written in the lold indigenous, Venba metre of Tamil language. According to the Jama tradition this work was composed by Elacharya and given away to his disciple "Thiruvalluvar who introduced it to the Madura Sangha. This version is not altogether improbable. Because even the non jama tradition about the author of Thiruvallurar function about the author of Thiruvallurar function makes Thiruvallurar funcelf the author of the work. He is claimed to be a Saivite by faith and valluva by birth. His birth place is said to be Thirumalian or Mylapuri or the modern Mylapore the southero part of the city of Madras. The work was composed under the patronage of one Elala Singh, who was evidently the literary patron of Thiruvallurar.

This Flala Singh of the Hindu Tradition may be merely a variation of Elacharya. Thiru allowar figures in both the triditions in the one as the author and in the other the introducer before the Sangha. That Mylapuri, had a famous Jain timple dedicated to

Nemnath Vide Tamil work." Trunur ruanthathi," and that it was a sert of Jaina culture is well evidenced by literary remains and antiquarian facts preserved in South India. Though the work is clumed by different religionists—Swattes Buddhists and Jains, though there is no authentic record as to the exact faith of the author still an unbiassed study of the work itself with the special view as to the technical terms employed in the couplets and the doctrine, religious and moral embodied in the work will constrain one to conclude that it is a treatise evidently based upon the moral principle of Vitariaga the corner stone of Jainism. The praise of Agriculture as the noblest occupation is consistent with the traditions of the Vellalas, the landed anistocracy of South India, who were evidently the exiliest adherents to Jaina faith in this part of the country.

This identification of Llachrya the author of Kural with Elacharya or Kunda Kunda would place the Tamil work in the 1st century of the Christian era This is not altogether improbable Dr. G. U Pope would bring it down to a period later than the 8th century There is no sufficient historic evidence for his belief. He is actuated by his personal bias that such a sublime work embodying highest moral ideals could not be due to the indigenous Dravidian culture alone, hat must have been influenced by Christianity brought here by the early Christian Missionaries The tradition about St. Thomas lends weight to the supposition. There is nothing to show from the internal evidence that the author of the nork was aware of Chris transty. The doctrines treated therein are found widely scattered in Tamil literature especially in those works composed by Jains such as 'Naladıyar' 'Aranericharam 'Pazamozi' 'Elathı', etc. One who is acquainted with Tamil literatore will not grudge the authorship of Kural to purely drividian scholars and moralists who are uninfluenced by foreign culture. Hence we may believe with very great prohability that, Clacharya the author of Kural was ideotical with Kunda Kunda the author of Prabhrita traya, and that he lived about the beginning of the 1st entiry A. D

This identification of Elacharya the author of Kural with Kunda Kunda brings in another important point of historical interest. It is an acknowledged fact that Karal is anterior to Silappadikaram and Maninickhela The former was, written by 'Ilangoradigol' the

younger brother of 'Singuttuman Seran the Chera King of Vangi The latter work which is merely the continuation of the story of Silappadikarani was written by kulawanikan Sattanar a contemporary and friend of Ilangovadi. During the pratialita of Devi temple (Silappadikarani) Grynhbhahu I of Ceylon was present according to Mahavansa he reigned abo it 113 A. D. Kural therefore mus be anterior to this date, so this also goes to corroborate the age of Elacharya or kunda kunda

All these scattered facts of traditions and literary remains produce cumulative evidence to establish that our author was of Dravidian origin. That he was the feader of the Dravidian Sangha and that he was evidently highly cultured in more than one language. This use of the word 'Dravida in the 'Dravida' Sangha must have a specific reference to the Jainas of South India the Vellalas of the ancient Tamil literature, who strictly followed 'foollavratam or Ahimsa dharma and it is further evidenced by the popular use of the word in the compound 'Dravida brahmius who are strict vegetarians as contrasted with 'Gouda brahmius. It is a well known fact that the strict vegetarians in daily life of South Indian Brahmius who nevertheless perform Yagams tovolving animal sacrifice is a heritage from early Jaina colture in South India

The early kingdoms of South India were the Cheras, the Cholas and the Pandyas It is a well known historical lact pertaining to South India that the Pandyas were Jains and were patrons of Jainism They changed their faith only during the Swate revival effected by Appar and Sundarar about the 8th century. That the Cheras were also Jains can be inferred from Silappadikana another great Tamil classic written by a Jains scholar the jounger brother of the Chera king 'who was a contemporary of Cajabathu of Ceylon). The Cholas were also off and on the Patrons of Jains though in later days they were as ociated with Sinattism. These three kingdoms, were known about the time of Asoka. The court language in all the three was probably Tamil. Can we suppose that Sri Kunda Kunda belonged to any one of these kingdoms. Our above discussion would lead us to such a supposition and yet there is an important stumbling bloch in our way.

The work that is translated here is in Prairit Further all the commentators of 'Prabhautatrava -

Pauchastikaya Pravachanasara and Samayasara—mention the fact that these works were written by Kunda Kundacharya for the benefit of his royal disciple Shivakumara Maharaja. Who this Shiva Kumara Waharaja was and over what kingdom he ruled the commentators are silent about. We are once again compelled to have recourse to his pothesis. This Siva Kumara Maharaja must have been a follower of Jania faith and must have had prakrit as his court language. Further he must have been somewhere in the south in order to have Sri Kunda Kunda as his religious teacher. This name does not occur in the dynastic geneology of the three. Tamil Kingdoms—the Cheras the Cholas and the Pandyas. Further there is no evidence that any prince of these dynastics had prakrit as his court language.

Before we proceed to state our theory we have to dispose of the theory proposed by $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Path} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{k}$ as to the identification of Sivakumara Maharaja

The Indian Antiquary Vol XIV, 1885 page 15 Kunda Kunda was one of the most celebrated Jama authors The works attributed to him are the Prabhritasara the Pravachanasara the Samaya-ara the Kayanasara and the Dvadasanupreksha

These are all written in Jana Prakrit Balachandra the commentator who lived before Abhinava Pampa says in his introductory remarks on the Prabhritasara that Kunda Kundacharya was also called Padmannidi and was the preceptor of Sivi Kumara Maharaja I would identify the king with the early Kadamba King Sri Vijaya Siva Mirgesa Maharaja. For in his time the Jainas had already been divided into the Nirgranthas and the Svetapatas and kunda kunda uttacks the Svetapata sect when he says in the Pravachanasara that women are allowed to wear clothes because they are incapable of attaining Nirvana.

' Chitte Chinta majathumba tasım na pivvapam चिते चितामाया तम्हा तासि र्णाणस्याल :

Another interesting fact that we learn from his works is that, in the time of this author Jainism had not spread far and wide in these parts and that the body of this people worshipped Vislinu for he tells us in the Samayasara

स्रोवसमणाण मेर्च सिम्घत पिंड ण दिग्सदि विसेसा । स्रोगम्म पुण्दि विषष्ट समग्राण ऋग्यहो। युण्दि ॥ Conjecturam for purposes of study Scholars thronged there for the purpose of being recognised at the Pallava Court Mayura Sarma one of the early founders of the Kadamba dynasty went to the Pallava capital for the purpose of completing his education in the Sacred lore It was there that he quarrelled with the master of the horses who was a Kshatriya Mayura Sarma a brahmin by birth vowed that even a brahmin could handle the weapons of warfare with skill and could found a k ngdom Thus arose the Kadamba dynasty Thus the glory of Conjecturam-the Pallava capital must have wide spread about the 2nd century A D The Fings of Conjeepuram as patrons of learning must have encouraged philosophical discussion among the representa tives of the different religious sects -the Hindus Buddhists and lans Taking part in such religio philosophical discussions must necessarily have a reaction on the pe sonal faith of the kings the early centuries of the Christian era, proselytising was a common factor among the rival religionists Great religious leader of different denominations went about from country to country con verting kings and people. Thus we hear from Jaina History that Samantabhadrasunmi visited Conjeepuram and cooverted Siva Koti Maharaja who became Sivi Koti Muni the disciple and succes sor of Samantabhadra Still later towards the 8th century, Akalanka visited the capital defeated the Buddhists in open philosophical contests and converted Himaseethala the then Buddhi t king It is not improbable therefore that the Pallava kings at Conjecturam during the 1st century of this era were patrons of Jaina Religon or were themselves Jamas by faith

We also know from several ep graphical records that they had Prakin as their court language. What is known as the Mayidavolu grant is an important document for South Indian History. It is in Prakint with the exception of the last verse which is the closing mangila in Sanskit. The body of the grant is in a prakintic dialect which comes close to the literary Pali, but shows also a number of peculiarities and divergent forms Dr. Buhler, Lp. Indica. Vol. 1, p. 2. Come nearer to the usage of the Janua and Maharashtri dialectics than to that of the Pali and older inscriptions. It is issued by the Pallava King Siva. Skandavarma of Conjeepuram Porther it may be proteed out that the grant resembles in many particulars the Juna inscriptions from Mathira. The use of the word 'Siddham' in the beginning of the grant as well as in the

it refers to a highly civilised and cultured race. There must be some other reason therefore for the application of the name to the Pallavas. It may be merely a contruction of Pallakadavar" a people of the Palakada another seat of Pallava government

Waving these two hypothesis as improbable may we not suppose a much closer alliance between the people of the Thondamandalam and Andhras or the Andrabhrityas who succeeded the Maurya. The term 'Thonda in tam'i means 'Service 'Thondar' may simply mean those who serve and may be talen as the Tamil Translation of Andrabhityas. The Pillavas or the Thonder therefore may merely a section of the Andhras. Settled in the south who inherited that particle of the Andhra Ingdom either by matrimony or by right. This theory that the Pillavas were as indigenous race with a very high culture and civilization men related to Andhrabhrityas is highly prohible and is corroborated by other circumstantial evidence.

This digression into the history of the Pallavas we have had because such a political environment is required for our author Sti Kunda Kunda Acharya according to the available data about his life We may therefore conclude that Sri Kunda Kunda Acharya a wrote his Prabhrita Trija for one Siva kumari Maharaja who was most probably the same as Siva Shanda Varma of the Pallava dynasty

Several worl - are attributed to Sri Kunda Kunda Acharva

- 1 Prabhrua trua (The three Prabhruas)
- 2 Shatpahudam
- 3 Niyamasara etc
- Of these the first three, Panchastikava Pravachanasara and Samayasara are the best known and most important. The work that is offered in translation here is the first of these three Panchastikaya Prabhrita which treats about the five cosmic constituents.

A PHILOSOPHICAL INTRODUCTION

PANCHASTIKAYASARA.

IAINISM-ADYNAMIC REALISM

When the European scholars first began to study Indian Philosophy and Indian Literature they were peculiarly sympathetic towards the idealistic sy tems of India. These scholars were brought up in the tradition of Kant and Hegel Kant in con tructing his critical philosophy emphasised the phenomenality of our experience in general. The external word including the starry lieavens above was merely a fictitious creation of the human mind according to its own forms and categories.

Roused from his dogmatic slumber by Hume Lant began to inquire as to the possibility of a true metaphysics. Hume's analysis of experience ended in throwing overboard the fundamental conceptions of experience. The reality of the Self, the objective world and even the certain law of causation were all said to be fictitious concents based upon psychological habits but having no rational foundation From such a scentical shipwreck Kant attempted to save metaphysics Waiting the earlier methods as dogmatic he introduced his own critical philosophy. The main characteristic of this sy tem is the activity of the mind as opposed to the passive tubula rasa of the Lockeran system Nature is due to the co operation of sense materials and the activity of mind. Forms of space and time and the categories of the understander from the a briori constitutive elements of experience knowledge is confined to such an experience which is phenomenal This phenomenal world or the world of appearance is but an island surrounded by the unknown ocean of noumenal reality. This may be taken to be the foundations of modern idealism

No doubt Kant strongly repudrated the suggestion that his system was idealistic. It is true that he posited the existence of the thing in treeff But this realm of incumental reafity remained unknown and unknowable. It was preserved only because of Kants personal prediliction hence it was the very first thing to be rejected by his successors.

Through the influence of Hegel the German idealism secured an inordinate potency. Peculiarly gifted with g nius for system building Hegel wrought out his system of idealism based on Kanthan traditions. The thing in itself was got rid of as an empty abstraction. Reality was identified with concrete experience. Thus the metaphysical dualism of hand was converted toto an idealistic monism. According to this Hegelian conception reality is equal to the Absolute conscious ness. All fin te things and persons are reduced to merely adjectives or qualities of this vholute which is of the nature of the spirit. It is this pirit that is the reality of which all other things of our ordinary experience are mere appearances.

Be ides the idealistic monism of Germany there was another innorthal aspect of thought the determined the trend of modern ideas. Herbert Spencer worked out a scheme of cosmic evolution in his synthetic system. This Spencerian philosophy also in its own way contributed to metaphysical monism and phenomenality of experience, hence the philo ophy of the 19th century, especially towards the last quarter, had a predominent note of monism. This general proneness towards a monistic interpretation in we tick thought must have determined the attitude of the West towards Indian thought. Naturally were European scholars like Max Uniter and Deussen sympathetically attracted by the metaphysical flights of Sandara and Ramnning. The uncompromising monism of Vedan's was admired as the fruit of oriental culture.

The philosophical attitude is never constant. It has always been unfulating between idealism and realism. In the West there is observed a distinct set back to idealism. The Eoglish philosophers like Bradles and Bosai quet who inherited the traditions of Hegel and who practically ruled the philosophical world are now openly challenged. This revolt against idealistic influence has manifested through different channels. Pragmitism championed by James and Detrey has exposed the hollowness of a harren Intellectualism.

The Hegels in do trice of the identity between Thought and Reality and the consequent criterion of Truth in the form of self coherence and self consistency are openly condemned as perincious metaphy is "The will to believe is recognised as an important factor in knowledge Experimental verification of thought

which is the method followed in Science is hailed as the true model for philosophy. Thought is studied in its true concrete setting. In as much as it is merely an instrument to secure greater efficiency of life, its value is entirely determined by its utility. Eveo Scientistis like Mach and Poincare acknowledge this instrumentality of thought. Concepts are only contenient fictions to comprehend Nature.

From within Octord University itself three has spring up a philosophical schism which boldly questions the partensions of the Absolute. Schiller and Rashdan, Struti and others attack the Hegelian stronghold. The Absolute is condemned because it neither satisfies the pholosophical curiosity nor appeals to religious consciousness.

From France and Italy we hear a similar protest from Bergson and Croce. The former repudiating the Hegelian Absolute builds up a theory of the Universe based upon evolutionism. He too condemns intellect as inadequate to apprehead the inner nature of reality. His antagonism to Intellectualism is carried to on uncompromising resolt against even scientific and philo ophical constructions in general. He appeals to intuition as the only means of getting at Reality. Similarly Croce tries to separate what is Iving Irom what is dead, in Hegel Thus on all sides this German Idealism is being oss-united. But the most dangerous opponent of Idealism is come in the form of New Realism.

From an unexpected source there has come opposition. The Science of Mathematics whose alleged weakness was the strength of Kaulian Idealism has asserted its own right to challenge metryphysics. The mathematical discoveries of Cantor, Peano, and Frege have once for all reclaimed certain fundamental Mathematical notions such as the concepts of infinity and continuity from the unwarranted criticisms of metaphysicians. As Mr. B. Russell clearly points out, modern Idealism must once for all relinquish its Kaulian basis. It can no more depend upon the so called demonstration offered by Kaul as to the imposs-bility of real space and time.

This wave of realism is further intensified by the fact that it is intimately associated with modern Science. The traditional Hegehan idealism of the West has been peculiarly adverse to the interest of Science. It may be safety asserted that a system of

metaphysics with does not take into consideration the methodand achievement of modern Science is so far self-condemned. Nobody can be blind to the claim of Science to be a safe means for
revealing truth. Its claim is so wonderfa'lly substantiated by its
achievements, that we may say that modern life and modern
thought are mainly the result of modern Science. So much so any
system of Metaphysics which aspires to secure the open sesame
to unlock the secrets of reality must not openly conflict with modern
Science. The new realism therefore is in noble company.

When we are aware of this changed attitude in modern thought we are naturally stimulated to examine similar phylosophical attitudes in the past.

What is placed before the students of philosophy herein is due to such a sympathetic scrutiny of the past. The Jaina system of thought is so peculiarly consistent with modern realism and modern science, that one may be tempted to question its antiquity. Still it is a fact, that such a system flourished in India severol centuries before the Christian era.

The author of the work who is translated in the following pages lived in the 1st century B. C. Hence it is one of the entliest treatises on Jaina Thought. But the author was not the organizor of this system The aneakalatada of the Jains must be certainly older than Mahavira' who is believed to have revived Janism.

The realistic tendency in oriental philosophy is not peculiar to Janism. From the very early days, we find this principle of interpreting life and the Universe running side by side with the idealistic one. During the Vedic period we find nothing but gross form of Realism. The Vedic Gods were but magnified human beings sharing all the weaknesses and foibles peculiar to mankind. When sacrifices were offered to Indra or Agui or Vayn or Varuna with chanting of hymos, there could be no trace of any suspicion as to the reality of the world around. Not only the world of Nature was taken to be real but many of its element were imaged after man. No doubt we find a unifying tendeocy as an undercurrent of the Vedic thought. No doubt the Vedic Deas were subordinated to the one creative principle of the Universe—Projapati.

But this wonderful period of primitive culture is followed by a barren age of sheer ceremonialism. The period of the Brah-

manas is marked by sacrificial technique. Elaborate formulæ were Invented for the conduct of sacrifices. Ceremonialism took the place of Poetic effusions. This led to the ascendency of the priestly class. The sacrificial master or Yajaman has to engage his priests, paying heavy fees or dakshanas. Religious devotion during this period degenerated into petty commercialism. But this state of things did not last long. While the priests were further elaborating the ceremonial formulæ the work of investigating the true nature reality was taken up by another band of thinkers. By this time the homogenious Aryan tribe split up into different castes. Of these sects the Kshatingas or the warrior class have learned the secrets of Reality. They have introduced a new philosophical cult known as Brhmavidya. The Brhmavidy a must have originally referred to certain spiritual intu-tions obtained through introspection. Man discovered himself for the first time. The inner spiritual principle, the Atman is taken to be the Reality. It is neither the body nor the senses. It is something behind and beyond the corporeal frame. It is that which hears but is not heard. It is that which sees but is not seen. It is that which makes the operation of the senses possible while itself is beyond sense-apprehen-sion. This spiritual principle was indifferently called Alman or Brhaman. Like the Pythogorians of Greece, the Indian thinkers kept their metaphysical cult as a secret. The Kshatrijas who were the discoverers and custodians of the New Thought imparted it only to the deserving few. This upanishadic cult, for so was it named, soon replaced the earlier ceremonialism. The Jaina-kinda superseded the Karma-kanda as the path to Self-realisation Even the priests, discounting their ceremonial technique, flocked to the Royal courts to be initiated into the new mysteries. Thus the age was one of intense discussion, research and self-introduction. During this period again, we have the seeds of the different philosophical systems constructed in the succeeding period. No doubt No doubt he attempted reconciliation between the old and the new. In his hands the new wisdom appeared as distinctly monistic. But that current which is evidently the source of the later. Vedantie stream was only one of the many currents of the Upanishadic wisdom. This is very well substantiated by the different systems constructed subsequent to the age. The philosophical systems in India are mainly of two classes, the orthodox and the heretic. The six

Darshanas Purva mimamsa, Uttara mimamsa or Vedanta, Sankhy Yoga, Nyaya Viseshika—constitute the orthodox systems. The here tical systems are Buddhism. Jamson, the philosophy of the Châriakas and Brhaspatyas. Of course this classification does not mean anything but that it was made by Brahminical scholars 'orthox' and 'heretical are term, mainly based upon the attitude to wards the Vedas. Kapila Sinkhya is Viristara and is allied to Jamism and Puddhi m in its opposition to Vedic Sacrifices. Purva mimamsa or Vedanta rejects the creator and creation as Vyaudharic and hence the result of V ja. There is a good deal of truth in the statement that it is merely Buddhism in disguise. Hence the traditional classification is justified neither by pholo ophical nor religious.

Of these different systems which repere ent the post upanishadic thought the Vedanta alone has become prominent. But students who study impartially the other systems will realise the importance of their contributions to Indian thought and culture

The supremacy of Vedun's over the systems which are to a very great extent realistic is no a thing to congratulate ourselves. For, Realism is generally allied to science and many of the scientific theories pertaining to the constitution of the 1 hysical world are resociated with these realistic selections the atomic theory of the Ayaya and Viseshika is hools. Idealism even in the West either openly or covertly has been antagonistic to the intere its of Science. I ence any attempt to e cape from the hypotic illusion of a power full Idealism is to be welcomed by impartial students of Science and, Philesophy.

It is not possible for us to examine in detail the several real estic schools of Indian Thought. Hence we shall confine ourselves to Jana Philosophy which is generally neglected by many and mis understood by the few who attempt to speak on it

JAINA PHYSICS

We have referred to the contribution to Indian Wisdom by the Warriors. By their plain living and high thinking they led to the eman-ipation of human intellect from the thraldom of barren ritualism. While the priest craft was engaged in designs samifice after sacrifice their minds were dominated by a passionate desire for solving the

One behind the world of appearance. This dominant phase of monism centres round the court of Ianaka. But there must have been other schools of new Kshatrija thought. This group of thickers could not compromise with the traditional thought of the Vedic period. They show a strong bias towards Dualism and Pluralism. They represent the liberal tendency in the new thought itself. Whenever they encountered a conflict between their ideals and the Vedas they did not hesitate to repudiate the authority of the latter. To this left using of the pioneers of thought we owe the Systems of philosophy such as the Sankhya, the Jaina and the Buddhistic schools. It is worthy of notice here, by the way, "that the greatest intellectual performances or rather almost all the performances of significance for mankind in India have been achieved by men of the warrior easte."

The Sankhya system pre-upposes two kinds of evistences, Physical and Spiritual, Prakriti and Purusha—rather an infloite number of Purushas. Salvation according to Sankhya consists in the differentiation between the two, matter and spirit. The individual Pursha secures emancipation by recognising its own intrinsic qualities as being distinct from the Prakritic transformations which go to constitute the cosmic evolution. Not only the genuine physical changes but also the pshychological facts such as will, desire and intellect are credited to Prakriti while the Purushas remain passive speciators of the whole drama, having nothing to do with moral responsibility.

Evidently following the same dualistic tendeocies but differing in the last point of moral responsibility the Jainas and the Buddhists worked out their respective systems with a deep religious colour. The Buddhists seem to have overshot their mark. Equipped with the logic of Kshanikavida they reduced the whole world of reality, physical and spiritual, to mere concateoation of sense-qualities having only a momentary existence—a result independently reached after several centuries by Hume. Neither this sensational nihilism of Sākva Muni nor the monistic interpretation is favoured by the Jainas. They steer clear of the two extremes. They accept the Purushas of the Sankhyas; but in their hands these Purushas cease to be passive spectators. They are active architects of their own destiny and

^{. &}quot;Philosophy of Ancient India" by Richard Garte

through their own effort obtain final freedom bearing all the wanter moral responsibility for conduct. The Prakrit is also similarly ela borately reconstructed. It is made more definite. It is denied many of its psychological implications. It is identified with Matter in modern scientific sense and it is also given an atomic constitution. It appears under a new name—Pudgats. The term pudgate means matter. The Physical object which has the several sense qualities and which occupies space is also called. Mirita and Ruha, object. In speaking about Pudgata or matter the Jaina thinkers clerity analyse the qualities apprehended by sense perception. The qualities of touch, taste, smell, colour, and sound are generally associated with Pudgate.

But the Physical bodies that are apprehended by sense perception are constituted by atoms or Paramanus. It is this atomic structure of the Universe that is the interesting part of Jain Physics.* The Paramanu or atom is defined quite in terms of modern physics. Though modern physics revolutionised the concept of Atom still it has not completely annihilated it. No doubt an atom is a cluster of electrons as complex perhaps as the solar system itself. But the discovery of radio-activity has not interfered with the laws of Physics and Chemistry based upon atomic conception. The only change that modern Physics has introduced is that Atom is no more simple and basic but complex and perhaps secondary. In spite of this complex nature it does maintain its individuality. No modern physicist will deny that it is the fundamental foundation of the structure of the Physical Universe. It is such an atom or Paramanu that is the lasts of Physical structure.

The Paramann is neither created nor can be destroyed. It is the permanent basis of the Physical Universe. The several kinds of Physical objects are all constituted by the same class of primordial atom. The Paramann cannot be an object of sense perception. It is in itself transcending the sense experience and as such it is practically Ameria though it is the basic constituent of all Murta, objects

This primary atom or the material point has an intrinsic attraction to similar atoms. Thus moleculor aggregates are formed by the combination of atoms. These molecular aggregates

[&]quot;This doctrine of atomic structure is also prominent in the Nyo on and Puschilo

are called Skandh is. These skandhas are again of infinite variety, you may have a milecule constituted by two atoms or three and so on up to infinite number of atoms. Thus every perceivable object is a skandha and even the whole physical universe is sometimes spoken of missilahaskan tha or the Great aggregate. The physical objects being aggregates of atoms or skandhas their changes are entirely due to atomic disintegration or aggregation.

THE CONCEPTION OF MOTION

Having accepted the reality of physical object the Jamas miturally accepted the possibility of real motion

Motion Into always been an unintelligible perplexity to the Idealist Both in the East and the West Idealistic thinkers have fought shy of this by taking refuge in the conception of *Uaya* or appearance. It is in the western System of thought that the conception figures prominent *Zeno* trying to defend the unchangeable admantine Absolute of *Pirmlindes* introduced four insincible arguments against the reality of motion. These arguments were accepted as invincible for several centuries. They figure in some *Icom_or* other in Kantianiantinomies and Hegelian dielectic. Even *Bradle*; draws upon *Zeno* wisdom in his attempt to condemn the realities of concrete world to the limbo of app-arances. As *Ur* B* *Russell** points out the arguments are no more irrefutable in the light of modern Mathematics. Hence Realism need not approach the problem with any misgin rigs. Reality of motion his thus obtained a standing ground in the field of modern philosophi.

What is more important than this is the part played by this concept in Science For Science the world of reality is a system of energies. This dynamic conception of science has given a new dignity to the concept of motion. In spite of the unwarranted caution exhibited by Physicists like E. Wach and Karl. Pearso, modern Physics has once again empha is-d the importance and the reality of motion in understanding the mystery of Nature. Always looked upon with suspicion by idealistic metaphysics the doctrine of Reality of motion has been welcomed by Realistic and scient fic systems of thought.

But in order to accept the reality of motion of Physical objects the reality of space must be postulated. Thus motion in Jama doctrine is intimately associated with space and two other Categories.

^{*} This term also occurs in Buddhistic philosophy but with a different meaning

with peculiar nomenclature of *Dharma* and *Adharma* We shall examine the Jaina account of these three Categories

Reality of space is also a crucial doctrine to determine whether metaphysics is indealistic or realistic. Curiously the non Jama Indian systems of thought do not pay any prominent attent on to the problem of space. In fact the more influential Indian system of Philosophy, the Vedanta uses the term Alasa and fferently to denote space and ether. It is the latter meaning that is more prominent It is to the credit of the ancient Jama thinkers that they took a bold attempt to attack the problem and that with very great success fact is perhaps due to their special interest in Mathematic adequate solution of the problem of space and time is intimately con nected with Mathematical Philosophy It is modern Mathematics that has successfully reclaimed once again space and time from the destruc tive dialectic of the id-alistic metaphysics. Space is indispensable to Science and Realistic metaphysics The wonders of modern science are all associated with the reality of Space and what is contained therein Hence to the Indian Realism space cannot but be real Akasa is infinite extension. It accommodates all other real existences On account of this accommodating function it has a portion which is filled with the other real objects. This portion is called Lokahasa the world space because it is co extensive with the world or Loka Beyond that there is the infinite empty space which is Suddha Ananta Åkasa

The interesting fact about this conception of space is the doctrine that space also is constituted by simple elements known as Akasanii or spacial point. This Akasinii is to space what Pudgalan Paraminiis to matter. And each Akasanii is related to each Pudgalani. To borrow a convenient modern expression the class of space points and the class of material points are similar having one to one relation.

The Jaina thinkers elaborately describe the nature of space care fully distinguishing it from matter. It is devoid of the several Physical qualities and as such it is mere extension. It should not be confounded with extended objects.

Matter and space do not exhaust the Physical conception. The Jama thinker pertinently asks the question why the atoms should be kept together constituting the world of Maha Skandha? Why should they not get dissipated throughtout Anamakasa or infinite space? Then

there would be no world. The very fact that the structure of the world is permanent that the world is a cosmo-and not a chaos implies the existence of another principle which guarantees the permanency of the world's structure and the world form. This principle has the function of binding the flying atoms to the world's centre. Its function then is distinctly inhibitive to ariest the flying atom. This Physical principle is called Adharma or rest. But if Adharma alone were to function in the Universe there would be absolute rest and universal cosmic paralysis, hence the necessity of a conoteracting force called Dharma. The function of this is to guarantee free movement for the objects that move of their own accord or otherwise. This principle of Dharma or motion then is merely to relieve the universal inhibition that would otherwise result.

These two principle Dharma and Adharma, of motion and rest are described in terms that are applicable to the modern conception of ether. Both Dharma and Adharma pervade through space up to the world limit. They are absolutely non Physical in nature and non atomic and non discrete in structure. The qualities of Pudgala are not found therein. Nor have they the structure of space which is constituted by space points. These two Physical principle are perfectly simple. Therefore they may be spoken of as one or as many. There are spacial and yet are non spacial. They are Americand Aruba. They are neither light not heavy. They are 1 ot objects of sense perception. Their existence is inferred only through their function. Such are the characteristics of these two principles which are distinctly peculiar to Jaina Physics.

The movement of Physical objects and of organic beings is due entirely to other causal agency. Organic beings are capable or spontaneous movement and Physical objects move because of impact received from other Physical moving objects. Movement of these things should not be causally traced to the agency of Dharma Similary when the moving objects come to rest rest should not be interpreted as the result of the agency of Adharma Dharma and Adharma are devoid of any kind of direct causal potency. Their function is purely external and induced. Their neutrality is so much emphasised by the Jaina thinkers that there could be no mistake about their meaning. These are in short the necessary Physical postulates without posting which the structure and form of Physical Universe will be entire numitality by

IAINA METAPHYSICS

There are two important concepts in Jana Metaphysics which are preplexing to students of Jainism, the Astikajas and the Dravyas. The term Astikaja is a compound name made up of Asti and haja which respectively mean existing and extensive magnitude. Astik vija therefore means a real that has extensive magnitude. The other term Dravja means the real that is fluent or changing. We shall try to explain these two concept in detail

The Astikaya are five in number, Jiva (soul) pudgala (matter), dharma (principle of motion), adharma (principle of rest) and kisa (space) These five build up the Cosmos Space and matter are di tinctly extended reals Dharma and Adharma are indirectly related to space Their operation is in space and is limited by Lokikisa. Thus they may also be considered as related to space Lastly Life is generally associated with body, the organic body constituted by Pudgala or matter Jina is operative in and conditioned by such a physical medium. In a way there fore Jiva also is related to space. These five existences which have spatiality either directly or indirectly are the five Astikajas. These are the constituent elements of the universe or the world.

KÂLA

halæ or time though not an element of the physical universe may be mentioned here. Since change and motion are admitted to be real, time also must be considered real. The real are absolute time as contrasted with the relative time is constituted by simple elements I nown as Kalanus or instants. Instants, points and atoms are the characteristic conceptions of Jana thought and in this respect it has a wonderful corroboration from the field of modern mathematics.

The Jaina thinkers in distinguishing time from the five Asti kijas made use of an important idea Astikaja is spatiality or extensive magnitude. This extensive magnitude is denoted by a technical name—Tirjai-Prachaya or horizontal extension

When the simple elements say, the points are so arranged in a series where each term is an item also in another series we must have the two demensional series which will core pond to suiface or extension. Wherever there is such a Trijak Prachaya we have Astikaya. But time or Kala has only Urdha Prachaya.

elements are in a lorward direction. The series is mono-dimensional or linear order. Therefore Küla has no extension either directly or indirectly. Hence it is not an Astikaiga. Though it is not an Astikaiga it is distinctly a real entity which accounts for changes in other things.

Such are the characteristics of real time. This should not be confounded with Viacihara Killa or relative time which is measured by some conventional units of either long or short duration. These conventional distinctions would have no meaning if they are not co-ordinated in a single real time series.

THE SIX DRAVYAS,

The term Dravya denotes any existence which has the important characteristic of persistence through change Jaina conception of reality excludes both a permenent and unchanging real of the Permenidion type and also the mere eternal flux of Haraclites. An unchanging permanent and mere change without substratum are unreal dan impossible abstractions. Iaina system admits only the dynamic reality or Dravya. Dravya then is that which has a permanent substantiality which manifests through change of appearing and disappearing. Uthata-Origin, Vsasadecay and Dhrauvya-permanency form the triple nature of the Real. To emphasise the underlying identity alone would end sedantic conception of this Realas Brahman. To emphasise the change alone would result in the Kshqinka Valla of the Buddhist, The reality as a stream of discrete and momentary elements. The Concept of Drays reconciles both these aspects and combines them into an organic unity. It is an identity expressing through difference, a permanency continuing through change. It corresponds to the modern conception of organic development rather in its Hegelian aspect. It has duration; it is movement; it is the Elan Vital. The five Astikuyas and Kala or time are the six Drawas or the real existences.

DRAVYA GUNA AND PARYAYA

_

SUBSTANCE, QUALITIES AND MODES.

Having introduced the Jaina conception of the real let us

substance or Dravya is always associated with certain intrins c and inalienable qualities called Gin as. Thus the yellow colour, malle ability, etc., will be the qualities or Gin a of the Dravya gold. The Dravyas with its inalienable qualities must exist in some state or form. This is its mode of existence or Paryaya. This mode or Paryaya is subject to change. It may be destroyed and a new mode may appear. But this creation and destruction are relevent only to Paryay is or modes and not to Dravyas the constitutive substance. That can neither be destroyed nor created. That is

The approximate parallel conceptions in the western thought will be Spinoza's substance qualities and modes. Of course for Spinoza there was only one substance whereas here we have six distinct substances or Draips The term attribute is used in a technical sense by Spinoza whereas t means merely the qualities in Jaina Metaphysics Each Dravia or the real has its own ap propriate attributes Matter has the sense qualities of touch taste etc soul has Chetana consciousness and so with the other Drawas What is the relation between Dravya and Gu a? The reals and their qualities? This is an important problem even in modern metaphysics. There have been two distinct answers, proposed by philosophers. One ansiver always emphasises the perin nent basis as the real and the other the changing qualities. The former condemns change as mere appearance or Maya whereas the latter condemns the permanent substance as a mere figment of imagination The former school generally ends in agnoticism and maintains that the real is unknown and unknowable. The latter generally ends in scepticism and a veeps away in a flood of doubt the fundamental concepts of life and world of morality and rel g on In India we have Aduasta Vendarta as an example of the former and Bhuddism of the In the West the philosophers like Locke and Kint may be mentioned as representatives of the former school and Hume may be taken as a type of the latter

The problem is once again taken by Bradley who after an acute analysis codemns it to be insolube. Hence according to his own dialectic the thing with its qualities is self-contradictory and there fore an appearance. This is a conclusion which goes clean against science and common sense. Thing bood is not after all a self-

contradictory notion. For the Jains it is a fundamental concept. The thing or Drarya as it is a dynamic entity is always flowing. It has no existence apart from its qualities which in their tarn are not really distinct from the Drarya. The thing-in-itself apart from all the qualities is merely an empty abstraction. The qualities themselves are not merely momentary fleeting sensations. The thing exists in and through its qualities and the qualities related and organised constitute the thing. The difference between the two is only a difference of reference and not difference of existence; in the technical language of Jaina metaphysics, the anyatra between drarya and gung is only vyapadesamdira. They dopot have pradesapraduktea. Hence they are one in reality though having distinct nomencluture and reference.

Paryaya is another technical term demanding careful understanding Paryija means mode of existence. This again is viewed from two different aspects, arthabariara and syanjana-prayaya. We have already mentioned that drama is but an entity that is continually changing. This triple nature of reality that is permaneacy through births and deaths, through creation and destruction gives to drarya a characteristic mode of existence every moment. This continuous flow of the real is parallel to the continuous flow of the duration of time. This intrinsic change of drawig is known as arthabarraya. All the six dravyas have this arthabaryaya. What is vyanjanabaryaya? It is not merely the cross section in the continuous flow of dravya. Vyanjanaparyaya has a pretty fixed duration of existence. Besides the molecular aggregation and disintegration that take place every moment in a physical object, the object may have a particular mode of existence as a pot, for example for a certain duration of time. This paryaya of pot is vyanjanaparyaya of pudgala. Similarly for 111a. The continuous change that takes place in consciousness is Jua's arthaparyaya. Its existence as a particular organism as a man or a deva with determinate age is the vyanjanapuryaya of Jiva's. Thus Jiva and pudgala have both the kinds of paryagas whereas the other four dravy as, have got only arthaparyaya alone. The reals are thus exhausted by the six drawas with their respective gunas and paryayas,

Since these Dravyas are reals they have satta or astitua or existence as there common characteristic. From this point of view

of satta all the Dravyas may be brought under one class. Though from the class point of view all the dravyas are one still the satta, their common characteristic should not be abstracted and postulated as the unitary substance of which the other Dravyas may be taken as piryayas. This evanta view is condemned as unwarranted and erroneous. The six Dravyas in spite of their common characteristic of satta are fundamental and irreducible one to another.

One more point and we may leave this topic A thing in concrete world is therefore a pary ay a of anyone of the Dray as It is all of otherwise called artha. In artha or a thing in corporate unity of an infinite number of qualities jist as the Cosmos is a system of infinite number of arthas. The one is extensive and the other is intensive, but both are infinite wholes. According to Jama Philosophy, therefore, a require an infinite thought to apprehend them completely. He who cannot know a thing completely cannot know the world completely and conversely he who cannot know the world completely cannot know even a single thing really and completely. This particular attitude of the Jama thinkers reminds us of Tennyson's lines in, 'A flower in the craining at all.' If I could know the root and all. If I could know that God and man is

Having spoken of the Dravyas in general let us try to explain live dravia a little more in detail

JAINA BIOLOGY

Perhaps it is inaccurate to speak of biology in the system before us. The science of biology as such is peculint to modern age, hence we are not quite justified in expecting such a scientific conception. In a work of prechitistian era and which is perhaps of the same age as of Plato and Aristotle. Naturally therefore, the ideas about the organic world are curiously intermixed with various mythic and fantistic conception relating to being of Hell and Starge. Eliminating all the e as irrelevent we still have considerable material to enable us to have an insight into the ancient ideas about life and living beings.

One important conception that would strike the reader in the very beginning is the organic unity of the plant and the animal world Vegetable kingdom is distinctly organic. Its nature was accurately observed and carefully described. The whole plant world is included in the class of organisms having one sense, i.e. the senses of contact. Then the world of animals and insects is classified according to the

same principle of sense organs. The animal world beginning with such insects as earthworm and ending with man is brought under four main groups, organisms, with two, three, four, and five senses. PRANAS.

Associated with organisms there is the Conception of Pranas or the essential characteristics of living beings. These are mainly four. Balaprana, Indriyaprana, Ayuh Prana, and Uchhvasa-nischhvasa Prána. Every organism implies certain capacity of spontaneous activity. This capacity for action is Balaprana or life potency. Every organisms must possess some kind of sense awareness. This implies the possession of a sense organ and the capacity to apprehend the environment through that sense. The number of sense organs is different according to stages of organic development. Next is Ayuh Prâna or duration of life. Every living organism has a limited duration of life. This organic capacity to persist through a certain duration is Ayuh Prana And lastly respiration There is no organism without this Prans of Uchhvasa-nichhvasa. These four main Pranas are the essential attributes of organic beings.

DIFFERENT KINDS OF BIRTHS.

One other interesting point is the enumeration of the different means of birth of organisms. Young ones may be produced from Garbha. These are Garbhajas young ones produced from the womb. Then the Andajās, the young one produced from eggs. Thirdly Sammurchana · or spontaneous generation. This refers to minute organisms. And lastly they speak of Ubabadikas in the case of Decas and Narakas The last one of course we may treat as beyond scientific pale. The recognition of spontaneous generation is a point deserving special emphasis.

MICROSCOPIC BEINGS.

Another fact deserving notice is the early recognition of the existence of microscopic organisms. These are called Sukshma Ekendriva Jivas or microscopic organisms having only one sense. These are said to fill the earth, air, water and fire. The possibility of microscopic organisms in fire seems a little too funtastic. the case of the other three ne need have no hesitation as they are fully established by modern Science.

In this connection it is necessary to point out that H. Jacobi's conjecture that Jamisms is very ancient though historically true rests on an

[&]quot;For the verification of this, one must look to the remails of further extenting invest. This

nnwarranted assumption as to this Jivanikajas. He interprets (vide his translation of T itvarthadhigama) this Jivas in earth air, water and fire in such a wiy as to suggest that Jainsisms is or was once at least a m and of Indian fetishism which believed in the souls of earth air etc. Then what is the purpose of calling these Iukshma Ehendrija Jivas? What is the menning of this di finction 1 tween Jiva and agica chelina and achetina?

The different organisms mentioned above are tivas or souls in association with matter or pidgala If you look at the system of metaphysics as a whole you have the picture of an infinite number of juas caugh in the vortex of matter Souls surro inded by a soulless environment. This picture is extremely analogo i to the cosmic picture of the Sankhyas An infinite number of purnshas submerged in an ocean of brakriti and drifting alone the current of prakritic evolution But here in tend of one homogeneous prakriti constituting the environment of the purushas we have the five apiva dravyas forming the appropriate stage for the enactment of the spiritual drama of the souls. The primary characters are Ji a and Pudgala The others make the things go The whole cosmic evolution is due to the interaction between soul and matter According to Jama thought this entanglement of Jiva in the vortex of matter is Samsara How could achetna pudgala bring about such a calam tous result Padgala or matter in a very subtle and fine form fills the cosmic space. This form of pudgala is spoken of as Karma pr 190gja pudgala-matter fit to manifest as Karma In this cosmic space are also the Jivas Jitas by their impurity of heart led to formation of subtle material cocoon or Karmic bodies which retard and obstruct the intrins c spiritual radiance of the soul When once this subtle deposit of matter is begun the grosser encrustation of matter and the formation of organic bodies is inevi table according to psycbo physical laws Here we have to notice one important point Even such a great oriental scholar like Hermann G Jacobi makes the amb guous and m sleading statement that Karma according to Jams is purely material and he further suggests that since they speak of a combination between Jiva and Karma, Jains assme that soul is of some subtle matter thus making the combination between the two possible This is extremely misleading and far from the truth There is neither combination nor direct causal relation between soul and matter Jams speak of bhava karmas

as distinct from dravya karmas. The former psychological karma is immediate to jiva. The latter material is mainly concerned with the building up of the bodies. No doubt the two are a solutated together yet the two are distinct and separate. Jiva is chelana and sarira is Achelina.

This conjoint exitence or sanisara is Anadi vithout beginning. Through its congenital impurity of the heart soul is thrown into impure environments from time-immemorial though there can be an end to the state of existence. This end consists in securing spiritual freedom and perfection through obtaining the purity of the heart which in its turn leads to the destruction of the material ence ement. Thus the hie of the soul in sanisara is an infinite series without beginning but with an end which is dloksha

JAINA PSYCHOLOGY

Jita is the central conception of Jaina system. Its nature is Chetana or consciousness. Jira and Chetana life and consciousness are co extensive. Wherever there is life there is con ciou ness. Even in the lowest class of organisms we have to posit existence of conciousness. But this does not imply that in every living organism there is explicit consciousness. In very many cases consciousness may be latent and implicit. In the loner organisms it is mainly implied and latent in man generally explicit and in certain exceptional cases of men having higher spiritual development consciousness may be supernormal.

Jiva with its characteristic of Chetana is entirely distinct from Pudgala or matter. It cannot be apprehended by sense perception hence it is Amurta. The qualities which are generally associated with matter such as colour taste, etc. have no relevancy in the case of Chetana.

Jama Psychology is thus based upon the metaphysical assumption of J_{I^*A} which is of the nature of Chetana. It is not a Psychology without a soul. This general nature of Chetana or consciousness manifests in two ways Darsana and Jama Perception and Under standing. These two modes of consciousness are maily cognitive or thought elements, Consciousness includes allo emot on and will the effective and conative elements are also recognised by Jama system. Affective states or emotions are the general characteristics

of Samsars Jiva or living beings in our ordinary sense. Conduct or behaviour is also assumed to be the natural manifestation of life. Charitra or conduct is also associated with all Samsars Jivas. Thus from the point of view of modern Psychology consciousness basa threefold function and this is also assummed in Jana system.

This tripartite division of consciousness is expressed in another way also. In describing the characteristics of Jiva its Chelana character is said to mainfest not only in Jānaa, Darsana understand ing and perception but also in Karma Chetina and Karmaphala Chetana awareness of action awareness of pleasure pain. The recognition of the threefold aspect of consciousness may be illustrated even from the conception of a perfect being. The characteristics of a perfect being are Anintaphana, Anantaarsana, Anantavirja, and Anata sākha—infinite Knowledge, Infinite perception Infinite power and Infinite Bliss. The other characteristics are irrelevent to our purpose. The first two of the enumerated qualities Infinite knowledge and infinite perception are distinctly cognitive. Infinite power im plies activity or conation and infinite bliss the hedonic experience. Thus throughout the Jaina account of life the three aspects of consciousness are ressumed.

SOUL AND BODY

Every organism or a Samsari Jira is an organic unity of two distinct entities Jiva and Pudgala, soul and body. Naturally there fore there crops up the problem of the relation between the two Soul is Chetana (consciou ness) Amurta (non corporeal) Araba (nonsentient) whereas body has the opposite qualities in each case. One may be said to be the contradiction of other. The Dualism is so emphatically expressed here as in Carlesianism.

The term body implies two different things. The gross body that we actually perceive through our senses. This is constituted and nourished by matter taken in the form of food, etc. This body is every moment changing and will be given up by the soul after a certain period. Besides this gross body there, is for every Jiva a subtle body known as harmana Saria. This body is constituted by subtle material molecules known as Karma Pudgala. This subtle body may also be changing. But still it is a necessary and maltenable appendage of Samsari. Jiva. This is transcended only in the perfect state. In discussing the relation between the states of this Karna Saria.

and the states of Ina, Jamism makes an important distinction between Upadana Karta and Nimitta Karta, substantial cause and external cause. Mental states are the modifications of the mind and Physical states are the modifications of matter. Mind is the Ubadana harta of psychical states and matter is the Ubadana Karta of physical changes and yet physical states and psychical states may be mutually external conditions. The causal activity contemplated here is a bit obscure. One Psychical State is due to the immediately antecedent psychical state and similarly one physical state is the result of its own antecedent. Thus mental series in a way is independent of physical series. But still a mental change may be externally determined by a physical change and the physical conversely by the mental change. The relation between the physical and the mental is purely external. In the technical language of the system one is the Nimita Karta of the other So far as we are able to make out, the meaning seems to be this, a mental change is due to two condition one an Ubudana Karta a mental antecedent and another Nimita Karta a physical antecedent. The mental change to the result of both these antecedent conditions physical and mental. Similarly a change in the body is to be traced to two conditions an Ubadana condition a physical antecedent in this case and a Nemitia condition a mental antecet dent. The system emphasises the causal interrelation between mind and matter even though the interrelation is one of external condition The reason given for accepting this interrelation is the reality ofmoral responsibility If there is no causal interrelation between mind and matter why should a person be taken responsible for his conduct. moral responsibility is real, if moral evaluation of conduct is genuine then conduct must be the intimate expression of the personality.

Though the discussion is between Jiea and its Karmana Sarira the discussion and its conclusion may very well be taken as relevant to our problem of the relation between soul and body. The whole discussion may be taken as expressing the views in regard to the wider problem. Soul and body are capable of causal interrelation and a change in one always involves two antecedents one physical and the other psychical. If causal interrelation is not admitted certainly ethical value will remain unexplained and nontelligible

SENSATIONS AND SENSE ORGANS

The sense organs recognised in the system are the usual five But sometimes Manas or mind is also spoken of as an Indriya

Indrivas in general are of two kinds Drawa Indriva or the physical sense organ and Bhava Indrija the psychical counterpart Sensary awareness is the result of the contact between Dravya Indrija and the physical object sensed. It is assumed of course that only physical objects or Pudgala that can be apprehended by sensation This contact may be direct or indirect. In the case of sight the contact is indirect. The object perceived by vision is not brought in contact with the eyes The objects in space are revealed to us by light or Jyoti It is through being illuminated they are apprehended by vision The exact operation of light on the eyes is not further explained. In the case of the other senses we have direct contact. But the direct contact may be Sthula or Sukshma gross or subtle In the case of contact and taste we have the direct contact with the gross object But in the case of smell we have contact with minute particles of the object smelt In the case of sound also we have Sukshma contact But in this case what the ears come in contact with is merely a kind of motion Unlike the other Indian system of thought which assoc ate sound with $\hat{A}kasa$ Jaina system explains the sound as due to the violent contact of one physical object with the other. It is said to be senerated by one Skandha knocking against another Skandha Sound is the agitation set up by this knock. It is on account of this theory of sound the system speaks of an atom or Paramanu as unsounding by itself Thus in all these cases the environmental stimulus is either directly or indirectly a physical object Sense perception is the result of the contact between two physical things Dravyendriya on the one hand and the stimulus from the object on the other hand

ANALYSIS OF SENSATIONS

The next interesting point is the analysis of the different sensations obtained through different sense organs. Through the eyes we have the apprehension of five colours. Visual sensations consist of the five elements or Pancha Varna. But we have 10 note here that sensation of white is also included as one of the colours. In this respect the term Varna or colour is used in its popular sense and not in the scientific sense. Similarly taste is of five kinds, pun gent bitter, sweet, sour and saline. These five tastes are obtained through the tongue which is Rasanendrija. Skin is Sparsanendrija and through it the following eight kinds of cutaneous sensations are obtained light and heavy soft and hard rough and smooth, and

cold and hot sensations, four pairs of opposite senses. These cutaneous' sensations include sensations of temperature, contact, pressure and muscular or knæsthetic sensations. Sensation of smell is only of two kinds, Sugandha and Durgandha. Sound sensations are of infinite variety. The different kinds of sounds natural and actificial, purposite and non-purposite, articulate and inarticulate, musical and non-musical are spoken of

SENSE PERCEPTION.

What we directly apprehend through a sense organ is not merely particular sensation but the object. Sense perception is known as Darsana. Darsana is the perception of a physical object. Darsana may be Chakshu Darsana and Achakshu Dasana, Chakshu Dirsana means perception of an object through visual sensation. Achalishu Darsana means perception through the other seoses. Darsana or sense perception not only implies the passive receptivity of the mind but also the active interpretation of the received stimulus, i. e. Darsana means the complication between the datum and mental construction. This is implied in the description given of "knowledge by acquaintance" or mate Avagraha, Eha, Avaya are different stages of sense perception. Aragraha refers to roughly the datum. But the datum does not mean anything. It is merely the ununderstood patch of colour, e. g in the case of visual sensation. At the presentation of this visual patch there is the questioning attitude of mind which is represented by the term Eha. As a result of this examination we may interpret the object. This interpretation is Avaia. In the case of visual perception these three different stages may not be clearly distinguishable. But in the case of auditory perception we may clearly recognise the different stages. Darsana then includes all these three stages, then only is the thing known to us.

[These three stages together with Darsana or recollection constitute the different forms of Mati Jiana But recollection is connected with memory and need not be brought under sense perception.]

In this connection we have to notice one important point. The term Darsana is not confined to sense perception. It is a general term including the sense perception as well as the supernormal perception of other kinds. Two kinds of supernormal perception are generally mentioned by Jaina thinkers, (1) Avadhi Darsana (2)

Retala Darsana Avadhi Darsana refers to the p cultar kind of clairvoyant capacity which is able to perceive things and events in distant places and also in distant times either past or future. Objects and events not evident to the normal sense perception are obvious to Avadhi Darsana. But the objects of Avadhi perception appear as if they we perceived normally close at hand. It is said that Avadhi Darsana is concerned with only Rupa Diarojas or perceptual objects. The otter Darsana known as he ala Dirsana is perception par excellence. It is a soc ated with perfect consciousness. This faculty is acquired only after complete emmanipation from karmic bondage. To this perfect perception the whole reality is obvious. In short it refers to the all perceiving faculty of Paramatina. What we are insuffied in speaking of in connection with Jaini psychology are the normal sense p reception (Charbahi Darsana and Acharbahi Dirsana and the supernormal clairwoyani perception (or Avadhi Dirsana)

JN AVA OR KNOWLEDGE

Jain's account of cognition is also intere ting. Juans or under standing is said to be of different kinds according to means employed in cognition (1) Mate I ma is knowledge obtained through the normal means of sense perception and memory based upon the same This is the common inher trace of all persons (2) Srata J mais knowledge obtained through te timony of books. This corresponds to knowledge by description It is acquired by study Therefore it is possessed by only the learned men Besides these two means of knowledge there are three other supernormal means of understanding These are Avala J and Mana Pary 1) a Jiana and Kevala J ana Avadhi Jiana is the understanding of the nature of the objects obvious to Avadhi Darsana Paryaya J and refers to a pecolar kind of telepathic knowledge acquired by persons of certain stage of spiritual development is a means by which knowledge of alien minds is obtained. The last one of course tefers to the perfect understanding or the omniscience of the Perfect Being or Purushottama Treating this as the metaphy sical ideal we have to recognise the other four kinds of cognition as relevant to our psychological interest

AFFECTION

Affective consciousances plays a very important part in Jaina metaphysics. The whole religious discipline is directly secured by

a stoic freedom from the affective influence of environmental objects. Experience of pleasure, pain, is assumed to be the specific characteristic of organised brings or Samsári Jivas. In one of the descriptions given of Jiva it is mentioned that Jiva has the tendency to continue beneficial activity Irom which pleasure results and to discontinue the harmful activity from which pain results. This is so very analogous to biological description of the instinct of self-preservation. Jiva equipped with this quality naturally desires pleasant things and avoids unpleasant things.

Since the psychological analysis is subordinate to the metaphysical system several facts of psychological interest are thrown into the background of the philosophical scheme. Nevertheless there is no mistake about the striking psychological analysis exhibited by Jaina thinkers Experience of pleasure and pain, is generally referred to as Karmathala Chethana or consciousness of the fruits of action. Pleasure and pain are always viewed in relation to action.

Bhava or affective consciousness is of three kinds, Sabha Bhava, Asubha Bhdva, and Suddha Bhdva Feeling of pleasant nature, teeling of an unpleasant nature, and feeling of pure nature. The last one refers to the enjoyment of Self by Self. 'As such it may be taken to mean the spiritual experience of the pure Self. The other two kinds of the feeling are relevant to the point. These are corresponding to the normal feelings generally recognised by students of psychology. These feelings are generally related to certain objects in the environment to which there may be attraction or aversion in the Jiva. Thus on the one hand feelings manifest as the result of Rarma or action and on the other hands they are determined by objects in the environment.

A very interesting classification of emotions is given in connection with the conditions of Kārmic bondage. These emotions are generally divided into two main classes Sakashāy 2, and Akashāya those that have the tendency to colour or stain the purity of the soul and these that have not that tendency. The Sakashāya ones are Krodha, or anger, Māna or pride, Māya or deceitfulness or dissembling and Lobha or greed. The Akashaya emotions are

Hásja—laughter.

Rati-feeling of attraction.

Arati-feeling of repulsion.

Soka-sorrow

Bhaya-fear

Jugupsa—feeling of disgust which max manifest in hiding ones own weaknes es

Stateda-peculiar sex feel ng of women

Pi msareda-peculiar sex feeling of men

Napumsaka Veda-The corrupt sex feeling of eunuchs

Again certain instinctive tendencies are also referred to as Sammus These are Ahora Bhaya Mathuna, and Pangraha—hunger, fear, sexual appetite and acquisitive instincts. There are corresponding feelings to these instinctive appetites which may colour the consciousness of a live

The feeling aspect of sensations is implied in the very classification of the sense elements. The feeling aspect is predominent in the case of smell and test whereas it is indirectly associated with auditory and visual sensations. The rest of the references to feeling of pleasure pain are purely metaphysical and therefore they are more of religious interest than of scientific interest.

CONATION OR THE CONSCIOUSNESS OF ACTION

Atma is not only Jean and Bhokta the knower and the enjoyer but is also a Rarta or the agent. This may be considered as the centeral idea of Jaina system. Soul by its own activity is able to make or mar its own destiny. The Theory of Karma is intimately associated with the causal agency of Atma. As the result of this metapy sical assumpt on we have several facts of paychological importance mentioned in the System. Even in the lowest organism there is the tendency to continue pleasurable activity and to discontinue painful activity. This primitive tendency of life or Jiva is just the continue activity which develops into conscious choice of an end or purpose which is the characteristic of volitional activity. In human beings this conative tendency is naturally associated with raga and deesha, desire and aversion.

Conative activity in general is denoted by the term Karmachetana The Karma chatana or consciousness of activity is to be associated with the Zoological Kingdom—Trasa Jivas The plaot world or the world of Ekandrija Sihavara Jivas is devoid of this Karma Chetana They have Karma Phala Chetana alone whereas the other Jivas have

both and also Juana Chetana to boot The importance of volitional activity is clearly testified by the part it plays in the Jaina System of ethics. The psychology of will is also connected with another doctrine of psychological importance. Mohaniya Karina which is considered to be the root of all evil has two aspects cognitive and conative. What is known as Drasana Wohaniya interferes with the faculty of perception and behief. Charitra Mohaniya is a sort of corruption of the will, it misleads the will and thus leads the Jiva towards evil. We shall consider the relation between Karina and Alma when we go to consider the ethical aspect of Jaina system. In the meanwhile let us see whit Jaina logic is

IAINA LOGIC

UNDER this head we have to consider the following three

- 1 Pramana
- 2 Naya
- 3 Saptabangs

Pramana and Naya refer to understanding (Pramanana) areadmigamatha Knowledge is through Pramana and Vaya Pramana refers to the apprehension of reality or valid knowledge Naya refers to the different aspects of considering thing. These are the two means of enriching knowledge Saplabangi refers to the theory of predication which is peculiar to Jain System

Pramana is of two kinds Pratyatsha Pramana and Paroksha Pramana,—Immediate Upprehen non of reality and Mediate Apprehension of reality Ordinarily the term Pratyaksha refers to sense perception. This ordinary meaning of the word is considered secondary and subordinate by Jaina thiokers. They call it Vyavaharsha Pratyaksha. The real Pratyaksha is known as param irthina pratyakshā or that which is apprehended by Atma immediately and directly. According to this view sense perception is indirect and mediate, for the sensory object is apprehended by Atma only through the medium of sense organs.

Pramanas in general are five - Mati, Sruti, Avadhi Manahparyay i, and Ketala These five are already explained in connection with cognition Of these Uati and Sruti are considered Paraksha Pramanas. The other three are cos

There is one interesting fact about these Pramanas The standard of reality is distinctly experienced in its normal and super normal aspects The normal experience would be Mati It and, the super normal experience would include A-adhi Manahbaryaya and Ketala These four would constitute direct knowledge by the Self, but our experience is also enriched by the testimony of others. Therefore the testimony of others transmitted through literature is also cosider ed as one of the Pramanas This is Sruta Jana This is not given the supereminent place which it has in the other Hindu systems of thought The Ved is form the ultimate Pramana for the Brahmanical systems Every other principle of knowledge is subordinated to the Vedic revelation which itself must be implicitly accepted. But the Jamas recognise Senta Janua as only one of the Pramanas and even then it is only subordinate Direct and Immediate Apprehen sion is the ultimate standard of truth. The Pramanas are all distinctly human and they are not considered to be eternal. It is this humanistic element in the system that is specially interesting Three of these nve Pramanas have the possibility of being corrupted by adverse psychological conditions. Thus they will become misleadignor corrupt Pramanas or Pramanabhasas Thus Matt Jaana may become Kumati This evidently refers to illusory and hallucinatory percep tions and erroneous inferences Seute may become Kuteute This would be feeding ones intellect with fictitious philosophy and unreliable literature False and misleading clairs oyance is the corrupt form of At idly which is technically called Vibhanga Janna, hence right bramanas would exclude these three corrupt forms of Kumati Kuleruti, and Vibhanga But in the case of the other two Pramanas there is no such psssibility of falsification Manahparyaya is the supernormal ficulty acquired after great spiritual development and Kevala is the Ideal reached after complete emancipation. Hence in these two cases there is no chance of extraneous interference. The right forms of the former together with the latter two constitute the Pramanas

I rom the short enumeration of the Pramunas given above it is clear that the Jaina doctrine of Pramunas is slightly different from that of the Hindu Systems in general. The Praminas such as Fratjaksha, Anumana, Ufamana. Sabha etc. which are variously stated by the various systems of Hindu. Philosophy are all

comprehended by Wate Juana and Sruta Juana Even in these two cases objective corroboration seems to be the most important criterion of the true Pramants In addition to these two normal sources of Knowledge they recognise the other three supernormal sources Thus they recognised not merely the intellect but also the higher intuition which Bergson emphasises Bergson is no doubt right in placing intuition over intellect. Intellect is the analytic process of understanding things. Hence it shares the artificial nature of the process of analysis It is no doubt incapable of accounting for the vision of artist or the poet The Daemon of Socrates and the Christ of Si Paul are quite beyond the pale of intellectual analysis. The Reality like the Proteus of ancient myth slips out from the grip of intellert but is quite evident to the supernormal intuition. The existence of such a supernormal faculty in man we have an inkling of, through the lifting of the veil by recent psychic re earch. The normal persocality is but a fraction of the total personality which is more of the subconscious nature. It is the sub con-cious self that seems to be the storehouse of spiritual power and wisdom. One who has learnt to tap the resources of this hidden self, becomes a genious in the field of art or morality. To him is given the open seasame to unlock the secrets of the universe. A philosophy of knowledge therefore must necessarily take cognisance of such a supernormal intuition

But to recognise this is not to deprecate the intellect altogether According to Bergson what is revealed by the intellect is quite untru tworthy. Such a summary condemnation of the intellect would be an unwireranted impeachment of modern. So ence Intuition apotheosised at such a cost would be no more than a philosophical fetish. A more reasonable attitude would be to recognise both the intellect and intuition as adequate means of apprehending the nature of reality so long as they have corroboration by objective evidence. Rationalism which could not accommodate any suppernormal faculty and mysticism which could not stand the glare of Reason both are inadequate representation of the full nature of human personality whose powers are inexhaustible and whose depths are unfathomable. The Jaina doctring of Pramamars is able to accommodate both from the olivest to the highest in the order of gradation.

Juana and Ineva

KNOWLEDGE AND THE OBJECT OF KNOWLEDGE

The recognition of the distinction between the Pramanas and Pramanabhasas implies an important philosophical principle-The existence of an objective reality which is beyond and beside know ledge Knowledge is not the only form of reality. If that be the case Jama philosophy would not be different from Advasta whole philosophical clam as an independent system of thought rests on the admission of the independent existence of the objective universe besides consciousness. The world of objective reality is apprehended by perception or darkana and understood by intellect or Jiana which two are but the manifestations of chetana the intrinsic nature of the soul. No there in the Jai a system is it even casually implied that the object of knowledge is in any way modified or interfered with by the process of Knowing order that Darsana may reveal the form and Janua may discover the nature there must be an object postulated an object which is logically prior to the intellectual process. This postulating of an independent object of Knowledge should not be interpreted to imply the passivity of the intellect. The continuous activity of the Jira or soul is the central doctrine of Jama thought Hence the intellect is an active manifestation of consciousness but this activity has the power of revealing its own nature as well as non chetana objects beyond Thus the term Jacya or the object of knowledge includes both the self and the non-self mental facts as well as physical facts. The example of a light is very often brought in to illustrate the nature of knowledge Just as light reveals itself as well as other objects which are illuminated so also Jianz reveals the tattvas both Jiva and Ajiva Hence it would be quite inconsistent to interpret the relation between J and and Jhes a know l-die and its object in any other way that would make both insepar able elements of any higher units. No doubt as far as Jiva or soul is concened the relation between Jhana and Jieja is very intimate The soul is J ani the possesser of J ana or knowledge. There can be no Jiva without Jiana for without it he would be achetana and indistinguishable from other anta draeyas, and there could be no knowledge without Jiva for being foundationless and off its moorings from life it will cease to have connection even with consciousness

Thus Jūána and Jūáni, knowledge and self are absolutely inseparable though distinguishable by name. But this very name Jūáni may also become Jūžani, and the object of knowledge to his own Jūána The, Jūáni, Jūána and Jūeya, the self, knowledge, and the self as object of knowledge all become different aspects of a single concrete unity.

But Knowledge or Juana is also related to ajiva padarthas that is, physical objects can also be Jueya padárthas,- When physical objects are the objects of Knowledge the relation of Knowledge to its object is not the same as in the previous case that between Knowledge and self as object of Knowledge. Juana is distinctly alien to ajira padarthas though these become as Jūeya related to Jūana or The function of Jaina or knowledge here is to reveal Knowledge the ajira padarthas in their true nature as achetua or physical. How could chefana reveal the nature of achefana things? This question is rejected as unreasonable for the simple reason that it is unanswerable for the question means why Juina should have its Judna nature. That Juana though alien to the nature of physical object-these latter being achetana can still be related to them and reveal their nature to Indni or the knowing self-is taken as the fundamental postulate of Jama Epistemology.

Thus the close study of the philosophical Toundation of Jaina Epistemology reveals the following two facts.

- (1) The relation between knowledge and its objects, Jaana and Jacy a as far as agree padarthus are concerned is purely one of external relation.
- (2) As a corollary of the first we have the independent existence of Jacya padárthas or objects of knowledge, of course with the exception of self, which has an internal relation to Jääna or Knowledge.

The distinction between internal relation and external relation requires explanation. The Russell Bradley controversy as to the nature of relations is an interesting though an intricate topic of modern philosophy. But here we cannot deal with it in detail. It is enough to indicate what the terms mean. Bradley-Bosanquet school of modern idealism following the traditions of Hegal assume that all relations are grounded in the nature of the terms related.

That is, the terms apart from the relations and the relation apart from

the terms will not be the same A and B having a relation R cannot be the same A and B if they cease to have that relation R Change or cessation of a particular relation will lead to chaoge or the nulli fication of the terms so related A blind faith in this metaphysical doctrine has constrained the Hegelian Idealist to subscribe to many an absurd doctrine The terms related to one another since their nature is tyrannically controlled by this relation are to be in terpreted a members or elements of a higher organic unity. The members of a family therefore are the elements of the unity of family Society itself is an organic unity like plant or an animal body having as its elements the different human personalities who constitute the society Nay, even the whole universe is conceived as an organic unity or system having as its members both things and persons The logical result of this doctrine is the complete subordination of human personality to this fetish of a higher unity beside which there is notling real Every thing is degraded to the level of appearance and unreality The political and moral consequences of such a metaphy sical doctrine need not be portrayed in detail. It is enough to say that the catastrophe whi h destroyed the European civilisation is the necessary consequence of the culture and social organisation inspired by the phil sophy of the Absolute

But we have a healthy change introduced into modern thought by the invaluable contributions from B Russell He the upholder of the opposite doctrine of external relations sufficiently exposed the inadequacy and the falsity of the rival doctrine. According to him two terms A and B may have a relation R and yet the nature of the terms may not be affected by the change of the relations To exhibit the trith of the controversy we may cite the following illustration which is very useful to the reader though crude You may have for example a chair by the side of a table The two are in a certain spatial relation say the chair is to the south of the table If the relation is changed, (i e) if the chair is placed to the north of the table then according to the doctrine of internal relations both the terms the chair and the table must undergo change in their nature because of a change in the relations. This seems absurd to the unsophisticated observer. In this case he knows fully well that there is no change in the things themselves except the change of position To persist in the belief that the things do change in consequence of the change of position is merely to surrender one's

own reason to the false gods of philosophy Russell holding the doctrine of external relations maintains that the things do not change their nature inspite of the change of postion. This has an important and refreshing consequence. You may have a society of human beings without degrading the personalities to fractions of a unity or to appearance of a reality. And the one consequence that is relevent to us in this connection is that the relation of Knowledge to its object need not amount to the postulating of a higher unity of which these two are aspects. If that were the case this alleged higher unity must have as its members both persons and things chetana and achet ina dravyas. The fundamental doctrine of Jainism like that of the Sankhya is the distinction and the alienability between Jiva and appear

This short digre sion into modern European thought we had for the following reason. The inthors of an Epitome of Jainism." in trying to evpound the doctrine of Sysdevida attempt to make out that Jainism is a bold idealistic interpretation of the univer e as a set off against the Realistic method. We are not going to quarrel about a name. Jainism may be characterised idealistic or realistic according to ones own tastes so long as the terms are clearly defined. But what we are concerned with is just the exposition of the doctrine of Syadevida. Speaking of the ordinary way of thinking of ajieas, the authors say.

"They are continually betraying the phenomenal changes when brought into relation with other existences around them. How, then, can we think of them as individual things in spite of the changes? The answer often unhesitatingly forwarded by philosophers is that we can combine diversity with unity in our conception of things by thinking them as individual entities each endowed with manifold qualities. They are substances according to philosophers which possess various properties such as extension, solidity, weight, colour etc. Or they are substances or subjects to whom belong the capacities of sensation, feeling and perception etc., But a careful observation will show that such a device obviously fails to give us any real apprehension of existence—even though it may be the simplest individual existence, because in trying to give unity to a member of unconnected determinations by ascribing them to a common substance what we really do is to add to these determinations another?

ì

equally isolated and unconnected with the rest. Take away the other determinations, what will be left of your substance? It is impossible to explain the known by the unknown So to apprehend the real unity of different qualities or to put in other words, to think them as one, what mind demands is, that we should think or have grational notion of the relation of each to each and that we should discern how the existence of any one involves the existence of all the rest and how all are so connected with this particular quality would not exist except in and through the whole to which it belones To catch hold of such substance and not substratum to Locke had meant we must discern the principle from which this manifoldness of parts and properties necessarily arises and which has its very existence and being in them and linking together in thought differences which spring out of it Such unity of substance is really a unity in difference which manifests itself and realises in these differences

" In the realm of mind or in the spiritual life of conscious belogs also, there are undoubtedly infinite multiplicity and diversity, but we must not overlook the fact that it is a multiplicity or diversity which is no longer of parts divided from each other but each of which exists and can be conceived of by itself in isolation or segregation from the rest or in purefy exterenal relations to them Here on the contrary, the multiplicity or diversity is that of parts or elements each of which exists in and through the rest and has its individual being and significance only in its relation to the rest or each of which can be known only when it is seen in a sense to be the rest. We cannot, for example, take the combination of two external independent things in space and employ it as a representation of the relation of mind and its objects for though thought be distinguishable from the object, it is not divisible from it. The thinker and the object thought of are nothing apart from each other. They are twain and yet one The object as only object for the subject, the subject for the object They have no meaning or existence taken individually and in their union they are not two separate things stuck together but two that have lost or dissolved their duality in a higher unity" ("An Epitome of Jamism" pages 106 109)

The subject and the object merging into a higher unity sounds more like-idealstic rhetoric than philosophical logic. Here we have an echo of Brudley and Bosanquet. The authors have drunk deep of Hegel but they have not disceroed what is living and which is dead to Hegel. No doubt the Jaina Conception of Dravya is closely allied to the Hegelian dialectic but the Jaina metaphysics does not contemplate the Hegelian absolote. The Authors who do not spare the Indian Absolutist, Sankara, for his misonderstanding of Syadraada do not hesitate to make obsisance to his western coooterpart. This inconsistency is quite glaring and the misrepresentation of Jaina writer amore careful study of their own system and a little less of that hypnotic ill mion by and the blind adoration to the German Idealism would have enabled our authors to see that the System they expound is a bold and masterly refutation of the philosophical Absolution of anient India.

JAINA LOGIC.

NAYAS

The next topic relating to Jaim Logic is about 'Nayas' This is the second means of understanding things the first being "Praindinas". All concrete things are extremely complex, they have innumerable qualities, and relations. The Reals being such complex entities, they may be examined from different aspects. This apprehension of a tisting from a particular point of view is, known as naya—an opioion of an assection from some one aspect. Every aspect of a thing in its own way reveals the nature of thit thing. Hence Naya is a means of insight into the nature of Reality. Theoretically the possible Nayas are infinite in number since the Reals have infinite qualities and relations. But writers on Jaina Logic generally speak of seven (7) different Nayas. These are Naigama, Samgraha, Vistahara Ruissitra, Sabda, Samabhrudha and Ecambhuta. Let us try to explain these in order.

NAIGAMA NAYA

This Naya seems to be somewhat obscure and is therefore differently interpreted by the Scholars Puysapada in his commentary on Soura 35 of Bk 1 Tauthártha Suira, expluins the Naya thus Nayams: is that which relates to the purpose or end of a course of activity. The illustrations given are—

- (1) You see a person carrying water, firewood and other necessaries for cooking meals and ask him "what are you doing?" "I am cooking meals" he replies. This answer refers to the purpose or end of a series of activity. The person is not actually in the act of cooking at the time of the answer.
- (2) The Second illustration refers to a person who goes with an are When he is asked what he is about he replies 'I am to bring a wooden measure (Prastha)" He is to cut a piece of bamboo perhaps and make a prastha out of it. Here again this measure is only the purpose or end to be realised.
- (3) In each of the two examples "Odana" and "Prastha" "food and "measure there is a central purpose which gives meaning to Recourse of eguduct of some duration. The course of conduct is represented by different moles of activity, at different stages. In

spite of this difference, the whole series and also every individual item tend to wards the ideal aimed at So far therefore the general purpose or sim may be said to be present in all the different stages of the course of conduct. It is the general purpose that gives meaning to the different items of the series and connects them into a whole. This emphasis on the teleological element which is immanent in a course of purpose activity seem to be Vaigama naya point of view.

The same interpretation with the same two illustrations of cooking and making a measure is adopted by SentaSigara, the author of a Vention Tatteartha' called after him Sentasagare, am The same illustrations are again found in Prame, a Kamala Murtanda a treatise on Logic

This Naigama Vasa is further subdivided into three according to the true relations of the teleological and interpreting idea. The ivo illustrations refer to some present course hence they come under—

- (1) Variamana Naigima But there may be looking back to a past event. On the mortung of Desparati day you may say in a day is the Parinurrana Kala of Lord Mahavira. But Lind Mahavira does notatituin Nirrana on that day which you are activily speaking about. The event took piles several centuries ago. Yet it was on a corresponding day of that year. Because of this correspondence an event true of the day centuries ago is also associated with all such corresponding days of the solvequent years. Thus we speak of the King's birth day, the Darbar day every year. The assertion has meaning only because of a past event. This characteristic attribute of the present—the genuinely belonging to the past yet traosferred to the pre-ent, because of an ideotical relation between the two is pertaining to
- (2) Bhuta Naigama (Past Nasgama) lostead of looking back to the past you may look forward to a remote future. Instead of detecting to the concrete present some element which was once associated with it, you may discover in it something which is jet to be. At the sight of a prince you may ha! Here-comes His Royal Highness." The Prince is but Lion of the Royal family. He is not yet King but is going to be on. Similarly you may speak of every Bhavya Jiva a good soul as Siddha Jiva, a perfect soul. For somehow in

the far off future Perfection will be the goal of all, for every one is God in the germ.

Such an assertion is true according to Bháva Naigama-future Naigama

The other wav of interpreting this Naigama Naya is associated with Siddhasena who is quoted by Hermann Jacobi under his trans lation of the Sutra 33 (referred to above) of Umasvati's Tativartha Sre Deva Sure who is quoted by Mallishena in his Syadvada Maujari also adopts this second view. But curiously this is not so very prominent in Umasvatis own Bhashs a, any ho v this method of inter preting the Naya starts with the examination of the relation between the universal and the particular, Samans and Vishesha For this Nya ya and Vaiseshika systems are referred to as adopting this Naigama Naya in an ekanta manner (i e) These two system adopt this Naya so fir as they go, but push it to an unwarranted length Sinkhya and Vedanta deny altogether Veseshas-particulars Buddhiam denies-Samanya universal outright Against these two extremes the above systems recognise the importance of both. The universal by itself or the particular by self will not be able to account for a concrete thing These will be empty abstractions. Again one cannot be derivative from and secondary to the other. The thing is an organic unity of both Samanya and Visesha universal and There can be to (Samanya) universal apart from the particular and no particular (Visesha) apart from the universal and there can be no real thing apart from either. This seems to be the fundamental Jama view of the Real

The very same view is said to be adopted by the Nayyayika's and the Vestshikas. Therefore both the Jainas and the others adopt the Naigama point of view. But wherein the Jainas differ from the others? It is here. No doubt Nayyayikas and Vestshikas adopt the Naigama view by maintaining that the concrete thing is the complex made up of the unversal and the particular (Samanyas and Vishs askar). No doubt they maintain that these two are different and therafore distinguishable. No doubt they believe each is in itself primary and not derivative. So far they agree with the Jainas. But while the Jainas believe that the distinction between Samanya—universal and Vistsha—priticular, is true only in a relative was the Naiyayikas and the Vistshikas maintain that it is absolutely true.

Samanya is quite different and distinct from Viserha. It is because of this absolute difference between the two that in their hands this Naya becomes Naigamabhasa. They are kathanchit bhinnah and not alyanta bhinnah.

After explaining thus the Naigama Naya, Sri Deva Suri enumerates three species of this Naigama distinction.

1.—Differentiating two qualities one from the other. e. g. existence and thought are in sool Sat chaitanyam almani." Here Thought is differentiated from existence.

2.-Differentiation two substances, e.g. Dravya is that which manifests through things and their modes.

" Vastu paryayayah Dravyam."

3.—Differentiating 2 thing from its attribute., e. g. a seosual person has only a momentary pleasure. "Kshavarnekam Sukhi Vishayasaktajica."

Thus (1) existence is spoken to be separate from Thought, (2) a thing from its mode and (3) a person as different from his pleasure. Contrast in all these cases is true only in a relative way. As we saw above the very same illustrations are reproduced by H. Jacohi in his translation.

But when we attend to Mallishena, we find evidently both the interpretations given in his Syadvada Manjari. He begins by explaining Naigama Nava in the same way as Dera Suri or Siddhaseng does. He refers the readers to an earlier portion of his book. When there is a discussion of the relation between Samanya and Visesha. Hence he does not want to add anything further under this Naya and ends the passage by mentioning two well-knowo examples given in Pravachana the Divine word What the two examples? He just mentions two names; and they appear to be cryptic. But this need not he altogether helpless. His words are Pravachana Prasiddha Nilayana Prastha dristantadura, etc., Nilayana and Prastha-are the words here. In Punabada we have Odana and Prastha. Instead of food and a measure we have a house and a measure. The rest is quite clear, illustrations leave us in no doubt as to the meaning of the Nava. House-hailding or making a measure refers to the purpose or the ideal. It relates to "Samkalba mátra" as Puivabada sava.

The next question we have to face is How does Mallishena manage to give one explanation and to bring in the illustrations pertaining to the other interpretation? Here we must confess we are driven to conjecture. We do not know wherefrom he is quoting the examples It may refer to an another from whom both Pujyapada and Hallishena draw their inspiration What justi fication is there for Mallishena's attempt to bring the two views together? The teleological element or purpose may be taken to be the common basis for both the views. In the case of house building, or measure constructing the thing which is to be the Goal is indicated by the purpose of the individual. This purpose embodies the ideal nature of the thiog which is the concrete realisa tion of the same. Similary the distinction between the universal and particular is purely teleological. What is particular from one point of view may be universal from another. In fact the particular is drawn out of the universal. It is through the medium of the particular that the universal expresses its nature If you remember this point then it is clear to us that the distinction entirely depends upon the purpose in view. It is this purposive nature that brings the two views together. What are apparently divergent have this common foundation Perhaps Vallishena had this in his mind when he interpreted the Nargama one way and illustrated tt in the other way This compromise > offered as a provisional suggestion

(ii) Sanigraha Naya The next Vaya is the class point of view. The nature of things as understood by the Jaina System is such that there is a similarity and identity among a number of individuals.

These individuals naturally fall into appropriate classes. When we consider them as individuals belonging to a class our attention is directed to the underlying similarity to the exclusion of their individual and proper characteristics. From this underlying principle of classification we may consider the individuals as a whole and a Unity. Here again the unity is only relatively true. The unity here rests on the underlying similarity among the number of individuals brought under the same class. But there is a great danger in forgetting the elementary fact of this class point of view. The individuals forming the class though spoken of as a whole and unitary class are really distinct from one another and may be really

differentiated by not only their intrinsic natures but also by intervals of Space and Time. To emphasise the unity at the cost of the plurality and difference would be a distinct metaphysical error. It is this erroncous application of Samgraha Naja that accounts for the system of Advaita Vedunta. Too much emphasis on the unity and the complete ignoring of the diversit is the charactristic of this system. A similar mistake is found in its western counterpart of Hegelian Idealism. Both agree in condemning the difference, as appearances and Naya and in accepting the ultimate absolute as the one reality.

But Juna thinkers noticed very early both the utility as well as the danger of this Samgrana Naja. This class point of view is quite useful and rational in its own was. It contributes to economy of thought be enabling us to deal with a number of things as one

This is not merely justified by practical convenience but also by the philosophical principle of the common nature of the common nature by itself is but an abstraction. Hence to set it up as the reality and the only reality is doubly erroneous. So long as its relative nature is remembered the Naya has its own uses. But in the hands of the Samkhjas and mimansikas it becomes absolute and thus a Navabhasa.

This Samgraha Naja is of two kinds Para Samgraha or the ultimate class view and Apara Samgraha or the inferior class view Every existing thing pritakes of the nature of Reality or Existence But the different classes of things living and non living included in this ultimate Reality may Hiemseltes be spoken of as different classes. This is Apara Samgraha or the inferior class view.

(in) VYAVAHÂRA Vyavahara Naya ments the popular and conventional point of view, which rests on sense perception of the concrete present. This is the basis of the ancient materialistic systems of the Charvakas and Brahaspatjas. The whole Criterion of Reality is the Concrete present. The forgotten past and the far off future are unwarranted myths not justified by the only pramana of sense perception. Looking back into the past through memory and keeping into the future through ideal forceast are

philosophical uucertainties. The same applies to the categories obtained by Intellectual analysis such as Samanya and Visesha Universal and particular

Sense perception reveals to us a tree or a stone or a pot or a cloth These are the real things supported by the *Pramanas* and sanctioned by *Vyavahara* or convention Whoever has perceived at any time either *Samanya* or *Visasha*? Why should philosophers trouble themselves about these metaphysical abstractions The concrete reality of things is sufficient for our practical life and what is justified by this pragmatic criterion is so far theoritically true

Here again the Jaina thinker recognises the partial truth of the principle. The tree in the compound the stone on the path way, the pot with water and the cloth you were are all real things. Their are not appearance or illusions of Vaya Their reality is corroborated by our concrete experience. To say this much is certainly acceptable and true. But to go beyond to condemn everything that is not included in the concrete present to deny the past and the future and to reject the philosophical categories in to loss to surrender reason to sense perception is the apotheous of convention. Hence Jaina thought rightly rejects the unwarranted exaggeration of this V_2 014 hara Naya though it recognises in it the soul of goodness and an element of partial truth

(iv) Riju Surna This Riju Sitra is the extreme opposite of the Sa graha Vaya The latter denies all difference whereis Riju Sutra denies all continuity and identity Reality is concentra ted to mathematical present It is purely momentary. In this respect it is still narrower than the viatah iric present. At least for viava hera view there is a tolerable duration, for, the present and the conventional things are real so far But according to this Riju Sutra Nay i a thing is what it is in the present mathematical moment speak of duration of a thing is rejected by this view as an unwarranted as umption What we are absolutely sure of is just the present moment. The past moment is no more and the next mo ment is not vet. Hence a thing as being in the no more or in the not yet is sheer contradiction. If it is real at all it must be in the present moment. We at once recognise the identity between this Riju Satra view and Buddhistic metaphysics Its aim is as that of Buddhism to expose the pretensions of un unchanging

mataphysical substratum of things. As a corrective to such a conception of changeless substratum Buildhist metaphysics adopts Riju Sutra view and brings the centre of gravity to the present moment. Thus it enables to secure the balance between change and permanence. Change partakes of the nature of time duration. It shares with it the ephemerality. There is some truth in maintaining the reality of change and in concentrating it to one moment over emphasise the neglected element of chaoge as a set off against Vedanta and to secure a hal itation for it in the camp of Reality is certainly a commendable metaphysical venture. But to identify reality with mathematical moment, in emphasis change as the only real and to make it live in a metaphysical void is to overshoot one's mark. It is this erron-ous and uncalled for accent on change to the detriment of the relating and the unifying principle of Reality without which, change will have no meaning. It is this Riju Sitrabhaish a that the Jaina system asks us to beware of. If this principle is the sale criterion of Reality, then reality would end itself by committing suicide to employ a suggestive phrase of Bradley's

(v.) Subda-Nava or the implication of Terms or names. The name has the function of calling to our mind, the particular object which is referred to or implied by the name. Of sourse the implication need not necessarily be an individual object. An attribute, a relation and ortion may be referred to by appropriate words in the language Thus the grammatical distinction of terms into parts of speech his an underlying logical foundation. The particular kind of meaning is associated with a particular part of specific Thus the difference in meaning corresponds to the difference among the terms. Thus a sort of intimate relation exists between a term and its meaning. Variation in the term may introduce a corresponding variation in the meaning. Thus not only the difference of the parts of speech implies a broad difference among the meanings but also the inflexional variations in the same part of speech may be said to have corresponding variations, however, slight they be. This principle of correspondence between the terms and their meanings is the foundation of the science of grammar. We have already mentioned that there is a relation to logic implicitly present in this grammatical principle. Indian grammarians in their discussion of verbal implications very often pass become their locationate sobere and enter into logical and quasi-m-taphya cal discuss one. Such

an exaggerated notion about the verbal implication would be not only illogical but also conflicting with common sense and convention Jaina logicins therefore raise a note of a raing against such an unwarranted application of this principle and point out the logical danger in that one sided embh is of the relation between Sabda and Artha

Their contention is this No doubt generally speaking the gramma tical principle or Sabia Vava adopted by the Vayakaranis is sanction ed by usage. But to assume it to be an universal principle without an exception would be neglecting the difference between the relative and the absolute and identifying the partial truth with the whole and the complete one Ordinarily each name has its oun meaning. The term 'con is differe t from king not merely in word but also in meaning But this is als a true Words which are different in nature and origin may nevertheless refer to the same identical object Fraggerated and universal application of the Sabda Nava of the grammarians cannot conveniently accommodate synonyms in the That there are synonyms and that they are distinct from one another literally no grammarian can afford to deny unswerving localty to his principle of sabda nasa would constrain him to accept such an absurdity. The only way out of the difficulty is to accept the Taina interpretation of sabda naya according to which the relation between terms and meanings is a relative principle. The illustrations generally offered are the synonym Indra, Sakra and burandhara names referring to the one and the same individual the Lord of the Devas Similarly terms differing not merely in origin as the above but also in number gender person case etc. may still refer to the same individual fact. Thus pashyaha (masculne) Tara (femi nine) Varshatram (Neuter) in spite of difference of gender do refer to the same object -Star Again in a sentence, terms referring to the same individual object may appear in different cases, and if a verb, in different sense and person may refer to the same activity subordination of grammatical differences of inflexion to the logical implication of terms seems to be the essential principle of sabda nava as understood in the Jama It is not necessary to repeat that the nava in the hands of the grammarians because of ekanta application degenerates into a false nava-(Sabdabhasa)

vi Samabhirulah naja—the dernative difference of names. This samabhirud ih najas is the differentiation of terms according to their roots. Thus it is only a special application of Sabda naja. In

becoming specialised it becomes narrower and more exaggerated than the above nay: As a general rule the terms in a language have their own special radical signification. This radical signification is the reason for the particular nomenclature. The first appearance of the word was evidently suggested by such an implication of the root course this does not mean the connotation of the name Connotation is the ground of the application of the name whereas the significance of the root accounts for the origin of the name. The former is logical and univer al whereas the fatter may be purely subjective and even accidental. The science of history of language may discover various principles subs rvii g the origin of names in a vocabulary. To the historian of language this is certainly animportant principle detect radical difference in the vocabulary and to trace the history of different terms from this original seed difference is certainly a commendable pursuit. But this nuclear difference interesting to the historian of language is not so very important as to swallow up all the other grammatical and logical principles of implication. Here ag in the nava is discovered to be a relative one by Jaina Logic which enjoins a necessary circumscription to the above claims put forward by the historian of language. For example, it is true that the terms 'ran (cow) is different from Indra ' This difference can be traced to their re pective roots. Hence the difference in the roots must mean a corresponding diff rence in the terms and therefore in their meanings Accurately speaking says this naya the terms 'India,' Sakra and

aspect is contemplated by the root of a term and it is this contemplated aspect that is the legitimate meaning of the term in its current insage The very same thing in a different attitude must be designated by a different term altogether Thus for example, the term 'gan umplies an animal in motion That which moves is a gan' or cow' The same term therefore should not be applied when the animal is at rest or lying down Movement is fundamentally different from lying down and therefore the same term 'cow hould not be applied to an animal at two such fundamentally different attitudes Fundamental difference is the logical implication mu t necessarily be indicated by literal differ e ice on the term This is the contention of evamblut i naya The term must just de ignate the particular asj ect or attitude in the object referred to fi the term goes beyond that it will be a source of con fision and ambiguity. Language instead of revealing things as they are would only c nceal them

This grammatico logical content on may be conceeded partially in a perfect vocabulary this ought to be the principle but the language that we ue is not so evolved under the guidance of such a rigorous logical princip. Hence it would be an egregious blunder to identify, what actually exists with what ought to be, logically. Therefore this exambhuta naya interpreted without reference to concrete usage and convents nal meaning would only end in meaningle a verbiage.

These are the Seven nayas referred to in Jaina logic. The first four are called ariha nayas inarmuch as they deal with objects of knowledge, whereas the other three are called Sabda nayas inasmich as they pertain to terms and their meanings. The same seven are sometimes otherwise grouped. The first three come under Dracya naya whereas the other four come under paryaya naya. The former means the substantive aspect whereas the latter mens the a pect of change or manifestation.

These najas have an important place in the Aneksula Vada of the Jaina system. All human descriptions and predications are relative and circumscribed inasmuch as they issue forth from the limited and partial nature of the intellect. Not only in our every day speech but also in the language of the metaphysical statements have their own context and relation universals ing their meaning apart from their setting in the background would read in practical inconvenience and philosophical confusion. Juna thinkers recognising the extreme

complexity of reality are never nearred of emphasising the anekanta as pect. Multifaced reality may fead to 'multitude of descriptions. Every one of them may be partialfy true but not one of them is really true. Philosophy is but the fable of the seven blind men and the elephant Each one perceives a certain aspect of the real and congratulates himself that that is the only reality. When reality would not fit in with his own petty framework then there is the ruthless pruning and chopping to make it convenient. Then there is the denial of certain inconvenient things as appearance and illusion. System building in philosophy has always been the process of providing reality with a procrustean bed. But one who knows smiles at the simplicity of human philosophising. The critical caution that there are more things in heaven and carth thin are dreamt of in your philosophy was sounded several centuries before the Christian eta by the Anekanta-valuis.

Our account of the nayas will be incomplete without a mention of the six nay as which are generally employed in the examination of the According to Jama Metaphysies Jita or soul has the nature of souls nature of consciousness or chetana. When it is viewed in its pure state unitmited by extraneous conditions it has its thought characteristics fully and perfectly developed. This state of its existence represents the Ideal of Perfection or Sid thahoo! But even in this ideal state the nature of the self does not lose its complexity. Even in its infinite radiance the self does not lose its Dravia nature or dynamic constitu It is not distinct and separable from its own infinite qualities It is the richness of cootent that marks the Jaina conception of Perfection as against the milil stic attitude of Vedanta which speaks of quality less existence as the ult mate Reality Existence apart from qualities would be an empty abstraction and is therefore all the more unfit to stand for the ul smate reality. It is this unalienable unity that exists between the Real and its qualities that may be said to be the central doctrine of the Jaina metrphysics

This pure and perfect state of the self is a thing to be achieved Ordinarily the existence of the self is somewhat different. Its infinition into its limited its glory abated and radiance dimined by alben conditions and limitations. By its own general weakness and disposition it weaves round itself material sheaths some subtle and some gress. These material sheaths form the encumbrates and limitations which make the cycle of births and deaths possible for the Jiras.

In this state [Iva is generally an embodied consciousness or an organism. In its normal state it may be evident to the senses through its gros organic body. In its subtle state of interval for death to ano her birth even though it easts off its grosser sheath it is still endowed with a subtle karmic body which serves as the nucleus for building up its appropriate body of the next generation. Jiva in these states is said to be the conditional one Sopadhi Jiva as differentiated from the unconditioned perfect self Virubadhi Jiva

Jiva in its samsaric state is not only associated with a body of its own but with several other things living and non living environment in which it lives moves and has its being is generally wider than its own corpored frame Even in the case of animals and birds there is such a wider interest than the mere instinct of self preservation. The parental instinct of helping and preserving the young one, and filial instinct of falling back upon the support of the parents from the prehuman biological foundation of the institution of family among human beings. When we come to human society this widening of the environmental horizon of the self becomes all the more marked. Several economical and social institutions, such as owning property or belonging to a particular social order or a nation ail these contribute to extending the personality so as to coincide with its environments. There is a personal pride and pleasure in extending one's own property. There is a sympathetic feeling of joi or sorrow with the prosperity or adversity of the family or the nation to which the individual belong. The nature of the personality there force is determined by the extent and diversity of interest. We feel offended when any injury is done to our possessions. We feel it a personal insult if any one who is near and dear to his is unfairly treated. The self which is by it, own intrinsic nature a complex entity becomes all the more complex by identyfying itself through its interest with its environment of things and persons. Under such circumstance, it is an extremely difficult problem to define the exact nature of the soul and to point out its own appropriate boundary Hence the necessity of the application of Najas or points of view These Nay is as already mentioned are specially designed for the purpose of explaining the nature and defining the limits of the self.

To start with there are two main aspects or Najas Nischija and Vjavahara. The former repre ents the true and complete point of

view. There is no distroction between Dravya and its gunas. The self is looked at as a whole with all the wealth of its attributes. The latter represents the partial point of view. The complex nature of the self is analysed jato its diverse qualities and our attention may be directed to any particular attribute with which the self may be 'identified at the moment. Further the former Nischaya Naya is divided into Suddha Nischaya and Asuddha Nischya Naya, 'Suddha Nischaya Nava bolds the self in its oure and unconditioned Nirubadhi state. Disentangled from all its material exironment and limitation the seli radiates in its pristine glory through all its nealth of infinite qualities This aspect where the self is in its qualities and its qualities are pure and unadjoyed expression of the nature of this self is the topic of Suddha Nischaya Naya The second Asuddha Nischaya Naya contemplates the self as caught in the meshes of the material evironment the Sopadhi state. The presence of Upadhi makes it impure or Asiddha. Its intriasic glory is dimmed but still it is viewed as a whole with its complete nature as expressed in its attributes though somewhat warped by alien influences. This is the self according to Assidha. Nis. hava Nava.

has no metaphorical and transferred import. Thus finally we have 4 kinds of Vyavahira Naya 1 Anupacharita Satbháta Vyavahāra Naya 2 Upacharita Satbhúta Vyavahara Naya 3 Anupacharita Asatbháta Vyavahora Naya 4 Upacharita Asatbháta Vyavahara Naya

Now the first is mainly Vyavahara masmuch as it analyses the attributes from the thing is Sathhita inasmuch as it emphasises the genuice and intrinsic attributes and is Anupacharita inas much as it is free from figurative and transferred implication The illustration of this is the sell is of the nature of Jr and or knowledge The second Naja is Vyavahara for the reason stated above and Sathhuta for the same and Upacharita because the epithet is figurative and transferred. When we speak of Jnona or knowledge as Pramana or the criterion of truth we are thinking of the objects of knowledge or Artha Artha uself may be spoken of as a manifestation of knowledge or Jaana Vikalpa To speak of artha or the object as a modification of knowledge is only figuratively true in the case of external objects. These heing physical in nature are acheiana and yet as object of knowledge may be spoken of as modification of Jrana What intrinsically belongs to the self is transferred to its object because of the relation brought about by the process of knowledge The third Vaja is Annipacharita Asatbhita Vyavihāra The only term that demands explanation is Arati huta here It refers to the identification of the Self with some alien properties For example to consider one s own body as oneself which is generally done in ordinary life is Asatbhata Vyavahara This is not merely figurative as the statement is sanctioned by the intimate interrelation that exists between the soul and the body. Hence 'this body is mine or I am this, pointing to the body is a statement which is justified according to Anupacharita Asathhuta Vyavahara Naya The last Naya is the Upachdrita form of the same Here the alien quality or the thing with which the self is identified lack that intimate relation that exists between the soul and its own hody. To call the ornameots as one s own, to claim entain individuals as one's relating to possess certain things as ones own property in short to identify ones personality with alien things and persons is possible only to a figurative sense Each personality is distinct from and alien to

the others though all by co existing together may partake of common environment and enter into definite relations. Still from the meta physical point of view one's destiny is in one's own hands. Therefore the popular identification of the self with the other things said to be cwied by it is a figurative and transferred predication or Uprcharita Asathhita Vyarahara Naya. These four kinds of Vyarahara Naya together with the two Nisclaya Vayas constitute the six Nayas which are specially employed to fathom the depth of human personality.

The object of these Najas generally of the former seven and specially of the latter six 1 to reveal the intrinsic nature of per sonality in its comic environment. It is not merely a matter of theoretical interest that underlies the e Najas Apprehension and understanding of the nature of reality must be a useful means in the hands of the self to reach the safe haven of Beatitude from which But besides this practical aspect there is another there is no return aspect to the question. The truth that is apprehended by the Pramanas and the Vajas must be available for the general public Ever growing under the weight of sorrow and yearning to have that Bliss which they koow only by faith and hope Hence is the necessity for expressing the truth through Scripture This Reveal ation of the truth through language is the WORD or Syadrada This pertains to the principle of conditional predication which is sevenfold This seven fold predication is known as Saftabhangi

SAPTABHANGI.

SAPTABHANGI OR THE SEVEN MODES OF PREDICATIONS

The Dialectic of Syadvala is no less puzzling to Indian students of philosophy both old and new, than the Hegelian dialectic is to the European philosophers Syatvada and its counterpart appear at first sight self conflicting and unwarratned But they have an important principle—nay the fundamental principl- of reality as their substriction and justification. Closer examination reve is in them a clear grisp of truth. Every other theory of knowledge is fading into insignificance before the principle of conditional predication.

Everything existing from the bespangled heavens above to the immost core of human personality is in a process of cliange and modification. If we trust ourselves to the imagination of the astronomer which enables him to look back through eons of the past cosmic bistory or to have a glimpse of the far off future we see the universe as a motion picture on the screen of existence. What is a twinkling star at present was ence upon a time gigantic nebulous mass of several million miles diameter. Then by process of aggregation and condensing there is the formation of a denser nucleus which becomes larger and larger by the same process which in its turn leads to the evolution of an enormous quantity of heat. This leads to the formation of the fiery orb which by the same laws of motion gives birth to a number of incandescent masses. These held to the parent by the laws of gravitation form the planetary systems.

Side by side with this evolution of a sun with its planetary system we have the converse drama enacted. Either due to a mysterious explosion or due to a clash of star with star there bursts into existence a new mass of nebula. The telescope reveals to human view not only the nursery of distant solar systems, but also the decay and dissolution of the decrepht and defunct starry systems. The starry heavens are but the cosmic alphabet which spell the three sublime words birth, growth and decay.

Turn now to our own earth which is but a tiny speck in space when compared to the Sun and the Stars. Here also the same process

The formation of the crust of the earth is after terrible volcanic convultions which had taken place in the early history of the earth. The geological changes are followed by the appearance of life on the surface, then the wonderful evolution of the plant and animal kingdoms are all indelibly written on the different strata of the earth. This also repeats the same story. Then life itself is a mysterious though subtle process of combined building up and breaking up. Turn where you will you see the same thing. Reality is a complex process of change and everything in it is partaking of the same process.

Nothing is merely permanent, nothing is merely changing. The accorn grows to the oak, the seed is in the plant and the tree and yet it is not there as the seed. Everything lives by the process of death. This message of the organic reality is an important contribution to philosophy.

Hegel founded his metaphysics on Legic—nay identified both. But his Logicis not the mere scholastic logic on which his predecessor Kant built his system Hegel's logic came as a disturber of peace to the then philosophic thought, but it ultimately led to the emancipation of thought from scholastic thraidom. He proclaimed boldly to the world that infirmation and negation are ultimately reconciled by a higher unity is' and 'is not' are really identical and same for they are but the aspects of the same reality. To one who is familiar with this dialectical process of thesis and antithesis reconciling in a higher synthesis, the following presentation of sapitabhangs will lose its paradoxical nature and discover the underlying truth

same gold is used Was Socretes a Greek or Roman? He was Greek and not Roman What is the Kaiser now? He is and yet is not the Kaiser These are familiar questions and answers. All these exhibit the possibility of predicating affirmation and negation of the same thing Is and is not, can significantly refer to the same subject. The only thing we have to remember is that the point of view is different in each case There is nothing my sterious nothing incredible. When the same subject can have two such predicates no one predicate can monopolise the subject to itself. There will always be some aspect of the subject left out by this predicate and this left out aspect can very well be expressed by the rival predicate. This implies that under no circum stances can we have a predication which is the only true predication about the subject. There can be no judgment absolutely true and excluding every other judgment about the same topic. Hence we have recourse to qualified assertions as the only available ones under the circumstances These qualified or conditional assertions are primarily two affirmation and negation

- (1) Perhaps X 18
- (2) Perhaps X is not.

These two aspects are inherent in the same thing, hence we can say

- (3) Perhaps X is and is not, here we are contemplating the whole thing in its two aspects which are kept apart and attended to severally. But these two aspects are inherent in and expressive of one single identity. Hence they may be considered together jointly as expressing the single identity. In that case there is no chance of asserting two composely by a single predicate, for the simple reason that there can be no such predicate. Therefore we have to confess our inability to and proclaim the bankruptey of vocabulary for having such an assertion. This fact becomes the fourth mode of predication.
 - (4) Perhaps X is indescribable
- Remembering this helpless nature of our tongue, we may still qualify this by each of the first three predicates. Thus we have the last three modes of predications

Which are (5) Perhaps X is though indescribable

- (6) Perhaps \ and is not though indescribable
- (7) Perhaps X is and is not though indescribable

In their traditional form these are -

- (1) Szadasti
- (2) Syannasti
- (3) Svadastinasticha
- (4) Syadaraktaryah
- (5) Syadastı avaktavyalı
- (6) Syadnasti avaktavyah
- (7) Syadastı nastı avaktavyalı

These seven modes of predication are usually illustrated with reference to some object such as a Jar or Ghata. Whether it is to have an affirmative predicate or negative one depends respectively on four aspects its on aform matter, place and time leading to affirmation and alien form matter, place and time bringing in negation to the jar. A jar is real or is affirmed with reference to Srarupa, Sradravya Soakshetra, Srakala and is unreal or is denied from the point of view of pararupa, paradravya, parakshetra and parakula. When we have affirmation and negation for their realons the subsidiary modes are derived from these two

1 Then what is the Srarupa or intrinsic form of a Jar And what is its prarupa? When we hear the word par uttered, the term invariably imples a certain definite group of attributes through which a particular object is designated hitherem. The elegantial attributes coonoted by the term Jar will be its Starupa. The attributes of any other object implied by any other term will be its pararupa—will be also to the Jar. Existence rests on Srarupa and noo existence on pararupa. If existence is predicated of the Jar both from its own form as vellas that of analien thing like clo h (pata) then the jar will be its distinctive character and become one with cloth. If on the other hand noo existence is predicated from its own form as from alternative the other will be no jar at all. Neither of these results stand to reason.

Jar A exists on account of svarapa and does not on account of para ritha. If non existence is associated with svarapa then there will be no jar at all, if existence follows from pararipa then all jars will become one without distinction and there will be no separate individual ones.

Then Ghata jar is made by the potter The mass of clay on the potter's wheel is not yet a jar. It is only the finished product that is a jar. This finished form is its starupa any other stage in its form atton is its pararupa. The former leads to affirmation the latter leads to negation.

- 2 What is its own matter? Clay is Svadravya and gold is paradravya. The Jara is of clay and is not of gold. Svadravyena asti, paradravyena nasti.
- 3 What is a sown place or Svakshetra? The ground where the Jar is, is its Svakshetra and every other place is its Parakshetra. The Taj is in Agra (Svakshetra) and is not in Delhi (Parakshetra). If the Jar exists in Parakshetra also then there will be no place with out a Jar. In the case of the Taj every place will have a Taj Mahal. If the thing is not even in its own place theo there will be no Jar any where in the world. Either result will be unsatisfactors
- 4 What is its own time or Stakula? The Jars Svakala is the duration of the present in which it is intact. Its past when it was a mass of clay on the potter's wheel and its future when it will be a heap of broken shells will be its Parakala. Its existence in its own time and non existence in other times will be quite evide! So also with every other object. Socrates existed at a particular age of Athenean History and is not existing now. If a thing exist in Parakala also as in Svakala then it will be eternal, if it does not exist in Svakala, as in Parakala then it will be nothing, for existence implies a relation to its time of duration.

Thus a thing is affirmed in its four fold self relation form, matter, place and time, and is denied in its four fold alien relation

Now the Svirapa etc, are determined with reference to the four fold other relation of Pararupa, etc The self relation apart from the other relation has no meaning But how are we to determine the four kinds of relation —Pararupa, Paradraya, etc These must deperd on their environmental relations and these latter again on others. Thu we pass oo from our conforment to others still wider without having

any clear grasp of the self-relation The distinction between a thing and its other rests on the sandy foundation of a visious indefinite regress Hence the iffirmation and negation also fall to the ground

This objection rests on misapprehension. The distinction between the fourfold self relation and other relation does not rest on an indefinite regress. The essent all nature of a thing not only implies its Svarupa but differentiates itself from Pararapa. In experience we not only perceive a thing but perceive it as distinct from other things. A Jar is seen not merely as a Jar but as a thing distinct from cloth by its side. Without this distinction there can be no perception of the Jar at all. The very process of self assertion implies differentiat on from non-self. Hence the change of indefinite regress is quite unwarranted.

Now, according to this theory asti and nasti (is and is not) may be predicated of Prameya the objective aspect of knowledge of the process of apprehending an object. This dual predication must test on Spariph and Pararipa of Prameya. What is its Starapa and what is its Pararipa Pararipa of Prameya means non Prameya anything other than Prameya we have no means of knowing Hence the theory must fail in this case.

No says the Logician The state of being apprehended by knowledge Pramyaira is the Scarifa of Prameya This implies in general the relation of object to the knower anything besides this relation, even the object will be distinct from Prameyaira and hence will be the Parariba in this context. Now this theory must be applicable to the ultimate existence of Mahusaita. If it is and is not are to be oredicated of this Surmium Genus what are its Svariba and Parariba? For the latter cannot be as there can be no other form or matter or place or time not included in this The parariba of Ulahasaita need not imply the existence of other things besides itself that would be self-contradictory. But still we can speak of its Parariba when we contemplate something which falls short of the all comprehensive universal. Any of its parts will be so far the negation of whole—its Parariba Now asti affirmation depends on self-masti negation on other

Now asti automation depends on self masti negation on other things Asti which is conditioned by self can very well belong to a thing But if nasti which is conditioned by other than the Jar

e g is also predicated of the Jar Then it would imply that the Jar participates in the nature of its other—say cloth — This will lead to mere confusion

This objection also is based on a misapprehension. Affirmation no doubt rests on the nature of the self the Jar. The negation resting on another thing—Pata (cloth does not mean that the Jar also has the nature of a cloth. That would be absurd. The clear cot boundary between things will vanish and with it all knowledge Ast_1 —implies self assertion $nast_1$ implies alien exclusion. A thing not only asserts its own individuality, but also repulses anything alien to it. It is this element of repulsion that everything must have in order to be real that entitles it to have the negative predicate. Instead of leading to a confusion this element of differentiation is the only basis for self assertion of a thing Ast_1 and ast_2 assertion and exclusion are inalienably present in the same thing. Wherever there is ast_1 there is ast_2 also

Now this association of this two asti and nasti—in the same thing appears quite unwarranted. For on the one hand when we perceive a Jar we see mere asti without nasti and on the other hand in the case of certain impossible and unreal concepts such is assess horns sky flower there is mere n isti without asti.

This is not quite correct. In the case of any perceived object nasti does not mean that the thing should not exist as such and yet be perceived. That would be meaningless Nasti means nothing more than that element of repulsion and differentiation which isolates a thing from its background and give it a determinate and positive nature. In this sense nasti is inseparable from asti and it is the sense in which it is used. As to the other case of impossible and unreal concepts where is the positive foundation If sky flower is quite real may if it has a slight positive basis it will cease to mean an unreal and an impossible thing nature seems to be pure negation and nothing less than that This cannot be answers our Logician How can there be any negation without any significance A significant negation must have some positive basis, otherwise it will be more nonsense. The elements constituting the concept are by themselves real and are justified by the canons of experience We have seen horns in a cow;

we have seen an ass or a horse These ate existing and real But the fanciful combination of an ass with horus or a flower with sky is unreal But for the experience of horns on the head of a cow or flower in a tree there can be not IL of an ass with horas or a flower in the sky Without this positive basis of experience there will no elements to make up even a fanciful complex. Thus even the fanciful ideas of unicorn and centrur mist have some foundation in our experience Agun in the proposition Syadasti Jiva the terms asti and Jit a (life) must mean identically the same thing or different things If the meanings are of the same nature thin one cann to be predicated of the other 3, a pot cannot be the pred cate of a Jar both being co ordinate Further asti or ex stence is predical le of everything real If asts is identical with Jira then Jira al > must be predicated of everything But if Jiva is different from asis then there is no chance of predicating astrol Jira for they are entirely different from each other Further Jiva being different from asti and asti being the predicate of everything Jiia cannot be rainted to anything real, (1e) Jiva would become unr al You cannot m tain that Jiva though different from ast, can be said to have the predicate by a process of combination with it, for combination is impossible in the case of repelling elements

The horns of this dilemma are blunted by syadcada. These results need not frighten the Logierin to whom asti and Jin 7 are identical from the dravyartha view and different from paryartha view. They are different and yet identical. But for this dual nature there can be no predication at all.

The primary modes of prediction are three—Syadasti syannasti syadaskiayah The otler four are obtained by combining these three for a cording to sanklya philosophy everything is real and there for exists. According to Biddhism everything is momentary and unreal. Both these vi ware rejected by the Jainas as extremes The former is true according to the principle of Dravyarthika point of view the latter is true according to paryayarthika point of view the true in its own way and is not true absolutely. Again reality is inde cith ble according to the Vel unius who emphasise the urreachaning a spect of reality. Even this is only partially true

^{• [}This di cuss on from Sop 1 and Israng n reminds us of Braie s dismus on of the theory of Predicat on - in appearance and Peal by]

for otherwise even this predication "that Reality is indescribable" will be impossible.

The same seven modes of predication may be obtained in the case of following pairs of attributes; eternal and changing, one and many, universal and particular, etc. These pairs of opposites can very well be predicated of reality and these may yield the other derivative modes of predication. Thus practically every attribute by being affirmed and denied according to different aspects may bring about seven fundamental propositions true of real subject.

It may be said that after all this principle of Saptabhangi is a wanton indulgence in meaningless self contradiction. This objection has been sufficiently answered above. This is not a case of wanton paradox or purposeless pun. If the words are wantonly misinterpreted or understood in an unwarranted sense then it may be charged with wanton quibbling; for example in the statement that this person has a new woollen shawl (nata kambala) the term nava may be taken to mean also nme. Though the word is capable of such an interpretation still in this statement it does not mean that In spite of the context if a person retorts that assertion by saying "this person cannot have nine shawls as he is very poor," it would be wanton quibbling. There is no such wanton quibbling underlying Sabtabhano.

If it is not wanton quibbling it must merely be an expression of doubt. To say a thing may be as well as may not be is to exhibit ones own doubt and ignorance. At the best therefore the doctrine is a mode of scepticism. This charge of scepticism is certainly unfounded. Doubt expresses absence of determinate knowledge. If the prima facie appearance of a thing leads you to two different interpretations of which alternatives we cannot choose the right one then there is doubt as to its nature. Since its exact nature is unknown scepticism may be the result. But in the case of the contradictory propositions forming the basis of Sabfabhangi we have two different aspects each serving as the basis of one of the propositions. Hence there is neither doubt ner confusion in this case.

SANKARA AND SYADVADA.

THIBAUT'S TRANSLATION OF THE Bhashya.

"This doctrine we meet as follows: --- Your reasoning, we say, is inadmissible 'on account of the impossibility in one thing.' That is to say, it is impossible that contradictory attributes such as being and non-being should at the same time belong to one and the same thing; just as observation teaches us that a thing cannot be hot and cold the same moment. The seven categories asserted by you must either be so many and such or not be so many and such; the third alternative expressed in the words 'they either are such are not such' results in a cognition of indefinite nature which is no more a source of true knowledge than doubt is. If you should plead that the cognition that a thing is of more than one nature is definite and therefore a source of true knowledge, we deny this. For the unlimited assertion that all things are of a non-exclusive nature is itself something, falls as such under the alternative predications 'somehow it is' 'somehow it is oot' and so ceases to be a definite assertion The same happens to the person making the assertion and to the result of the assertion; partly they are, partly they are not. As thus the means of knowledge, the object of knowledge, the knowing subject, and the act of knowledge are all alike indefinite, how can the Tirthankara (Jing) teach with any claim to authority and how can his followers act on a doctrine the matter of which is altogether indeterminate? Observation shows that only when a course of action is known to have a definite result ; cople set about it without besitation. Hence a man who preclams a doctrine of altogether indefinite contents does not deserve to be listened to any more than a drunken man or a madman. Again, if we apply the Jaing reasoning to their doctrine of the five categories, we have to say that on one view of the matter they are five and on another view they are not five; from which fatter point of view it follows that they are either fewer or more than five. Nor is it logical to declare the categories to be indescribable. For if they are so, they cannot be described; but, as a matter of fact they are described so that to call them indescribable involves a contradiction. And il you go on to say that the categories on being described are ascertained to be such and such, and at the same time are not ascertained to be such and such, and that the result of their being ascertained is perfect knowledge or is not perfect knowledge, and that imporfect knowledge is the opposite of perfect knowledge or is not the opposite; you certainly talk more like a drunken or insane man than like a soler, trustworthy person. If you further maintain that the leavenly world and final release exist or do not exist and are

eternal or non eternal the absence of all determinate knowledge which is employed in such statement will result in nobody's acting for the purpose of gaining the heavenly world and final release. And moreover it follows from your doctrine that soil, non-soil and so on, whose nature you claim to have ascertained and which you describe as having existed from all eternity relapse all at once into the condition of ah olute indetermination. As therefore the two contridictory attributes of being and non being cannot belong to my of the categories—being excluding non being and rice tersa non being excluding being—the doctrine of the Arhit must be rejected.

RAMANUJA AND SAPTABHANGI

Thibant's Translation -With the help of this they prove that all things-which they declare to consist of substance (drays), and paryaya to be existing one and permanent in so far as the) . are sub tances, and the opposite is so far as they are baryayas By paryaya they under tand the particular states of substances and as those are of the nature of Being as well as Non being, they manage to prove existence, non existence and so on With regard to this the sutra remarks that no such proof is possible 'Not so, on account of the impossibility in one e because contradictory attributes such is existence and non existence cannot at the sume time belong to one thing not any more than light and darkness a substance and particular states qualifying it-and (by the Jamas) called paryaya -- are different things (pa fartha) one substance cannot be connected with opposite attributes. It is thus not possible that a substance qualified by the particular state such as existence, should at the same time be qualified by the opposite state, i e non existence The non permanency, further of a substance consists in its being the abode of those particular states which are called origination and destruction, how then should permanency, which is of an opposite nature, reside in the substance at the same time? Difference (blin natea) again consists in things being the abodes of contradictory aftri butes, non-difference which is the opposite of this cannot hence possilly reside in the same things which are the abode of difference, not any more than the generic character of a horse and that of a buffile can belong to one animal. But (the Jama may here be supposed to ask the veldatin) how can you maintain that Brahman,

although one only, yet at the same time is the self of all? Because we reply, the whole aggregate of sentient and non-sentient beings constitutes the body of the supreme person, omniscient, omnipotent and so on And that the body and the Person embodied and their respective attributes are of totally different nature (so that Brahmana is not touched by the defects of this body), we have explained likewise. Moreover, as your six substances, soul and so on are not one substance and one $par_1 p_3 p_4$, their being one substance and so oo, cannot be used to prove their being one and also not one and so on. And if it should be said that those six substances are such (viz. one and several, and so on) each owing to its own $par_1 p_3 p_4$ and its own nature, we remark that then you cannot avoid contradicting your own theory of everything being an ambiguous nature. Things which stand to each other in the relation of mutual non-existence cannot after all be identical. Hence the heory of the Jaioas is not reasonable."

These two passages are quoted from the two great Hindu Commentators of Vedanta Sutras, Sankara and Ramanuja. The Sutra that is commented on is "वैकरमीशर्मभाग्" (CH I. PADA 2 SU. 33.)

The author of the Vedanta Sutras as well as the commentators reject the Saptablangi naya on the ground of the impossibility of contradictor, attributes inhering to the same thing.

All that is said above by way of exposition and discussion would vindicate the claim of Saftabhange against the charges brought against it by these scholars. Our account would be incomplete if these charges are not examined in this connection

Now the author of the Sûtras does not give any detailed reasons besides the one contained in the Sûtra itself—that a thing cannot have self-contradictory attributes. Asts and nasts being and non-being, or affirmation and negation being contradictory epithets cannot be referred to the same thing. Hence the doctrine of such a predication is futile. This reasoning though short is interesting and suggestive. We have already pointed out the philosophical attitude adopted by the Jamas. A thing being of complex nature, having drarya and harvaya must be an identity in difference. Instead of rejecting the doctrine of reality for the reason given they seem to claim that the doctrine of reality for the reason given they seem to claim that the real is real only because of such a capacity to comprehend and recorded the differences to itself. Here we are reminded of Bralley's

polemic against 'the nature of things
Itan doctrine of identity in difference he cannot forget the scholastic traditions about identity in difference. Every concrete thing or person is according to Bradley a Unity in diversity, and identity in difference a constant which is varying also Now Bradley argues that such a nature implies self contradiction and interval conflict. This is so because it is not possible for us to know how the difference could be derived from and related to an identity. Hence he condemns such things to the limbo of appearances

We have been suggesting the similarity between the Hegelian doctrine of identity and the lains doctrine of Astrinasti. But we must raise a note of warning that the Jaina doctrine does not accept wholesale Hegelian metaphysics. Unlike Bralley the greatest living representative of Hegelian absolutism the Jainas emphasizes this important aspect of reality. The reason which is employed by Bralley to condemn a thing to be appearance is the very reason which serves the Jain thinkers to proclaim the reality of the same

The commentators deserve special attention Hence we shall examine their criticism in detail Soukara's criticism is of three mun stage. First he tries to point out the intrinsic impossibility of this doctrine Second its practical futility Third its conflict with many other Jaina doctrines Being and non being cannot be predicated of the same thing just as it is impossible to predicate hot and cold of the same Mutually contradictory and conflicting attributes cannot exist together of the same thing at the same time This objection appears to be unanswerable but if we remember the two different aspect of self relation and other relation we can very easily see that the objection does not fold good. Very often even in ordinars experience we have examples of co existing attributes which are in the abstract self contradictory. The branches of a tree may be in motion but the tree as a whole may not budge an inch. Here the tree is moving and yet is not moving. The same individual person may be father in relation to \ and son in relation to I In this case we cant of reasonably ask how can the same individual be both father and son. The two conflicting attribes of fatheu hood and sonhood are quite intelligible in the same individual Similarly a class which is a goods with reference to its own species may itself be a species in relation to its own higher genus. We need not multiply in tinces ft would be quite idle to maintain the impossibility of incompatible attributes in one and the same thing It is a matter of surprise to us modern readers how such an acute thinker like Sankara should gn without observing the parti cular aspect from which the rival presents his case

After appealing to experience to substantiate his point Sankara hrings in the charge of indefinite iess against the doctrine of Sapta bliangs On a previous occasion we replied to this charge of in It is enough here to show that if hy definiteness Sankara means unconditional and absolute assertion indefinit ness instead of heing a defect would be certainly a meritorious point to the credit of sayadorda. In the course of the discussion the critic indulges in certain epithets which we should now call unparliamentary We may pass it withou notic partly because

of its irrelevency to the main argum at and partly because of the fact that in his days such an interm sture of Logic and Rhetoric was perhaps accepted as a justifiable weapon of debate

As to his second point the practical futility of the do trine we have to say a word His argument comes to this Every theoretical doctrine has a practical bear ng This is all the more so in the ca e of Indian thinkers All Indian philosophers in spite of their doc trinal differences accept this as the fundamental truth of philosophy that metaphysical research is the handmaid of ethico religious ideal of securing the summum bonum of life Hence any doctrine that is indefinite and ambiguous in its message is condemned by this pragmatic test Since we have not accepted the theor cal charge of ambiguity we need not tarry long at this practical consequence

Next let us go to the application of Saplabha igi to the other Jaina doctrines Such as the five categor es and the final release with the consequent heavenly bliss Sankara points out that according to this logic the five astikayus may be five and may not be five This result will not certainly non pluss the Jaing logician If they are severally referred to they are five If they are referred to as an aggregate and a class they are one If they are classified according to a different principle they may be two living and non living Hence there is no fixed numerical characterisat on of these categories Variation in the number that may be used to designate

these categories instead of implying self-contradiction indicates only a variation in the point of view from which they are examined As the climax of his criticism Sankars asks his rival to say what would become of the heaveoly world if it hoth exists and does not both eternal and non eternal H s rival will only answer as the author of Saptabhang: Tarangen: does-in the following manner If as you say it must be one of those and not both you have the fol lowing difficulty If the final release and heavenly bliss is eternal and existing where is the chance for Samsara and the attempt to ohtato moksha lt the other alternative is the only truth! what is the purpose of preaching such an ideal which is altogether impos " Man partly is and wholly hopes to he is not mere poetry It is genuine philosphy linasmuch as the final release is the goal to vards which the whole creation moves it is true and real and in asmuch a it is the go if and is not yet an actual sed fact it is not real and true. Why should this doctrine be so vehemetly attacked passes our understanding

When we go to Raman ga we have got a different method of argument altingether. He seems to accept the rival doctrine all the while protesting He clearly sees the distinction h tween dravya and paryaya Substance and mode He also perceives that par jaya means change and dravya permanence. He also correctly points out that the doctrine of syadrada is based upon these two different aspects Dratya and Parayaya The proper course for the critic having gone so far would be to accept the doctrice. Or if he wants to rej ct he must show that things do not have both these aspects dravya and paryaya Instead of doing either Ramanuja attempts to delend the Sutra on a priociple which is quite iodefen sible and nowarranted What he proves is that asts and nasts can not be predicated of a thing from the drivya point alone Accord ing to him the same substance cannot have both predicates. Certainly, it cannot have Jaina Logic too proclaims the same thing il you take the thing in both its aspects-and it must be so taken to avoid empty abstractions-then it can and must have both the predicates

Attempting to reject this doctrine of identity in difference Rama nija has the insight to perceive how his own doctrine of Vedanta is affected. In one sense the red nite metaphysics is the doctrine

of the one and the many. If reality could be one and the many at the same time Vedantism would be sofficient argument in favour of Sayadrada. But unlike Sankara who dismisses the Many as Maya Ramanuja as constrained by his metaphysical attitude accepts the reality of the many also. Then what becomes of the one in the maoy. He proposes the purca paksha for the Jaim, "But how can you maintaio that Brahmax although one only yet at the same time is the self of all." He answers the furva faktha thus-" The whole aggregate of sentient and non-sentient beings constitutes the body of the Supreme Person and that the body and the person are of totally different atture." This is extremely dubious victory. If the body constituted his finite things and persons is really the manifestation or parinam: of the Brahmana and this what Ramannya believes, then his refuge is quite unsafe. For, his rival would be justified in asking whether the barinama or the body is real or illusory. If the latter, his commentary becomes an unnecessary reduplication of Sankara's and if the former he is bound to admit the Sayadvalda point of view that the real is one from the point of view of the Person and many from the point of view of parinama or his body

For a fuller discussion of the same we refer the reader to the excellent work Saptabhangitarangini from which we have freely drawn to this essay.

Bertrand

One other point and we may take leave of this topic Bertrand Russell in his Americao lectures "On Logical atomism" develops. Metrong's Theory of objective fact in a very suggestive way. According to Metrong every proposition either true or false has an objective fact as the basis. For in order that there may be an intelligent assertion apart from its ruth value their must be some objective basis. This doctrine implies two sets of objective facts one for true propositions doctrine implies two sets of objective facts one for true propositions and other for false ones. Both being objective, what is the destine may be a considered that the destine of the false ones are stands for truth and the other for error mark of each so that one stands for truth and the other for error and we have to admit objective facts which are false and erroneous to order to avoid this result Russell proposes a modification in the

doctrine.

He distinguishes between the meaning of a name and the fact
He distinguishes between the meaning of a name and the fact
implied by a proposition. Any individual object may be designated

by a name. The name is a symbol referring to some particular object This is a bare fact. There is no meaning in calling this true or fal e It simply is Truth or falsity refers to a proposition a proposition becomes true or false because of an objective fact. The term objective fact is used in the sense of that which is other than the proposition that makes for its truth or falsity. Every objective fact may have two propositions of which one is true hecause it corresponds to the fact and the other is false because it has no corresponding fact. This theory of correspondence works well in the case of a true affirmative proposition (ie) of the pair of propositions based on each fact if the true one is affirmative, it is so because there is a corre-ponding fact. The negative proposition which is not corresponding to that fact is so far falsified by the fact But take the following pair. Socrates is living and Socrates is not living. Here it is the negative proposition that is true and affirmative one is false. According to Russel's theory the negative proposition which is true must have a corresponding fact; otherwise it cannot be true. But what is the objective fact that is corresponding to this proposition --- ' socrates is not living ' There seems to be none and yet there must be one Therefore Pussell brings in the theory of "negative fact. This snegestion raised a lot of discussion among the audience But Russel himself leaves it undeveloped He emphasses the fact that negative facts must be accepted as a fundamental postulate if the correspondence theory is to work.

Now what have we in the above doctrine of Saptabhangs? Each thing is capable of hiving seven modes of predication and primarily two affirmative and negative. The affirmative proposition is determined by self form matter place and time. The negative proposition rests on non-self-relation of the same four form matter, place and time. In this case both the propositions are true. A negative proposition in the case of self-relation and affirmative proposition in the case of non-self-relation would both be false. The proposition relating Socrates to his own time is farther the one relating him to any other time is false. To say that he is hiving now is such a false proposition. We are entitled to say only this that he is not hiving now.

Thus we have something like thus A thing in its Scarupa (self form), stadrayra, (matter), stadrayra (place) stadkāla (time) is the positive fact enabling the truth of an affirmative proposition. The thing in its faranta (non-self form), far drayra, parakshetra,

parakala will constitute the negative fact. This will justify the negative proposition. This is offered only by way of suggestion for a possible explanation of what Russell calls "negative fact." Neither does propose to develope Russell's theory nor do we want to imply that he was anticipated by Indian logicians of old. Any how the comparison is interesting and suggestive.

This Saptabhangi is a powerful organon in the hands of Syadcadins who avoided the Nibilism of the Budilists as well as the absotute Monism of the Vedantists, who steered clear of the shallow realism of the Charvakas and the ludicrous idealism of the Navavddins.

The following works are due to Sri Kundakunda Acharya.

Prabhrita-traya or Nataka-traya, ie, the trilogy of

Panchastikayasara,

Pravachanasara, and

Sammayasâra or Sammayasâra Prâbhrita.

Also Nivamasara:

Sata Prabhrita; or Satapahada; consisting of Darsana Pahnda, Sutta, Charitta, Bodha, Bhava, and Moksha Pahndas. Rayana Sâra: Bâraha Anubekkhâ:

(All these books have been printed.)

He is said to have written 84 Pâhiidas. Some names are given below: - They are not as yet found in any library

Ionisara, Kriyasara, Arabanasara, Ksapanasara, Vamdhasara, Tattvasara; Amgasara, Dabbasara, Karma Pahada, Paya Pahûda, Vidya Pabûda, Ughata Pahûda, Dristi Pahûda, Siddhanta Pahūda, Samavaya Pahūda, Naya Pahūda, Prakriti Pahuda, Churni Pahuda, Pamchabagga Pahuda, Karma Vipāka Pāhūda, Vastū Pāhūda, Payadhara Pāhdūa, Utpāda Pahuda, Dibba Pahuda, Sikkha Pahuda, Jiba Pahuda, Achara Pahoda, Sthava Pahoda, Alapa Pahoda, Chûti Pahoda, Sata Darsana Pahūda, Nakamma Pahūda, Samthana Pahūda, Nitava Páhūda, Evamta Páhūda, Vibāya Páhūda, Sālami Pahoda.

All the works of Sri Kundakunda are in Prakrit verse. But it is easy and beautiful. His nataka traya or Trilogy has been commented upon in Sanskrit by Sri Amrita Chandra Achârya and also by Sri Jayasena Achârya. There is also a commentary on Niyamsara by Srs Padma Prabha Maha Dhari Deva. There is an excellent printed Hindi edition of this work by Jaina Dharma Bhusana Brahmchari Sital Prasadji."

श्रीपञ्चास्तिकायसमयसारः।

Pañchâstikâya Samayasâra.

इंदसदवींदियाणं तिहुअणहिदमधुरविसदवक्काणं।` -अंतातीदगुणाणं णमी जिणाणं जिदमवाणं॥१॥*

1 "Obersance to Jinas, possessed of attributes infinite, the conquerors who are beyond the influences of transmigration, worshipped by the hundred Indra, revealers of the clear, sweet, and three-world-beneficial Word

COMMENTARY

The three Lokas are Ordhva (upper), Madhyama (middle), and Adhah (lower). The Word is called beneficial, because it enables the people of the three worlds to realise their pure and perfect self. It is sweet because it draws towards itself the hearts of the faithful and the wise. It is called clear because it is free from defects such as, doubt, or self-contradiction etc.

समणमुहुम्गदमहं चदुम्मदिणिवारणं सणिव्वाणं।

एसी पणिमय सिरसा समयमियं सुणह वोच्छामि ॥२॥*

2 I how to the Sastra that is revealed by Jinas is the means of liberation from the four Gatis and leads to Nir vana Listen I I describe that same truth in this work

COMMENTARY

Samua means system It is of three kinds -

- (1) Sahda Samaya the system of philosophy or scripture
- (2 Arthasamaya the sy tem of reality
- (3) Juanasamaya the system of kno viedge

Saluting the Sabdasamaya or the scripture the author goes to describe Arthasamaya the facts of reality in order to attain true knowledge of the Juanusamaya

The four Gatis are -

2

- 1 Naraka the Hell
- 2 Tiryak the plant and the animal world
- 3 Manusya Man
- 4 Deva the Gods

These four Gat's const tute Sanisara

The Agrima is saluted because of two great reasons. Its original ditts frut. It is the vord of God. It is revealed by Sarvanja. Its fru Fis that it saves the soul from the four Gatis of Sam are and leads it to Nuvania the unconditioned state of perfection where the self is completely realized.

3

Then he mentious the three Samayas Sabda Artha Juana in the first half of the Gáthá and the distinction between the Loka (the world) and the Aloka (the beyond) in the second balf of the Gathá

^{*}Sanskrit res derine

श्रमणुमुखोद्गतार्थं चतुर्गतिनिचारक सनिवाण । एप प्रणम्य शिरसा समयमिम शरणत वस्यामि ॥ २ ॥

समवाओ पंचण्हं समजत्ति जिणुत्तमीहिं पण्णत्तं । सो चेव हवटि ठोओ तत्तो अमिओ अठोओ खं ॥३॥*

3 It is said by Jina that the group of five categories constitutes the system of reality the same is the world. And beyond that, is the immeasurable and infinite space (called Aloka)

Arthasamaya or the system of reality is two fold. Loka and Aloka Loka is constituted by the five Astikayas or evistences. Beyond that is the great and the infinite Aloka which is co extensive with pure Space or Anantákasa.

4

Here the author enumerates the five existences He describes the number of each and the general and special characteristics of the different Astikayas

जीवा पुग्गलकाया धम्माधम्मा तहेव आयासं। अत्थितहित य णियदा अणण्णमञ्ज्या अणुमहंता ॥१॥१

4 Jivas or souls, Pudgalas or ron souls, Dharma and Adharma the principles of retaind motion and finally Space—these are the Astikijas. They are eternal, uncreated and of huge magnitude.

COMMENTARY

Since the atom or the material point is the unit of space the spatial point is also called Anii or Atom. Since the five entities such as Jivs etc. mentioned abore are capable of occupying space they are called Kayas (corporeals) the term Kâya implies relation to mans spatial points. Existences that can be so related to space are called by the Jainas Astikâyas. It is evident that material objects consti

समवाया सञ्चाना समय इति जिनोत्तमी प्रश्नम । स एव च भवति लोजसत्तोऽमितलोक ख॥३॥

Sanskrit renderi ig

जीयः पुद्गतकाया धर्माधर्मी तथैव प्राकाराम् । धरितत्वे च नियता श्रनत्यमया श्रपुमहान्तः ॥ ४॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

tuted by physical molecules have such space quality 'Jiva or soul is also considered to be an Astikaya because of its organic nature Ifya exists as an organism and as such it is related to body and hence the spatial quality Dharma and Adharma are the peculiar principles recognised by Juines as corpored These are also Astikayas One is the principle of motion, the other is the principle of rest. They are pervading space and as such are. Astil as as . It is not necessary to point out that space is a multidimensional existence Jains have recognised the reality of space. They do not think that it is possible to reduce space to a 'form of the mind' as the Idealists of Europe and India have done

Since Time has neither potentially nor really the possibility of such space relations it is denied the name Astikaya. It is purely an entity of monodimensional series. Though it is not an Astikâya it does not cease to be a real entity. Here also the lains differ from the Idealistic thinkers of the world in their attitude towards Time Time is a reality and not a form of experience. Their views are more or less akin to the ideas of the Realism which is associated in England with thinkers like B. Russell

Then the Astikayas are described

जेसिं अत्थिसहाओ गुणेहिं सह पज्जएहिं विविहेहिं। जे होंति अत्थिकाया णिप्पण्णं जेहिं तेल्लो क्वं ॥५॥*

5 Whatever things have the essential nature of manifesting themselves severally through their numerous qualities and modes are the Astikayas These fill the three worlds-they being the constituent parts of the world

COMMENTARY

Since these are real, they are called Asti. Since they constitute the world or Loka they have the space quality hence they are Kaya Astikāya then implies existential nature as well as space quality

Next the description of the six Dravius The above Astikayas together with the Time (Kala) form the six Dray's

Sanskrit rendering

येपामस्तिस्त्रभाव गुणैः सह पर्यायैथिविधै । ते मवन्त्यस्तिकायाः निष्यन्नं घेरत्रेहोक्यम् ॥ ५ ॥

ते चेव अत्थिकाया तेक्कालियभावपरिणदा णिच्चा । गच्छति द्वियभावं परियहणिलंगसंजुत्ता ॥ ६ ॥*

6. These five Astikayas which though manifesting themselves diversely, maintain their permanency, constitute together with Kala or time which has the quality of permanence in change, the Drawas.

COMMENTARY.

These five entities are being and becoming. They undergo chaoge and yet maintain their identity.

"Thus they have the three characteristics of coming into existence, ceasing to exist, and also remaining permanent in spite of both Creation, destruction, and yet continuity,—these are the main qualities of Dravya, Kâla or time also has these three qualities, heoce the Dravyas are six in number.

Dravya is fundamentally an organic unity. This view takes reality not merely in its aspect of change nor of permanency. It permanency in change. Hegel is responsible for introducing such a conception of reality in modern thought. Jamas in their conception of Dravya have anticipated such a modern idea, several centuries in advance. Of course the concept was not fully worked out because of other limitations peculiar to their age.

• 7

These six Dravias can move in the same place. They can also occupy the same space because of their mutual accommodating oature. Though they get thus interpenetrated still each preserves its own proper nature.

अण्णोण्णं पविसता दिंता औगासमण्णमण्णस्स । मेलंता वि य णिच्चं सगं समावं ण विजहंति ॥ ७ ॥।

7. These six Dravyas though mutually interpenetrating, and accommodating one another, and though getting mixed up

^{*}Sanskrit rendering:

तेचेवास्तिकायाः प्रकातिकमायपरिगता निर्धाः । गच्छन्ति द्रव्यमायं परियत्तेनतिष्ठसंयुक्ताः ॥ ६॥

Sanskrit rendering :

श्चारंगडन्यं प्रविश्वन्ति बृद्दरम्ययकाशुमन्याडन्यस्य । मिलस्पर्यापः च नितर्यं स्यक्षं स्वकार्यं च विज्ञहन्ति ॥ ७ ॥

6

in view of occupying the same space, yet they always maintain their identical nature without losing their respective qualities, general as well as special

COUMENTARY

The six Draysas are class thed into three kinds —(1) Sakriva (2) Sakriyan skriya (3) Niskrya Sakriya Draysis are those that can be efficient causes. They can move about from place to place. They have the capacity of Âgamana or motion. Such are Pudgala or matter and Jiva or soul. Sakriyaniskriya Draysas are those that condition movements without them elses undergoing change or motion. These linve merely Avagilana. The physical principles of Dharma and Adhirma correspond to this description. Lastly Niskriya Draysa is one which is capable of being neither the direct nor the indirect condition of change. Such is Space which has pure Avastlana.

8

After describing the general nature of Astikâyas in Gâthâ No 5 'Jesim Attikaahao etc. the nuthor proceeds to describe to its distinctive care cleri lies and examines them from different comes or Navie.

सत्ता सव्वपयस्या सविरसक्ष्वा अणंतपङ्जाया । भंगुष्पाद्धुवत्ता सप्पडिवक्सा हवदि एक्का ॥ ८ ॥*

8 Substance is one (as a class) It is the inherent essence of all things. It manifests itself through diverse forms. It undergoes infinite modifications. It has the triple characteristics of creation destruction and permanence. It also has the antithetical qualities that is it may be described by the opposites.

COMMENTARY

The nutithesis referred to is due to the fact that the substance may be described in each case by the opposite attribute. It is described as one from the class point. It may be described as many from the individual point. So with every adjective, Sarvapadastha

सत्ता सर्वपदम्या सविश्वक्षा अनन्तपर्यांधा । भङ्गोत्पादधौन्यात्मिका सप्रतिपत्ता भवत्येका ॥ म ॥

^{*}Sanstrut rendering

may have the antithesis Ekapadastha, Viswarupa against Ekajupa, Anautaparyaya, against Ekaparyaya etc Such a description of the same thing by opposite attributes may be incompatible with the false position taken up by Ekanta philosophy that is the philosophical attitude which insists on a single point of view with reference to the extremely complex facts of reality. But such complex facts can be described by opposites without involving violent self-contradiction according to the Angkanta philosophy-philosophy of manifold aspects. Complex reality naturally claims complex attitude of the understanding. Any attempt to provide life and its problems with a simple ready made framework must certainly end in failure, for conceptual analysis always implies selection and abstraction reality which is described by a concept will certainly be richer in content than the content of the idea. Hence is the possibility of describing the same fact of Life by de tinct and sometimes diverse conceptual symbols. This means that life is always greater than Logic It is this aspect that is expressed in the Jama attitude of Anekanta The prima facie contradiction suggested by the term Anekanta is in no way different from the Hegelian dialectic which could embrace contradictions. When the Jaioa philosopher speaks of describing the same thing by opposite attributes his view need not he assumed to be m re violently shocking to the common sense attitude than Hegel's assertion that affirmation and negation are identical. Both the views in short are abbarently inconsistent but both emphasize an important aspect of reality

While describing the nature of Dravya the author goes to mention the qualified identity between Satta and Dravya. These are the same from one aspect

द्वियदि गच्छिदि ताई ताई सदमाय पज्जयाई जं। द्वियं तं भण्णांति अण्णण्णभूदं तु सत्तादो ॥ र ॥*

9 What flows, or maintains its identity through its several qualities and modifications, and what is not different from Satt1 or Substance, that is called Dravya by the All knowing

^{*}Sanskrit rendering द्रवति गच्छति तास्तान् सङ्गावपर्यापान् यत् । इन्य तत् भणन्ति धनन्यभूत त् सत्तात ॥ ६॥

COMMENTARY

Here Satta or substance is distinguished from Dravya. Dravya means that which flows or changes. While changing through its different qualities and modifications its essential nature persists. This kind of progressive development is associated with Dravya. But such development is also the characteristic of substance. Hence according to Juna attitude. Dravya is not entirely different from Satta or substance. Therefore the opposite qualities mentioned above with reference to Satta or substance are also applicable to Dravya which is not different in meaning.

According to this view there is no unchanging substance or Satta in Jaina system. Such adamintine existence cannot be identified with Draysa which is extremely volatile. Here also the similarity between Hegelian concept of 'thing and the Jaina co neep to Drayya is worth noticing. Satta is not a thing in itself behind Draysa Satta and Drayya are one and the same as Hegel mentioned. Thing in itself and experience are not absolutely distinct. Draysa refers to facts of experience. Satta refers to existence or reality. One may be abstracted from the other but it is not different from the other as a fact.

10

Then he speaks of the other characteristices of Dravy a

द्व्यं सत्त्वस्वणियं उप्पाद्व्ययुवत्तसंजुत्तं । गुणपज्जयासयं वा जं तं भण्णंति सव्वण्ह ॥ १० ॥*

10 Whatever has substantiality has the dialectical triad of birth, death, and permanence and is the substratum of qualities and modes, is Dravya So say the All-I nowing

COMMENTARY

Here the three characteristics of Dravya are described First Dravya has the quality of Sat' or existence Secondly it has the quality of permanence through birth and death Thirdly it is the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

substratum of attributes and conditions. The quality of 'Sat' emphasises the substantial reality of Dravya It is not merely the form of the intellect It has an existence in Rerum Natura triple quality of dialectical change is the second attribute Utpada is appearence, re. assuming new modification. This does not mean creation out of nothing Creation by the flat of a Will is not recognised by the James Utpada therefore means that phase of the process of the development when a new form is assumed. Vyaya is losing the previous form. Here also it is different from absolute disappearance It only means that phase in the process of development where the carlier form is replaced by the succeeding one. Dhruva refers to the persistence of the essential nature of Dravya which undergoes develop ment and which makes both Utpåda and Vyaya simultaneously possible. In fact the process of development includes all the three phases This fact is not only recognised by the scientists like Darwin and Spencer, but by the great French philosopher, Bergson, who raised it to an important philosophical principle Lastly Dravya to the substratum of qualities and modes. Attributes and modifica tions will have no basis if they do not rest on something real. This does not mean that Dravya is merely a prop, supporting an alien fact, The attribute is the thing and the thing has the the attribute attribute, but still the Jamas do not admit that the attributes alone are sufficient to constitute a reality. For them, esse is not percifit Attributes in order to be objective and not merely psychical do require an objective basis Such a basis Dravya is Finally it is to be noted that these three characteristics are inseparable from one another Sattå pre supposes Utpada, Vyaya, Dhruvattva and also is the substratum Similarly process of development implies Satta, which again cannot be existing apart from qualities and modes Neither of the three can exist apart from the nther two In short the three characteristics express the same essential nature of Dravya in three different ways

11

Then Dravya is examined from two points of view -

(1) Dravyarthika Navi, the aspect of substance, and (2) Paryayarthika Naya, the aspect of change or development.

उप्पत्तीव विणासी दब्बरस य णितथ अतिथ सब्भावी । वय' उप्पादंधवत्तं करंति तस्सेव पज्जायाः ॥ ११ ॥ †

11 Dravya in its reality can neither be created nor destroyed, it has only permanent substantiality. But through its modes, it secures the triple qualities of permanence, appearance and disappearance

COMMENTARY

According to Dravyarthika Naya Dravya, eg , gold can neither be created nor destroyed It exists and that is all But the orna "ments and other things made of gold are the Paryayas or modes. These may change one ornament may be melted and a new one be The disappearance of the previous ornament is Vyaya and the appearance of the new ornament is Utpada, and yet all the while there is the same gold Dhruva Dravya then has both the qualities, permanence and change, it is permanent as Dravva and changing as Paryaya

Then it is pointed out that there is no fundamental difference between Dravya and Paryaya, substance and its mode पज्जयविजुदं दन्वं दन्वविजुत्ता य पञ्जया नत्थि ।

द्रीण्हं अणण्णभूदं भावं समणा पह्नविति ॥ १२ ॥ 12 There is neither substance without mode nor mode without substance. The one cannot be without the

other, so say the Sramanas

COMMENTARY

Though there is a difference between substance and its mode from the points of significance, quality and utility, yet the one cannot exist apart from the other 15 the difference is not fundamental.

Sanskrit rendering

उत्पत्तिर्घा विनाशी द्रव्यस्य च नास्त्यस्ति सद्धावः। घय उत्पादभ्रवत्व कुर्वन्ति तस्यैच पर्यायाः ॥ ११ ॥

Sanskrit rendering

पर्यविवयुत द्रष्य द्रष्यवियुक्तारच पर्याया न सन्ति । द्यारनन्यभूत माय असला प्रह्मपुरन्ति॥ १२॥

^{*}There is another reading of it in the printed edition of this work in Raichandra Jain Granthamala as विमम्प्याद

example an ornament which is the Paryava of gold is different from gold in Samjila or significance in Laksana or attribute, and in Prayo jana or utility. But still there can be no ornament apart from gold and gold apart from some form or mode of it. The relation between Dravya and Paryaya is the same as the relation between matter and form. No matter without form and no form without matter.

13

Next he establishes the identity of substance and qualities दव्वेण विणा ण गुणा गुणेहिं दव्वं विणा ण संभविट । अञ्बदिरित्तो भावो दव्वगुणाणं हविट तक्षा ॥ १३ ॥*

13 There is neither quality, without substance nor substance without quality, hence there two are not incompatible in their nature

COMMENTARY

Sapta-Bhangi or the seven aspected logical predication is an important doctrine of Juna logic. The same object may be des cribed by seven distinct propositions. These are the seven possible ways of a priori description. We cannot have another proposition about an object which cannot be brought under any one of the seven propositions The complex nature of a real object or Dravya is amenable to description by the above seven and only seven proposi To have a complete description then the thing must be taken in all the seven ways. In each case it is the same fact that is the subject of the propositions which are certainly diverse in nature That only means that different and apparently conflicting ideas may be predicated of the same subject. This is possible only in Anekanta philosophy

The different propositions are all beginning with the term ' Sydt which means perhaps The proposition aims, at the most only at probable truth Jama logicians were evidently extremely cautious With the consciousness of seven possible predications they would never assert anything categorically about anything. Absolute categorical assertion may be justified in Ekanta philosophy But the lains thinker in his dread of such absolutist attitude never hesitated to emphasize his relativistic philosophy even at the cost of verbal redundency

The prefix stat therefore ares the proposition from becoming an absolute assertion. The quality predicated is probably or perhaps true. The predication is accepted provisionally with the full recognition that the same may be denied and that other ideas may be affirmed of the subject

1 The proposition Syadasti Drawjamenns that existence is affirmed of a thing from the point of view of its own Draysa or essence Essential characteristic may be safely affirmed of a thing The affirmation has meaning with reference to its own Isetra or place se you can say that a thing is when you refer to its place of existence Similarly the proposition is significant with reference to its own Kala You can affirm the existence of a being during its life time Again affirmation is significant if reference is to its Bhava or quality The quality of a thing can be safely predicated of the thing Hence the proposition Syadasti Dravys is an affirmation about the Dravya with reference to its own Dravya, (substance), ksetra (place), Kála (time) and Bhava (quality)

- 2 Symmati Diavya, (perhaps the thing is not) This proposition is a negation about a thing from the point of view of Para Draya (then substances, Pira Keera alien placety. Pira Kala (slien time) and Para Bhāa (then quality) i.e., you can have a negative proposition of a thing in the following manner.
 - 1 A 14 not Y (Para Dravya)
 - 2 \ is not in Y (Para Ksetra)
 - 3 % is not now (Para K4la), i.e., it censed to exist or it is not yet born
 - 4 A has not the quality Y, ee, (Para Bhava)
- 3 Syddastinast Drawya (perhaps the thing is and is not) Both the conflicting predicates may be applied to the same subject, provided the following condition is satisfied. The affirmed predicate must refer to Sta Drawya (its own substance), Sva Kestra (its own place), Sta kâla (its own time) and Sva-Bhava (its own quality.) And the predicate denied must refer to Para Drawya (other substance), Pria Kestra) (other place), Para kâla (other time) and Para Bhâta (other quality.)
- 4 Syndatalitatian Draws (perhaps the thing is beyond description). There is no word which would bring out the implication of both the affirmation and negation of a thing at the same time Arik trys a should not be interpreted to be absolutely inde-orbable for their "Arakharya uself would become meaningless. It only refers to the impossibility of finding an idea which would include both the thesis and the antithesis at the same time.
- 5 Systastav iktarya (perhaps the thing is and is beyond description) When reference is made to Sua Dravya, Sua Ksetta etc., the thing exists and hence the afternation and when reference is made at the same time to Sua Para. Dravya, Sua Para. Ksetia etc. the thing becomes beyond description and when attention is directed to both the abovementioned aspects, affirmation and indefinability will be the fifth Bhauga, namely—perhaps a thing is and is beyond description.
- 6 Syânnâstian oktavya (perhaps a thing is not and is indefinable)
 Here the first reference is negation from the point of Para Drayya,
 Para Ksetra etc Secondly the reference is to the indescribibility
 ind when both these characteristics, denial and indefinability are
 associated with the thing at the same time then the proposition
 "perhaps that it is not and is beyond description" becomes significant.

7 Syad asti nasti avaktavya Dravya, (perhaps the thing is, is not and is indefinable) Affirmation is with reference to Sia Dravya etc. Negation is with reference to Para Dravya. Indefinability when these two are taken at the same time. When all the three above characteristics are attended to together then the thing may be said to exist and yet not to exist, and to be beyond description.

The seven principles are divided in the following manner

- 1 1 Pratyeka Bhangas single principles
 - (a) Syådasti
 - (b) Syannasti (c) Syadayaktayya
 - 2 Dusamyoga Bhai Las dual principle
 - (a) Svådastinasti
 - (b) Syadastiavaktavya
 - (c) Synnnästiavaktavyn
 3 Trisamyoga Bhaiga (triple principle)
 - (a) Svådaštinåstiavaktavva

It is already mentioned that the Jaim's accept only seven principles. Hence the following statement of Kumarila Bhatta is condemned to be absurd

सप्तभगी प्रसादेश शतभग्यवि जायते ।

When seven principles are admitted then there may also be hundred. Jaines believe that the true nature of reality will be understood when it is viewed according to Sapte—Bhangi.

There can be neither destruction of existing Dravyas nor creation of non existing ones Concepts of creation and destruction are applicable to substance only because of its qualities and modes. In this Gathá Dravya is shown to be permanent from Dravyarthika Naya and changing from Paryayarthika Naya.

भावस्स णरिय णासी णरिय अभावस्स चेव उप्पादी । गुणपञ्जयेसु भावा उप्पादवए पकुञ्वंति ॥ १५ ॥*

15 There can be no destruction of things that do exist, nor can there be creation of things out of nothing Coming into existence and ceasing to exist, things do have because of their attributes and modes

*Sanskrif rendering भावस्य नास्ति नाशो नास्ति ग्रमायस्या चेव उत्पादः। गुणपर्यावेषु भावा उत्पादस्ययान् प्रकुर्वन्ति ॥ १५ ॥

COMMENTARS

For example the atoms of gold that constitute the substance gold are subject to neither creation nor destruction. But there may be appearance and disappearance in the different forms and modifications of gold, the original form may be lost, and a new form may be assumed. One ornament may be destroyed and another created. What is true of morganic things is also true of other Dravyas such as Jiva. Jiva as such is neither created nor can be destroyed. Its essence is eternal, but it may lose its original state of existence and come into a new state of life. Life then is continuity of existence through births and deaths. Thus Dravya as such is permanent and unchanging. But its forms and modes are perpetually changing. Hence Dravya may be described by both the attributes permanent and changing according to the respective aspects or Naya.

16

Theu Dravyas are further distinguished from their qualities and modes

भावा जीवादीया जीवगुणा चेदणा य उवओगी । सुरणरणारयतिरिया जीवस्स य पज्जवा वहुगा ॥१६॥*

16 Jiva and other Dravyas are reals The qualities of Jiva are consciousness and upayoga, (perception and knowledge), which are manifold The soul manifests in the following forms as Deva, as man, as a member of the Hell or as a plant or an animal

COMMENTARY

The term Upayoga is used to denote Darsana and Jiaana Darsana is perception and Jiaana is knowledge Besides Upayoga there is the quality of consciousness or thought or Chetana Chetana and Uoayoga constitute the muniqualities of Jiva But according to Jaina thought, Jiva may be pure and perfect or impure and imperfect—Suddha Jiva and Katma Jiva Katma Jiva is not a distinct kind It's the same Suddha Jiva soiled by the Karma

Chetana or thought when associated with Suddha Jiva would mean perfect thought to which there can be nothing opaque

*Sanskrit rendering

मावा जीवादा जीवगुणार्चेतना चापयोग । सरतरनारकतिर्पञ्चो जीवस्य च पर्यायाः यहव ॥ १६ ॥

Upayogt also will be Suddha Darsana and Suddha Juana—perfect perception and perfet the vledge. The whole of reality forms in object of perception to Suddha Darsana and at the same time the whole revitiv is known to Suddha Juana. Suddha Upayoga, consciousness perfection and understanding all perfect and pure constitute the Syabhava Guna—the intrinsic qualities of Jiva. These Sabhava Gunas are potentially present in all Jivas and explicitly manifest in Siddha Jiva or the Realised Self §

The very same Svabhava Gunas get corrupt because of the interference of Karma Then they become Vibhava Gunas of Jiva or the extrinsic qualities of Jiva Chetanā and Upayoga become Asuddha The imperfect and the impure consciousness of man and other Samsara states of Jiva is Asuddha Chetana. It is the Vibhāva Guna which must be got rid off before the self attains pority and regains its intrinsic Suddha Chetana. Similarly Suddha Darsana and Suddha Jinana become Asuddha in Samsari Jivas Sense purception or Indriya Darsana and knowing or Asuddha Jinana are the forms of Upayoga which is corrupt. This again in the Vibhava Jinana of Jina. The Vibhava Gunas accordingly are present in all Samsara Jivas.

In a similar way Jiva has two main Paryayas Sabhava or Suddha Paryaya Vibhava or Asuddha Paryaya. The Siddha state the state of purity and perfection is the Svabhava Paryaya, whereas all Samsara states are Vibhava Paryayas

The listingtian between quarties and modifications or states of existence may also be illustrated with reference to other Dravyas

Next it is pointed out again that though things have origin and decay from the point of forms or modes they have no change in assence

मणुसत्तर्णण णद्घो देही देवी हवेदि इदरी वा। उभयत्त जीवभावो ण णस्सदि स जायदे अण्णी ॥ १० ॥*

17. If a soul departs from the human state it be comes either a Deva or some other living being. In either case (during death or birth), it does not lose its intrinsic nature

^{*}Sanskrit renderi ig मनुष्यत्वेन नष्टो देही देवा भवतीतरो वा । उमयत्र जीवमावा न नर्पति न जापतेऽन्यः ॥ १०॥

COMMENTARY

When the original form is lot, the soul does not lose its own nature with the passing form and when it puts on a new form it does not get a new nature with its coming form. In spite of origin and decay of forms the soul maintains its nature and identity.

This view of soil rejects the two false views Ksanika Ekanika and Nitya Ekania. The former maintains that there is a different self at every moment as the Buddhist believes. The Juna view rejects this as untenable because it recognises the change with Paryaya or Guna and not with Dravya. Nity a Ekanita view maintains that the self is absolutely perminent and unchanging and that all changes are illusor. This view also is rejected by the Janas. For them Jiva maintains its identity through the changes of Guna and Paryaya

18

The same fact is again explained from the point of Nayas or principles of understanding

सी चैव जादि मरणं जादि ण णट्टो ण चैव उप्पण्णो । उप्पण्णो च विण्हो देवो मणुसुचिपङ्जाओ॥ १८ ॥*

18. Though the soul experiences both birth and death, yet it is neither really destroyed nor created. Origin and decay refer respectively to the disappearing Deva state or the appearing human state and these are only its Paryayas or modes.

एवं सदो विगासो असदो जीवस्स ग्रिय उप्पादी । ताविद्ञो जीवाणं देवो मणुसोत्ति गदिगामो ॥ १८ ॥*

19 Thus neither an existing thing is hable to death or destruction nor a non existing one can come into being. The Jiva has the Deva state or human state as the effect of the Gatin ima Karma and the duration of each state is conditioned by its own Nama karma.

COMMENTARY

The Âtma in itself has neither beginning nor end and yet it will take infinite forms. Each form of evistence will be of a particular Gail Gail is a general class of beings. Four such classes are recognised by the Junis Manusya. Deva Naraka and Tiryak Gails. The birth of Âtma into any one of these forms is entirely conditioned by a particular kind of Narma. This Karma which leads the soul to take forms according to the different Gails is called Gailnama Karma. This form and the duration of life are dependent upon the quality and the strength of this Nama karma.

When the particular Nama karma exhausts its efficiency, the particular form of life brought about by that karma ceases to exist and the soul puts on another form that is enters into another Gati as determined by the new Nama karma acquired during the previous life. Thus Atma is like an actor who takes several parts on the stage. Forms are put off and put on while the actor is one and the same. Through all the changing forms the soul maintains its identity, and nature for which there is neither bith nor death. And finally when the Atma gets liberated from the Karmas it still maintains its everlasting nature of course pure and perfect.

20

It is pointed out that the soul which by liberation from Karma attains Woksa is not absolutely district from the soul which was in Samsåra

For a gem purified is not fundamentally different from its own state before purification The gem is the same though free from dirt

*S inskrit rendering

पव सते। विनाशे।ऽसते। द्वीवस्य नास्त्युत्वाद् । तावरजीवाना देवी मनुष्य इति गतिनाम ॥ १६॥

णाणावरणादीया भावा जीवेण सुद्धुअणुवद्वा । तेसिमभावं किच्चा अभूदपुव्वो हवदि सिद्धो ॥ २० ॥*

20 Jiva on account of his Bhâva Karma or an impure emotional state may get utterly bound by the Karmas such as Jirântvarniya (the knowledge-clouding Karma) (Then he becomes a Samsari Jiva) But he may (through the realisation of his own nature) completely liberate himself from that bondage and then attains a state unknown to him before the state of perfection

COMMENTARY

Though gold may be different from brass, yet it is mixed with it. In the same way, Jiva pure in itself gets bound by Karmas. The initial condition is its own impure heart called "Bhava Karma. On account of this psychological state the Dravya Karmas, or the different kinds of Karmic matter are attracted and get deposited on the fixa, thereby shrouding its light and glory.

When the Jiva is so bound by Karmas it undergoes a series of manifestations. But finally by realising its true nature it may liberate itself from Karmic shackles and get Nirahm. When once this stage is reached there is no fear of coming back to Samakra. Jamas do not assume the doctrine of the fall of man. Every Jiva to begin with is a Karma Jiva and Niraha is a unique state to be acquired anew, and for the first time. The state of nature is not a state of freedom. It is a state of bondage. Jiva finds itself in chains, and by its own exertion secures freedom.

21

Then it is stated that the Atma with the manifestation of Guna and Paryaya (attributes and modes) will lose its existing nature and assume a new state of existence according to the Paryayar thika Naya

ह्रानावरणाचा भावा जीवेन सुम्दुः श्रतुबद्धाः । तेपामभाव हृत्वाऽभृतपूर्वो भवति मिकः॥ २०॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

एवं भावमभावं भावाभावं अभावभावं च । गुणपज्जयेहिं सहिदो संसरमाणी कुणदि जीवो ॥ २९ ॥*

21. Thus the Jiva with its attributes and modes, roaming in Samsara, may lose its particular form and assume a new one Again this form may be lost and the original regained.

COMMENTARY Drayra or substance has the quality of identity and difference It is permanent while changing Jiva Dravya is capable of taking different forms or Paryayas This process of Paryayic change is In the series of Simsara with reference to a particular Live there is the passing and of old forms and the coming in of new ones. These changes of forms do not pre suppose the loss of identity of the Itia. The vive me Itin as an individual survives after each change thus maintaing its self identity. Samsara for the Jaina is not the manifestation of a single self as the Vedantin would have it Infinite number of Ifyas each having its own Parvayas constitute the total of Samsåra. At any particular moment, the simultaneous and co existing forms of different Jivas will make up the Samsara of that moment which means the organic world the mankind and the Devas and the Narakas and animals and plants of that moment.

After describing the Drassas in general by was of introduc tion the author is going to speak about Kala or time which is endispensably related to the five Astikayas. Before going to the description of time, the author re-capitulates the five existences or Astikayas in relation to which only time has relevency and meaning

जीवा पुग्गलकाया आयासं अत्थिकाइया सेसा ।

अमया अत्थित्तमया कारणभुदा हि लोगस्स ॥ २२ ॥†

22. The souls, the material bodies, space, together with the remaining two, Dharma and Adharma, are the uncreated existences that constitute the world These are the Astikiyas

Sanskrit rendering वयं भावमभावं भावाभावमभावभावं च। गुणुपर्ययः सहितः संसरन् करोति जीवः॥ २१ ॥ Sausket rendering

जीय पुदुगलकायाः भाकाशमस्तिकायी शेषी । भ्रमया भस्तित्र्यमयाः कारणमृता हि लोकस्य ॥ २२ ॥

COMMENTARY

The infinite number of souls the infinite number of physical bodies space and the two physical principles of (Dharma and Adharma) of motion and rist—the eare the constitutive elements of the world. These are uncreated and eternal Jamas recognise the reality of space. To complete the world they also pre suppose the two principles of motion and rest called by them Dharma and Adharma.

23

Then Time is described. It is the instrument of change in the above five Astikayas. This is real Time or absolute Time. From the e-changes we have the perception of duration and interval which corresponds to relative or Vynaharika. Time. Real Time is all of a matter of inference from the changes in the above Astikayas.

सदभाव सभावाणं जीवाणं तह य पोग्गलाणं च । परियहणुसंभूदो कालो खियमेण पराणुतो ॥ २३ ॥ *

23 That on account of which these existences the Jivas, material bodies, the Dharma and Adharma undergo changes is called real time or Kâla Dravya.

COMMENTARY

Time is of two kinds real and relative. Birth, growth and decay of things are possible only because of the former absolute time. The relative Time is made up of conventional periods measured by unit based upon those changes. This is merely an aspect of real time which is constituted by Instants. This is unconditional and absolute

First of all the distinction between absolute and relative time remaid one of Nexton's distinction. The idealist for whom the world of concrete experience is illusory may speak of time as a form of experience. But one who accepts the reality of the concrete world and its changes must also admit the reality of Time. Jainus being realists do recognise the reality of time. If change is real again, Time must also be real. It is the iostrument of creative evolution as Bergson would say. And lastly the abolute or real time is constituted by instants which correspond to the points of space and

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

particles of matter. In fact, these three classes of elements, points, instants, and particles have important function in the Jaina system of Philosophy at 15 the worth noticing that modern realist led by the Mathematical Philosophers admits the doctrine that space is real and is made up of points, Time is real and is made up of linst uits or moments, and the physical world is real and is made of particles. And these doctrines form the fundamental concepts of the language of the world.

24

Next the account of real time of which the conventional time is a form or mode.

ववगदपणवण्णरसो ववगददोगंधअहफासो य । अगुरुलहुगो अमुत्तो वहणलक्खो य कालोत्ति ॥ २८:॥*

(24) What is vithout the five colours, and the five tastes, without the two smells, and eight contacts, what is neither heavy nor light and has the character of introducing changes in other things is Time

COMMENTARY

Time has menting for and existence in the world. It is made up of Instants or Kalanus. The Instants have neither colour, taste, nor touch. The qualities associated with physical objects cannot be applied to it. These constitute a "continuous compact series." The time series formed by Instants is one dimensional in the language of the Mathematicians, that is why Time is denied kayatta by the Juan Philosopher. Time which is so constituted by Instants is called Mukhyakila or absolute time. It is also described by the following terms. —Paramarthakila the great unconditioned time. Nischayakila, true time. Drayakila, real time. It is one of the six Drayak or the Reals.

71

Then the account of relative Time which is measured by changes in the physical object or in the Jivis This conventional Time is merely a Pary 1/2 of real time and is conditioned by the above changes.

^{*}Sanskret rendering व्यवमातपञ्चवर्णस्मा व्यवमातद्विमन्धापृहरपर्शस्य। मगुरुलपुही समुनी वर्त्तनत्तृगृश्य वाल इति ॥ २५॥

समओ णिमिसो कट्टा कला य णाली तटो टिवारत्ती। मासोदुअयणंसंबच्छरोत्ति काली परायत्तो ॥ २५ ॥*

25 Samaya, Nimisa, Kastha, Kala, Nali, then (Muhurta), day, month, season, Ayana, and, Samyatsara and other periods of Time are all Vyavahira or conventional time. These are determined by other objects

Samaya or momeot (which is the manifestation of infinite number

of pltmate distants)

Names a which is made up of innumerable such moments Rustha which is equal to fifteen Aimisas hall which is equal to thirty Kasth is Vals which is a little over twenty K das " iluhurta which is equal to two Nalis Day and Night, equal to thirty Unhurtas

Wonth which is equal to thirty days Season which is equal to two months

Ayana Half year equal to three seasons

Sampatsara year which is equal to two Avanas COMMENTARY

The different periods enomerated in this Gatha are the conventional periods measured by different units. These are artificial distinc tio is introduced into the continuous time series of real time. The units which determine the conventional distinctions are generally the changes in the objects of the phy ical world or of the organic world Winking of the eyelid, the district motion of the sun across the heavens (wlich is only apparent according to modern Astronomy) and the motion of the moon round the earth are some of the important changes of physical objects which have been traditionally associated with conventional period of measurements. Because of these conventional obtinctions relative Time is said to be Parayatta dependent on other things but still since it is merely a form of real time which is an unconditioned Draysa. Vyasahāra Kala is said to be conditioned in a way It is called hatha whit Parijatta, somewhat conditioned

Then is explained what is meant by somewhat conditioned in the case of relative Time

Sanskrit re iderine समयो निमिपः फाष्टा वाला च नाती ततो विधारात्र । मासर्वेषतस्वत्सर्मिति कालः परावत्तः ॥ २५ ॥

24

णित्य चिरं वा खिप्पं मत्तारिहदं तु सा वि खलु मत्ता। पुदुगलदृक्वेणविणा तह्मा कालो पहुच्चभवो॥ २६॥*

26 Duration of time either long or short is impossible apart from a standard of measurement. The standard of measurement also has no meaning apart from material objects. Hence conventional or relative time is brought about by extraneous conditions.

COMMENTARY

Vyavahara Kala or conventional time consists of periods of durition which may be either short or long periods is not intrinsic. It is entirely due to external conditions such is the moments of the physical badies. Therefore though time as such is unconditioned. Vyavaharakía is certainly conditioned by alien objects. Thus time as such is the instrument of change of Parinama in the five existences of the world, while itself has the Vyavaharika Paryayas measured by the changes of the other objects.

Here ends the Introductory Chapter of the Pafichâstikaya

CHAPTER I

27

After describing the Dravyas in general the author examines them in detail. He takes up Jiva Dravya first for that is the most important

जीवोत्ति हवदि चेदा उपओगविसेसिदो पहूकसा। भोत्ता य देहमत्ती ण हि मुत्ती कम्मसंज्तो ॥ २० ॥।

27 The soul has the following attributes. It has Life, Consciousness, Upayoga, (knowledge and perception) and 12 Potent, performs actions, and 18 affected by their results, 18 conditioned by his own body, is incorporeal and 18 ordinarily found with Karma.

Sinskrit renderine

नास्ति चिरं वा क्षित्र मात्रारहित तु सापि यत्तु मात्रा । पुदुगसद्रव्येन विना तस्मात्र्वालः मतीत्यभवः॥ २६॥

Sanskrit rendering

जीप इति भवति चैत्रियोपयागियशेषियः प्रमु. वर्षाः । भोता च देहमात्रां न हि मुर्चः वर्मसंयुक्तः ॥ २७ ॥

COMMENTARY

The author enumerates nine attributes These attributes are true oot only of Sopadhi Jivas, but also of Niropādhi Jivas The coumer attributes refer to Sopadhi Jiva—the Jiva that has Upādhi or limitations Of course the anthor implies also the correlative nine attributes of the Niropadhi Jiva or the liberated soul

The attributes relating to Sopadhi Jiva are -

- (1) Life, s e, living with Dasa pranas or ten life principles
- (2) Chetandor consciousness i.e., the ordinary finite consciousness which as associated with will and emotion eis., acting and enjoying
- (3) Upayoga Upayoga is the manifestation of Chetana in the act of understanding Juana and Darsana are the two Upayogas, Knowledge and perception are intimately related to Karmic changes. They relation may be said to be in inverse ratio. They decrease in intensity and quality as the Karmic veil becomes thicker and stronger. If the Karmas decay or disappear then the Upayogas have the chance of fuller manifestation.
- (4) Lordship or the capacity to assume different states of existence in Samsara He is the architect of his own his?
- (5) Kart: He is the doer of his own Karmas—both Drayya and Bhava (Physical and mental Karmas)
 - (6) Blokt: He is the enjoyer of the fruits of his own Karmas
- (7) Deham tra Reing embodied he may be said to be of the same dimensions as the body
 - (8) Am arta is incorporeal being spritual by nature
- (9) Karma-Samjuktah is born with Karmas, being a Samsari Jiva

Similarly we have the nine corresponding attributes of the Niru pathi Jiva or the liberated soul --

- (1) Living the life of pure and perfect existence
- (2) Having the consciousness which is infinite in contentment
- (3) Suddha Upayogu IIe has the pure modes of hevala Jaana and hevala Dariana perfect knowledge and perfect perception
- (4) Lord He is the true Lord because of the freedom acquired by annihilation of harma-
- (5) He is the true Karts or Doer being independent of and undetermined by extrareous conditions, Self determined

- 26
- (6) Is the enjoyer of the eternal and infinite bliss begotten of the complete realisation of self
 - (7) Is the approximate size of the body possessed by him last
- (8) And set is without form being spiritual and being free from all Karmas or physical qualities
- (9) And is Karma-nirmukta is absolutely free from the bondage of Karma

Thus the author in a single gatha describes the two kinds of Jivas each with the nine qualities

कम्ममलविष्पमुक्की उद्दं लोगस्स अंतमधिगंता। सो सब्बणाणदरसी लहदि सहमणिदियमणंतं ॥ २८ ॥*

28 Atma which is free from the defect of Karma gets to the highest point of the universe, knows all and perceives all, and obtains the transcendental bliss everlasting

COMMENTARY

Of the nine attributes the attribute of lordship is taken first for explanation. It is known by experience and by the study of scriptures that Soul is the lord of his own attributes and states of ex stence. Impurity of the heart or false faith may lead him is to Samsara The Lordship should not therefore be a terpreted only with the reference to the fall from a high estate. Even when he is in the right path the path that takes h m to the never before experienced bliss everlasting he is the Lord of his own destiny. He is not to be imagined merely as a dr ft wood carried up and down by the waves in the Ocean of Samsara That would make him helpless in the hands of extrinsic Karmic forces. No. He is the Creator the Karmas themselves owe their existence to his will. Hence he is his own Lord whether he walks the path of righteousness or choses the other one Atma is his own maker and Lord

laina philosophy ir peculiarly associated with its own cosmogony Heavens and hells are arranged in an order. The soul that gets liberation quits the place rises up to the summit of Loka where he retains his abode This Dogma is referred to in the Gatha As the

natural and inevitable result of self-realisation the true Lordship of the oil consists in inheriting the abode of blies at the summit of the world

29

Then it is mentioned that this everlasting heavenly bliss is ecured by Atma be his own efforts without any extraneous help

जादो सयं स चेदा सवण्हू सञ्वलोगदरसी य । पप्पोदि सुहमणन्तं अञ्वावाधं सगममुत्तं ॥ २९ ॥*

29 Thus Atm't becoming omniscient and all perceiving through its own effort obtains the infinite bliss which transcends sense experience which is free from any imperfection, which is spiritual and self determined

COMMENTION "

This Gatha goes with the presions one and states that infinite bliss an acquisition of the self through its own exertions. This brings out the quality of Prabhutsa or lordship over ones non destiny

30

After the description of the attribute Prabhutta he have an account of Jivatva or Life characteristic. Here the author adopts the Vanadadiska point of view and describes the characteristics of organic beings in Sameara.

पाणिहिं चढुिहं जीविद जीवस्सिद जी हु जीविदो पुर्व । सो जीवो पाणा पुण वरुमिदियमाउ उस्सासो ॥ ३० ॥ १

30 Whatever thing manifesting through four Pranas (or principles of organism) is fixing at present, will continue to live in the future, and was living in the past, that same is Jiva Again the Pranas are Bala, or strength, Indriya or the senses, Ayuh or the age and Vchchhy isa or respiration

Sanskrif rendering
 जातः स्त्रप स चैतियना मर्यंत्र सर्वेलोस्द्रशीं च ।
 प्राप्तीति सुख्यमनन्त्रमध्यायाध स्वक्षममुख्य ॥ २६॥

'Sanskrit rendering प्राचैदचतुर्मिर्जीयति जीयध्यति यः खनु जानितः पूर्वे । स्र आयः प्राचः प्राचः प्रतिहित्यमायुष्टवामः ॥ २०॥ 2

A living organism must have these four Prants or life principles. You cannot think of a living being devoid of the e-characteristics. Hence the author trying to describe the nature of life is we know it, enumerates the four fundamental characteristics of organic life. These are

Balaprána or strength which consists of

(a) Manobala or strength of mind

(b) Vakhala or strength of speech

(c) Kåyabala or strength of body Indriva prånas are the senses

(a) Sparfa or contact sense through skin

(b) Rasa or taste through tongue

(c) Ghrana or smell through nose

(d) Sabda or sound through ears
(e) Chaksu or vision through eve-

Thus the Indriva- are five

(3) Ayuh Prana which is the duration or age of life and it is one

(4) Uchhasa or respiration is one

Thus the four Praoas become ten Pranas when details are taken into consideration. These Praoas need not all of them with all the details be present together in an organism, i.e., there may he an organism which has not all the fite Indirias. But there must be the four maio characteristics. These Pranas are generated by the respective Karmas. The number and quality of the Pranas will be determined by the Karmic differences. These are coosidered to be the characteristics of soul, only from Vyavaharika point. The particular Vyavahara point adopted here is called by the Jaina Philosopher, अनुपत्ति असमान स्वाराज्य हर, non conventional and relative aspect of attending to the unessential matore of a thing is, these characteristics do not belong to Atma according to the principle of absolute reality or Suddha Nischayanaya.

31 and 32

Next he coumerates the general and special characteristics of Jiva, the characteristics both manifested and unmanifested अगुरुष्ठहुगा अर्णता तेहिं अर्णतेहिं परिणदा सब्वे। देसेहिं असंखादा सियलोगं सब्वमावण्णा ॥३१॥

^{*}Sansknit rendering

भगुदलघुका सनन्तास्तेरनन्तैः परिचताः सर्वे । देरीरसंस्थाता स्थाञ्जोकं सर्वमापद्वाः ॥ ३१ ॥

केचितु अंणावण्णा मिच्छादंसणकसायजोगजुदा । विजुदा य तेहिं वहुगा सिद्धा संसारिणो जीवा ॥३२॥*

31 32 The spiritual qualities of Jiva are infinite. Jivas assume different forms through the manifestation of these infinite attributes. Taking the point of world space some souls through their complete manifestation may fill the whole world Others are not so fully manifested. These are filled with false faith blinding emotions, erroneous knowledge and perception. The former class of souls are free from these defects and are called the perfect ones. And the latter are the Samsin Jivas or the imperfect ones. Each class contains infinite number of individuals.

system Bhakti and Jhana are inadequate either severally or jointly to lend the soul to Moka. Châritra or conduct is the indispensible third to constitute Moksamarga. If individuality is merely pleuo menal appearance moral value will also become purely relative. Ultimate reality may be complete without conserving moral value which becomes merely an unessential characteristic confined to the world of appearance. Any system that attaches great importance to moral value that beheves that results usually be incomplete without the conservation of values, cannot afford to play with individuality and must necessarily be pluralistic explicitly or in placific.

33

Next he explains the characteristic that soul is of the same dimensions as its own body which is acquired through Karmas. He explains it by analogy

जह पउमरायरयणं खित्तं खीरे प्रभासयदि खीरं । तह देही देहत्थो सदेहमत्तं प्रभासयदि ॥ ३३ ॥*

33 Just as the lotus hued ruby when placed in a cup of milk imparts its lustre to the milk, so Atma residing in its own body imparts its lustre or intelligence to the whole body

COMMENTARS

Atmá is in itself non special hence it is not accurate to ask "Where does it reside in its body? It periodes through the whole body. It its located in any particular part of the body, the parts any from its residence will have to be sometow related to consciousnes. The Jaina system avoids the whole difficulty by considering the soul to be completely perioding in its own body. This perioding is not to be interpreted as a sort of physical expansion. It is merely spartful manifestation still since the relation of consciousness is intimate with its own body which is physical and special. Atma is considered to be a black. But this badyatia would not make it physical. It is distinctly defined to be sprittial.

34

If 5 characterists of colentensuences with the boly is true in its fresent as well is its past and future states or forms. Then

^{*}Sanskrit rintering

यया पद्मरागरत्न क्षित्र क्षीरे प्रमानयति क्षीरं । तथा देही देहम्यः स्वदेष्टमात्र प्रमानयति ॥ ३३ ॥

the author mentions the sub tantiality of the soul, its distinctness from the body and the reason of its different st tes of existence

सञ्जत्य अत्थि जीवो ण य एक्को एक्ककाय एक्क्को । अज्भवसाणविसिद्दो चिद्रदि मिटिणीः,रजमलेहिं ॥३१॥*

34 Jiva pervades the whole body Still he is not of e with the b dy though when functioning, he is identical with it Impelled by gross emotions, stained by Kirmas he puts on different forms in the cycle of Samstra

COMMETTARY.

CORNELIVE

जेसिं जीवसहावी णित्य अभावी य सव्वहा तस्स । ते होति भिण्णदेहा सिद्धा विचेगीयरमदीदा ॥३५॥*

35 Those Jivas which have not the life principles (Dravya Pranas or the sense organs) and yet are not altogether devoid of them (as the Bhava Pranas are intact) are the ones that are free from corporeal limitation and they are the perfect ones beyond description.

COUMENTARY

The body is the limiting condition of Atma Pradesa or the dimension of Atma. The size of the perfected soul is said to be a little less than that of its last body for it is free from the 'Yoga' the principle which brings about contraction and expansion or shrinking and diffusing. It may be said to be merely an assemblage of pure knowledge and other qualities of perfection.

That Siddha state is shown to be neither the cause nor the effect of the Samsara series. It being absolutely unconditioned cannot be an item of the conditioned series of causation.

ण कुदोचि वि उपण्णो जहाा कज्जं ण तेण सो सिद्धो । उप्पादेदि ण किंचि वि कारणमिनि तेण ण स होदि ॥३६॥ ौ

36. The Siddha is not to be born again at any time in Samstra. Hence he is not to be an effect (he is not to be causally determined by anything else). Nor is he to bring about a change in anything else, therefore he is not a cause either

COMMENTARY

Siddba has secured the absolute Amourta State, the state of perfect spirituality and is incapable of maintaining causal relation with the Samsara series. The latter is determined by Karmic conditions. The

येषां जीवस्यभाषां नास्त्यमावश्च सर्वथा तस्य । ते मवन्ति भिन्नदेहाः सिद्धा पाग्गीचरमतीताः ॥ ३५ ॥ .

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

¹⁵ instrit rendering
न वुत्तिव्यद्युत्पद्रो यहमात् कार्यं न तेन स सिद्धाः।
उत्पादयति न किचिद्यि कारणमणि तेन म स मनति ॥ ३६॥

former is not so conditioned. The casual category which is true of the conditioned series is not to be applied to the unconditioned reality. The argument is the same as that employed by Kant with the reference to the Thing in itself. What is true of the experience need not necessarily be true of the metaempirical. The Siddha state then is true-senden al Self.

.37

Then he states that the soil maintains its intrinsic nature and a real even in its Siddha or perfect state. Thus the Bud diastic view of Nirvana as the annihilation of self is condemned and rejected.

सस्सदमध उच्छेदं भव्यमभव्यं च सुण्णमिद्रं च । विण्णाणमविण्णाणं ण विजुज्जिद्धि असदि सदभावे॥३०॥*

37 That he is infinite in perfection and yet finite with reference to temporal life, that he is born into perfection and yet dead from Samera, that he is the pegation of all extrinsic qualities and still the affirmation of his own intrinsic nature, that he has I nowledge perfect and yet devoid of knowledge imperfect, there eight attributes will not be associated with him if 'Niry ura' is interpreted nihilistically

COMMENTARY,

As we end above, this Gatha delends the Jana view of Self against the nihilistic interpretation of the Buddhists. Even in Siddha state the self does not lose its "Sathhava substantial reality."

It is only perfection of the already existing true unture which is in the germ in the finite self. Complete evolution is no annihilation. There must be more of its real nature and not les

With this ends the Amurta characteristic of JIva

38

Next the characteritic of 'Chelan'. The author notices the three forms of Chelan cor consciousness

*S inskrit rendering

•

गास्वनमधोच्छेदो भन्यमभन्य च ग्रन्यमितरच । विभानमविभान नापि यज्यते श्रसति सद्भावे ॥ ३७ ॥

कम्माणं फलमेक्को एक्को कज्जं तु गाणमध एक्को । चेदयटि जीवरासी चेदगभावेण तिहिवेण ॥३८॥†

38 One lind of Jivi experiences merely the fruits of lin ma, pleasure pain. Inother experiences countive activity as well, still another has pure and perfect. I nowledge. Thus consciourness is manifested in three fold awareness.

COMMENTARY

The first two states of experience are related to Samsåri Jian for they have reference to harma, whereas the third has reference to pure Chetan. Sauthasa and hence is a sociated with the perfect one. The implicit recognition by the author of the three different aspects of consciousnes a feeling activity, and I nowledge is worth noticing from the point of modern P ychology.

Then le specifies the Jivas according to the three aspects of consciousne a noticed above

सन्त्रे खलु कम्मफलं थावरकाया तसा हि कज्जजुदं। पाणित्तमिटिक्कंता णाणं विदंति ते जीवा॥ ३६॥*

39 Indeed all fixed organisms ble plants experience merely feeling, but the moving ones, the animals have besides feeling, condition experience. Whereas those that transcend the organic conditions or Prain's experience pure knowledge.

COMMENTARY

Plants are fixed and inexpable of movement, and can therefore only suffer the environmental changes. They can only feel the mechanical and elamtic stimula around. The moving organism because of their movement are capable of experiencing their own activity. In their experience then there is besides, feeling, the

Sanskeit rendering

वर्मणा पालमेर, परः कार्यं तु ज्ञानमधेरः । चेतवित जीवराशिश्चेतरमायेन त्रिविधेरः॥ ३=॥

[&]quot;Sir strit ren lers ig

सर्वे सनु दर्भपल स्थायटकायात्रसा हि वार्ययुत्त । प्राणियमनिवासना ब्रावं यिन्द्रन्ति से जीया ॥ ३४ ॥

consciousness of activity, whereas to experience pure thought, there must be complete absence of physical and organic conditions. Such a being is certainly the Wukta Jiva

After the wonderful discourses of Dr Boae with reference to plants life it is not necessary to defend the proposition that plants are capable of feeling. Western science, though it recognised the organic nature of plants was very much reluctant to admit the correlative organic characteristic of feeling in plants. This is only another phase of the Cartesian prepadice with reference to plants and animal-Descartes was resonable for the view that animals were skillula created automata or machines. Driven give a death blow to this plulo ophical superstition and Bose d d the same service to the plant world and threeby districtly established the fundamental unity of the organic world and the is the thesis presupposed in the above Gatha

Thus ci I the di cu sien of Chetana characteristic of Jiva

Then the quality of Upayoga or the instrument of knowledge

उवओगो खलु दुविहो णाणेण य दंसणेण संजुत्ती । जीवस्त सन्वकालं अणण्णभूदं वियाणीहि ॥१०॥*

40 Upayoga the instrument or means of I nowledge is twofold—Juana or understanding and Dargana or perception It is inseparable from and always present in Jiva So do thou learn its nature

COUNCETARY

One of the commentators eys that this Gatha is addressed to a disciple who is a Naiyayiki. Perception and understanding are essentially related to Jisa. There can be no Jisa which has not prereption and understanding similarly the faculties cannot exist apart from the self. This is the view of the author, the rejects the view that the faculties are adventitious and acquired.

- 7.1

Then the author de cribes the different species of Jhana which is one of the Uphyogas referred to in the previous Gatha

*Sanskrit rendering उपयोग रालु द्विविधो त्रानेन च दशेनेन समुनः । जीवस्य सर्ववालमनन्यमूत पिजानीदि ॥ ४० ॥

आभिणिसुदोधिमणकेवलाणि णाणाणि पंचभेयाणि । कुमदिसुदविभंगाणि य तिण्णि वि णाणेहिं संजुत्ते ॥१९॥*

Abhinibodha (knowledge obtained by congenital mental capacity), Śruta (knowledge obtained by study), Avadhi (a kind of chirvoyant knowledge of events in different places and in different times) Manah Paryaya (telepathic knowledge of another's mind), and Kevala Jinni (the perfect knowledge). These are the five kinds of right knowledge. But, when the first three are associated with the error, or Ajnira they form three linds of erroneous Inowledge, Kumati, Kuśruti, Vibhanga Avadhi, and are included in the forms of knowledge.

COMMENTARY

Mati Juana refers to sense perception and the inferential know ledge based upon it Sruta Inana is knowledge based upon testimony obtained mainly through books. Avadhi Juana is an abnormal faculty of perception, but the perception is not conditioned by sense It is supposed to comprehend things and events of different places and of different times. The faculty is able to project itself citier to the past or to the future. This form of awareness is quite analogous to sense perception. This is a way corresponds to the clairs oyant capacity possessed by certain "mediums," Manah Paryaya is the capacity of knowing the ideas in another's mind This corresponds in a way to telepathy of modern psychology. This gives an insight into mental facts of persons at a limited distance-the distance limit being conditioned by the strength and the quality of the faculty. This should not be confounded with inferential knowledge obtained from facial expression. And lastly hexala limits is the perfect knowledge which is associated with the Siddha State. The first two are distinctly conditioned by sense perception, whereas the other three transcend sense limitations. The last is absolutely free from any kind of physical conditions whereas the other four are still associated with corporeal existence. Avadhi and Manah Parvaya are the super normal faculties acquired under peculiar psycho physical

^{*}Sanslrit rendering

आभिनियोधिम्भुतावधिमनःपर्वपदेवलानि ज्ञानानि पञ्चभेशानि ! दुमतिभुतविभद्गानि च त्रीष्यपि ज्ञानैः समुनानि ॥ ४१ ॥

conditions The normal and super normal cognitive faculties which correspond to the revelations of modern psychic research are not facts to be passed over without notice

42

Then the five Jianas are described in detail First Mati Jiana is taken.

मदिणाणं पुण तिविहं उवल्ही भावणं च उवओगी। तह चेव चहुविषण्पं दंसणपुष्यं हवादि णाणं ॥१२॥*

42 Matimana is of three kinds—Upalabdhi or perception, Bh want or memory, Upayoga or understanding. It is also said to be of four kinds. This Juina is always pieceded by cense presentation or Darsana.

COMMENTALLY.

This Matijaana is certainly consequent upon sense perception It includes as the Sutra says appreliension of the object, memory, and understanding, se, all that is given to us through sense perception and all that we elaborate out of these sense elements in memors and imagination. Though it is mainly of three kinds it is also considered to be of four different forme. I or example the author of Tattyarthusutes speaks of wavester until This love fold division is not fundamentally different from the previous division were implies the sense datum, eg. Perceiving a thing to be white through the eyes is Avagriha. To attempt to determine what that white object 1.15 fft. This fft refers to the indecisive mental attitude where several alternative determinations are possible. I mally when the thing is determined, i.e. out of several alternatives when one is chosen because of certain special characteristics perceived in the white object then we have Avaya This implies the inferential element in all perception. This should not be confounded with mediate inference about other things through sense perception very same act of perception involves all those three stages. And lastly whenever we remember these things after sometime it is called धारणा This Matighana consists of 336 forms when viewed according to different principles of Division

^{*}Sanskrit rendering मतिज्ञानं पुनस्थितियाँ उपलब्धिमायना च उपयोगः । तथैय चनुर्विशन्प दर्शन पूर्व भवनि ज्ञानम् ॥ ५२ ॥

43

Then Strittjuana knowledge by testimony and not by acquaintance. The objects of this knowledge may be Murta and Amurta. Physical and non-physical.

सुद्रणाणं पुण णाणी भणंति लट्टी य भावणा चेव । उवओगणयवियप्पं णाणेण य वत्थु अत्यस्स ॥१३॥

43 The wise say that Sruta Juana is of four kinds—Labdhi, or association, Bhavana or attention, Upayoga or understanding of things and Naya or the aspects of their meaning

COMMENTARY

Of thee four forms of Stutamana or knowledge by testimony the first is Labdin. This corre ponds to association of idea. dhi is defined to be the process of getting the meaning of one idea through its associated idea. Bhuana is the direction of attention to one idea with a view to get at the associated idea. Unavogn is the process of understanding the meaning of ideas consequent upon Bhisana Nava is viewing the meaning from different relations The first three are concerned with the paychic process of acquiring knowledge through the ideas contained in books. The last is the way of understanding things from different a pect. This Naya plays a very important part in Jaina system of thought the Santa Bhangi mentioned above are the two pillars of Jaina Logic The Nayas also are of seven different kinds. In order to distinguish the seven Nayas these are called Naya Sapta Bhangi as contrasted with Pramins Sapta Bhangi Naya is defined in "Naya Vivarua" this भीवत गम्पतेदान खनार्थीक सनीनय that by which the vacious aspects of the meaning of the scripture are understood is for us the Naja or principle. This Naya or principle of interpretation is mainly of two kinds 1 Dravy ethikanaya that pertaining to Dravya or sub tance Part is irthika Naya that pertaining to modifications. Again Dravy irthikanaya is further sub divided into three kinds 1 Samgraha 3 Vyavahara The Pary cyarthika Naya 15 sub divided into four kinds 1 Rijusutra, 2 Sabda 3 Samablii radha, 4 Lyambhuta These seven may be explained in detail,

I. Naigamanaya Nigamih of Sankalpah of purpose. That which pertains to বিল্ল of purpose is বিল্লাব from be said to be the Teleological aspect of a continuous activity. When the purpose of the activity is tallon to represent the whole series them it is বিল্লাব II is defined in the Satra অবিধিয়বাই নৰ্মেন্ত্ৰ মার্কি পাল Thus when a person who is drawing water or who is busy carrying firewood is questioned. "What are you doing?" He asswers "I im cooking." Here he is not actually cooling but it is the purpose which accounts for his action of carrying firewood or drawing water wide Poeyja Pady is Commentary Sarvarthaiddhi Sutra 33 of Ch 1. This Naya is misunderstood by M. D. Desai (Nasalamila * and b). S. C. Vidya bhusana (Nyaaaatara) *

These passages give an entirely different interpretation of this naja It is very clearly explained and illustrated by Poojiahadya in his Surverthasiddin-Sutre 33 of the first chapter. The same explanation and also the same illustrations are adopted by another commentator of the same sutra-Sentasagara the author of Stut isaggregam-an unpublished commentary on Tatrathadhirama. We own explanation is based upon these authorities and also on the preat work on Logic-Prameya Ramala Martanda I consulted Stude idamanjari also of the Bennes I dition tings 166 and Bomline 1" It for al Ratcham'rajama granthmala page 198. Here al o the same interpretation is found. If in a series of qualities or action and carries taken as the representance of the whole, this representative aspect to Naigama. The derivation given by Mr. Dean max also meanthe same Natham, ethatiti Nie im i nigamo bana-Vallama The particular that is not restricted to uself, but poes beyond itself to unily and represent the whole

This representative character is of course not to be identified with either the mere universal or particular. It is different from both When a person is making preparations to start on journey, we say the states." At the moment of the statement he is not starting, but it going to start. Similary 'He dwells here, need not mean that the person must be actually be to the residence at the moment;

2 Sameraha स्वतास्यिक्तिक्षेत्रक्षेत्रक्ष्यस्यनीच पर्यापानाहान्त भेदानिष्ठियेण समन प्रवणात्मग्रह —(तत्वार्थमण)

स्यजास्यविरोधेनेकस्यस्यनीयार्थनात्रात्तं भेदान्समस्त ग्रह्णात्स्यदः ।

Samgraha is the class view. When several things which are similar in essence and which are not incompatible with one mother are brought together under one class concept we have Simgraha Nava. Thus the name put refers to the whole class of individual objects which go by that name. Similarly the idea of Draya. From the point of Draya characteristic (Permanency through change) the term Draya refers to several entities, living and non-living which are all taken as one class.

3 V rahara सप्राणयातिनानामर्था । विधि प्रवेष मगहरण व्यवहार ॥

(Tatyartha)

सम्बद्धीतार्थाना विधिवर्श्वकमबदरण विभागन भेथेन महत्त्वण न्यनदार ॥ (Prame)a)

Vyavalara is the process of examining the objects which are brought together under one class according to the different rules of Logic. This would spically enquire into the several species which constitute the whole goins. Examination of the specific Drava Drava and Ajiva Drava which both b long to Drava. Genus would be an illustration for vyavalary Naia.

र्ष Riju Sutra बाजुबपण सम्बद्धि तन्त्रवतहति ऋजुमत्र ।। (Tatvartha)

श्रुत्यांत्रत्र ध्वम") वर्षमान महत्त्वात्र सुद्रमति (भोषाति) इति स्तु स्वात (Prime) n)
That which clearly expresses a momentary state is Riju Sutra
Niva. This would take into consideration only the present state of
a thing. "It is very pleasant now. This proposition predicates
something which is line of the subject only at the moment of the
predication. Such an aspect of a thing is Riju Sutra Nava.

5 Śabda Nava

निग सल्या साधनाहि व्यभिचार निवृत्तिहर गुज्दनय (Tatvartha)

काचकारक र्चित सन्या साधनीवप्रद भैनाद्भिन्त अर्थं श्रपतीति गाद्दोतय शब्द प्रधानत्वात् (Prameya)

Words though differing in tense voice, gender, number and instruments may point to the same thing ir refer to the same meaning. This aspect of the identity of meaning in spite of differences noted above is Sabda hava.

6 Samabhirudha नानार्थ समिपिराध्यान् समिपस्ड This refers to merely synonymous which though interpreted account to their derivation may refer same identical thing

7 বাৰ সুৰুৰ That which describes a particular action or capacity of a thing Though the thing has not that particular aspect at the time of judging, the name obtained because of that action is still applicable to the thing, according to this principle

Again the Nayas are divided into six kinds. Thus we have Shadanayas Dravyarthika Naya is also called Nischaya Naya or the relative aspect. Paryayarthika Naya is called Vyawahara Naya or the relative aspect. The former is further sub divided into Suddha and Asnddha. Thus we have Suddha Nischaya Naya and Asnddha Nischaya Naya. Vyawahara Naya is divided into Sudhhita and Asadbhuta. Each again determined is Upacharita and Anufacharita. Thus we have four kinds of Vyavahara Naya.

- (1) Upacharita Sadibhuta, (2) Anupacharita Sadibhuta, (3) Upacharita Asadibhuta, (4) Anupacharita Asadibhuta The term Vyaya hara is added to each at the end. These six Nayas are specially employed in the examination of the characteristics of Atma
- (1) Suddhauschaya Naya That the soul is identically the same whether in Samsara or in Moksha because of its intrinsic characteristics of Jūana and Darsana is a statement according to this Naya
- (2) Asaddha Nischaya Naya to I cheve that the soul is characterised by gross emotions in its Samsanic state is true according to Asaddha Nischaya Naya
- (3) Upachania Sadbhuia Vyavahira Naya The opinion that the soul has the chetana modification of Matijiana etc., is true because of the Upachanta Sadbhuia Vyav hara. That the soul has Matijiana, is relative and figurative though pertaining to a quality which wave usus well.
 - (4) Anupacharita Sadbhuta Vyaxahara That the soul has the modification of Kevala Jana
 - (5) Anupacharita Asadbhuta Vyavahara Naya This corresponds to the inseparable accident of the scholastic logic, for example, this is my body. Body is identified with self according to this particular Nata.
 - (6) Upackarita Asadbhuta Vyavahara Naya This corresponds to the separable accident of scholastic logic for example. This is my house. House is identified with the self according to Upacharita Asadbhuta Vyavahara Naya.

These Nayas refer to the different relations that the several attributes have to the self. The sout owns trese several attributes according to these several Nayas. That special a pect of possessing is different in different cases and the different Nayas define the several relations which may be real or relative which may be pure or impure which may be separable or inseparable and so on

(44) Then Avadhi is described in detail

ओहिं तहेव घेप्पदु देसं परमं च ओहिसव्वं च । तिपिणवि गुणेण णियमा अवेण देसं तहा णियदं ॥४२॥

Thus Avadhi also is of three kinds Desavadhi Paramavadhi and Sarvavadhi All the three are conditioned by Psychic qualities. But Desavadhi is also conditioned by birth in the case of Deva and Naraka

COMMENTARY

Desayadhi is the very limited faculty of perceiving things beyond sense perception. It is able to apprehend only a limited number of things within a limited space and within a limited time. Paramayadhi is the higher Ayadhi Jiahan which is free from such limitations. But the last. Sarvayadhi is the perfect faculty which perceives all reality. This is associated with the perfect self. These three faculties are respectively acquired by psychol devel piment, it is as long as certain. Psychic qualities are present these in temperical faculties spontaneously manifest themselves. But in the case of the last when once it is acquired it becomes permanent and everlasting. Whereas the first is also present as a matter of birth right in Devas and Narakas ie they need not acquire it by special Psychical effort and development. But in the case of man and some higher animals it is to be acquired by developing the psycholan latter.

Thus Desavadh is said to be of two kinds Gunapratyaya and Bhavapratyaya conditioned by Gunas or Psychic qualities and by Bhava or Birth Gunapratyaya Desavahi is associated with man and animals and Bhavapratyaya with Deva and Naraki.

Gunapratyava is again divided into six kinds -

- 1 Anugami (the following)
- 2 Ananugami (not following)
- 3 Vardhamana (the growing)
- 4 Hiyamana (decaying or decreasing)

- 5 Avasthita (limited or bounded)
- 6 Anavasthita (unlimited or indefinite)

The first is that which enlightens the things while marching as the sun does

The second is that which sticks to one and the same thing as the fixed idea of an in the person. In the first attention is continually horizing from things to things whereas in the second it is rivetted to the very same thing.

The third is that which begins lik a spark of light and grows into a huge flams gradually lighting up a number of objects

The fourth implies the opposite tendency in the faculty. Here
the faculty gradually decreases like five going out

The fifth is the ficulty that neither increases nor decreases but is limited and definite because of the Samvak qualities of the soul

The sixth is the opposite of the fifth. It is unlimited and indefinite. It is wavering hither and thither like a column of fire or water that is subject to heavy gust of wind

(45) Then the description of Manah Paryaya

विउलमदी पुण णाणं अज्जवणाणं च दुविह मणणाणं । एदे सजमलद्वी उवओंगे अप्पमत्तस्स ॥१५॥

Manah Paryaya is of two Kinds Rijumati and Vipulamati, telepathy which manifests straight and direct and telepathy that manifests crooked or in undulations. These appear only in a person of appranial a Gunisthana who acquired Samyama lablir. (i. e) a person who acquired complete harmony or steadings of the spirit by thorough renunciation.

COMMENTARY

Rijii mana strught that which manifests straight or direct is Rijumati. This apprehends straight and direct the ideas in another's mind. Vipula means crooked or zigzag. When the process of knowing the ideas in other's mind manifests in a zigzag way it is. Vipula Mati. These are the two kinds of Munah Paryaya Jūāna. This capacity is distinctly an acquired one. It is associated only with a person who has usen pretty, bugh in the ladder of spiritual column. That particular stage at which this faculty appears is known as Apramatta gunastidae.

These Nayas refer to the different relations that the several attributes have to the self. The soul owns these several attributes according to these several Nayas. That special aspect of possessing is different in different cases and the different Nayas define the several relations which may be real or relative, which may be pure or impure; which may be separable or inseparable and so on

(44) Then Avadhi is described in detail

ओहिं तहेव घेप्पदु देसं परमं च ओहिसव्वं च । तिण्णिव गुणेण णियमा भवेण देसं तहा णियदं ॥१२॥

Thus Avadhi also is of three kinds Desavadhi, Paramavadhi and Sarvavadhi. All the three are conditioned by Psychic qualities. But Desavadhi is also conditioned by birth in the case of Deva and Naraka.

COMMENTARY

Desayadhi is the very limited faculty of pirceiving things beyond sense perception. It is able to apprehend only a limited number of things within a limited space and within a limited time. Paramavadhi is the higher Avadhi Juana which is free from such limitations. But the last. Sarvavadhi is the perfect faculty which perceives all reality. This is associated with the perfect self. These three faculties are respectively acquired by psychic development, i.e. as long as certain. Psychic qualities are present these metemperical faculties spontaneously manifest themselves. But in the case of the last when once it is acquired it becomes permanent and everlasting. Whereas the first is also present as a matter of birth right in Devis and Narakas ie they need not acquire it by special Psychical effort and development. But in the case of man and some higher animals it is to be acquired by developing the psychical nature.

Thus Desavadhi is said to be of two kinds. Gunapratyaya and Bhavapratyaya conditioned by Gunas or Psychic qualities and by Bhava or Birth. Gunapratyaya Desavahi is associated with man and animals and Bhavapratyaya with Desa and Naraka.

Gunapratyaya is again divided into six kinds -

- 1 Anugams (the following)
- 2 Ananugami (not following)
- 3 Vardhamana (the growing)
 4 Hiyamana (decaying or decreasing)

- 5 Avasthita (limited or bounded)
- 6 Anavasthita (unlimited or indefinite)

The first is that which enlightens the things while murching as the sun does

The second is that which sticks to one and the same thing as the fixed idea of an in one person. In the first attention is continually flowing from things to things whereas in the second it is rivelted to the very same thing.

The third is that which begins like a spock of light and grows into a huge flamo gradually lighting up a number of objects

The fourth imp is the opposite tendency in the faculty. Here the faculty gradually decreases like fire going out

The fifth is the faculty that neither increases nor decreases but is limited and dehinte because of the Samsak qualities of the soul

The sixth is the opposite of the fifth. It is unlimited and indefinite. It is wavering buther and thither like a column of fire or water that is subject to heavy gust of wind.

(45) Then the description of Manah Pary 132

विउलमदी पुण णाणं अञ्जवणाणं च दुविह मणणाणं । एदे सजमलद्वी उवओंगे अप्पमत्त्रस् ॥१५॥

Manah Paryaya is of two Kinds Rijumati and Vipulamati, telepathy which manifests straight and direct and telepathy that manifests crooked or in undulations. These appear only in a person of appramatia Gunasthana who acquired Samjama labilu. (i e) a person who acquired complete harmony or steadiness of the spirit by thorough renunciation.

COMMENTARY

Rijvi m ans strught that which manifests straight or direct is Rijumati This apprehends straight and direct the ideas in another is mind. Vipula means crooked or zigzag. When the process of knowing the ideas in other is mind manifests in a zigzag. Way it is. Vipula Mati. These are the two kinds of Vinash Paryaya Jaana. This capacity is distinctly an acquired one. It is associated only with a person who has risen pretty, high in the ladder of spiritual evolution. That particular stage at which this faculty appears is known as Apramatia gunasthana.

The stages in spiritual evolution are fourteen. They are called Gunasthanas. These are—

1 मिष्यादृष्टि ध 🛌

2 सासादन सम्यग्दष्टि ॥

3 सम्पद्दिष्ट्यादृष्टि ॥

4 द्यसपतं सम्यग्द्र है ॥

5 स्थतास्थत ॥

6 प्रमत्तसंयत् ॥

7. द्यममत्तसयतः ॥

8 प्रापूर्वकरणस्थान क्ष्यामक त्रपक स

9 श्रनिवृत्तिवाद्रसाम्परायस्याने व्यवनक न्नपक ॥

10 स्त्रमसम्बरायस्थाने वपयमक अपक्र ॥

10 स्नासम्परापस्थानं वस्यमक १५३ 11 स्परान्तकपायवीतरागद्धदस्य ॥

11 अपयान्तकपायवातरागद्यस्य 12 जीलकपायत्रीतरागद्रदस्य ॥

13 सपागकेवसी॥

14 अवेशकेपनी चेति ॥

- 1 The first is the stage which represents spiritual blindness. A person in this stage is incapable of either perception of or belief in true reality. This is the lovest stage of spiritual existence where thought is without the value of truth and conduct without the value of goodness.
- 2 The second stage is the stage of retrogre sion. A person may advance in the pith of evolution and become a Samyagdrishit (the fourth Gunisthana). This stage is the opposite of the first. It is only from this stage (the fourth) onwards that a person is expible of having either truth or goodness. But sometimes a soul after reaching the fourth stage, which is really the next step from the first may have the misfortune of spiritual degeneration. He may slip down to the bottom of the ladder. This process of slipping down is the stage of Sasadana.

It is only a transition period. The person will very soon settle down in the first stage. Hence the second stage does not really mean the next, ship from the first. So also the third stage is the spiritual oscillation between first and fourth. It is also a transition stage.

3 The third stage represents the mixed quality. The characteristic of the first and of the fourth stage get inextricably mixed together. The spiritual character is indeterminate. A person cannot be trought under either the first class or the fourth class. Hence it is called Misragunasthana

- 4 The fourth stage represents the beginning of the spiritual well being. Here is the possibility of truth and goodness. But still there is not active effort to elicit true thought and sood conduct. The absence of this effort is associated with the right spiritual disposition. The inter is called Samyaktva "A *person who is in this stage and who is without the effort to exhibit the innute power, is Asamyata Samyakdushi.
- 5 The fifth stage represents partial effort to dra v out the spiritual powers. In this stage a person, has not only the desirable spiritual disposition but all o makes some effort towards further development. He is called a Desarrati
- 6 The sixth stage represents whole hearted effort. Complete and possible control over self is associated with the true bent of the spirit. But still the whole hearted good will is not yet free from tempting desires and impulses. There is the chance of these impulses getting the mastery for there is not yet complete renunciation. Hence this stage is Pramatta Samyata.
- 7 The seventh stage is called Apramatt's Samyata. In this stage the tendency to be utrached by the outer things is thoroughly over come. Spiritual strength is firmly established. Spirit has conquered the body. This stage is the critical stage in the spiritual evolution. From here begins the double path of higher spiritual evolution. One path leads to absolute perfection. And the other relative perfection. The former is associated with the annihilation of harmas. The latter with the suppression of them. The former is called bishaphic Spirit the ladder of annihilation, the latter is called Ubidiant Spirit—the hadder of prefection of harmas.

Manah Parynyn Jna in appears only in a person who has reached the critical stage of higher spiritual evolution

Hence it must be considered as in extraordinary psychic quality acquired only after reaching a high stage of spiritual evolution. Before proceding to describe the characteristics of the other stages of evolution let us note the further qualities of Manah Paryaya. This Psychic capacity is peculiarly limited by time, and space. Though it is higher than ordinary mental facolity though it is supernormal still it should not be considered even as approaching Kevila Jifána Ol the two kinds of mind knowing Vipila Mati is considered to be greatly superior to the other. The limitations given are follow.

46

Ryumati Manah Paryaya from the point of time has a lower limit as well as a lighter limit. In its lower limit it may apprehend the thoughts of another individual during his lifetime or it may extend to two or three Bhavas or births before and after. The upper limit is upto seven or eight. Bhavas or births before and after. From the point of view of spice its lower limit is to the reduce of Gavyuti Gavvnii or about two leagues and the upper limit is the radius of one Yoyina. The second Vipulimiti has the lower time limit of seven or eight lirths whereas the higher is innumerable. Its lower distance limit is round a radius of one yoyan and its ligher distance limit is round a radius of one yoyan and to beyond that. This Manushothara Saila is according to Jima Geography the limit of houran habitation.

When we examine the limitations described above it is clear to us that the latter Vipida Matrix deciledly the higher supernor mal faculty. Therefore the commentators in erpectation of sipida as kutila or crooked really means Rythmic or undulatory manifestation. Whereas the former is straight and direct. The sythmic manifestation is naturally capable of greater efficiency. This is illustrated by several rythmic movements in Nature as in the heart heat. This Pyolic faculty cytdently through this rythmic or undulatory manifestation is able to achieve better and higher results than the e of R inmatri.

- 8 The eighth stage represents the acquisition of a spiritual weapon called the most Sikla Dhyma. This is an instrument by help of which karms are to be destroyed. This is a ninque Psychic force never before experienced by the Sell Hence it is Apurca Rarana. This stage is represented in both the ladders of development. Uprsamakaha and kshapakaha ie, sell in this stage, may be in the pith of annihilation or in that of pacification of karms.
- 9 The ninth stage represents spiritual warfare Equipped with the weapon of Still a Dhyana Self—the warrior destroys the grosser desires. This spiritual warfare is also associated with both the paths of development.

Badara Sampara a Sampara means warfare Radara mean gross warfare or conflict with gross desir and impulses of this soul

10 The tenth stage is the stage of the same spiritual warfare when the subtle impulses of the soul get destroyed. This stage also

has representatives in either ladder, re as the result of the spiritual struggle the gross and subtle desires may either, be rooted out or supressed. One who roots them out is kshapaka and one who supresses them is upa-amakaha.

Sukshma Samparaya Conflict with subtler impules

11 The eleventh stage is the stage where spiritual peace is secured but here peace is the result of suppression. Hence it may not be quite secure. The spiritual harmony may set be disturbed. If it gets disturbed then there may be the misfortune of slipping down again. But the fell will be to the Apramatta Gunasthana from which the two Sreins branched off

12 The twelfth stage represents the corresponding step in the hadder of annihilation is after the destruction of subtle and gross desires with the help of Sukli Dhyana the self may pass on to kshina kash iyasthana which is the twelfth. This is just below the stage of perfection.

- 13 This stage is certainly the stage of Perfect Emancipation Kevala Jiana is reached but there is still yoga (Manavachanakaya or mind speech and body) Hence this stage is called Sayogakevali the kevali who has still yoga
- 14 The last is the stage where even this yoga disappears. The stage immediately after the disappearance of yoga is called Ayoga bestalt. The Siddha state is considered to be a transcendental stage. Therefore it is considered to be beyond this classification of Gunas thanas. It is purely metemperical and therefore description by difference of degree will have no meaning with reference to this transcendental Ego.
- 46 Then the kevala jūana—the ideal knowledge. This is the result of the destruction of the four Ghatija karmas co existence with infinite qualities such as infinite bless the desire of all the faithful and the pure and that which makes even a Devendra discontented in his own glory.

णाणं णेयिणिमित्तं केवलणाणं ण होदि सुदणाणं । णेयं केवलणाणं णाणाणाणं च सत्थि केवलिणो ॥१६॥

Kevala Jn in a is knowledge pir excellence. It is not conditioned by objects of knowledge. It is not even Srutajiina which is consequent upon study. To the person who has

acquired perfect knowledge there is no diversity of knowledge. So let it be known

COMMENTARY

Kevala Juana completely comprehends all Dravyas and all their modifications The author of Tatwartha Sutra says सर्वेद्रव्यप्याचेषु The infinite number of Jiva Dravyas the infinite number of Physical bodies, the two physical principles of rest and motion and infinite space and time all become transparent to Kevala Juana There can be nothing which is not comprehended by this knowledge The contents of this knowledge constitute the whole of reality This is not conditioned by objects. It is absolutely self determined Therefore all the Dravyas and the Puryayas are at the same time evident to Kevala Juana This unconditioned simultaneous compre hension of reality makes it fundamentally distinct from Mati Juana or Srutz Juana and also from the other two. The other four manaare limited in efficiency and extent and hevala Juana knows no such limitations. Again Mati Sru and Avadhi are subject to degeneration and corruption But Manah Paryaya and Kevala do not have any such tendency - But the capacity of Levala Juana is infinitely higher than even Manah Parsaya. In short it is the absolute and unconditioned wisdom

47 After describing the five kinds of right knowledge (Samyak juana), the author mentions the three Ajpanas or the kinds of wrong I nowledge

मिच्छत्ता अण्णाणं अविरदिभावो य भावआवरणा । णेय पहुच्च काले तह दुण्णध दुप्यमाणं च ॥७०॥

If Mithyatva which veils the faculty of perception of and faith in reality appears, then knowledge gets viriated and becomes Apiana and the regulative principle of conduct also becomes corrupt. Again during the process of investigating reality the standard of truth and the methods of reaching it all become misleading and untristivority.

COMMENTARY

Mithyatva is the condition of Ajñana. Its presence in a soul is responsible for several undesirable consequences. This Mithyativa may be unate or acquired. In the case of persons who have congenital Mithyativa their faculty of understanding is also corrupt from

birth They have innote Ajua in Aumati and Kusruti. Bit in the case of per ons who required this Mithyatva after losing Samyaktva their right knowledge turns into erroneous one. The effect of Mithyatva is not confined to corrupting the faculty of understanding. It indirectly iffects conduct also soch a person because of his Ajuana is incapible of the ong the right path. Fill becomes his good. And this type of the right path is true to the consenting of the right path in the right path is the right path. Fill becomes his good. And solve if there is it is effort after trith it, ends in mere illusion so long a there is the primary source of civil Mithyatva intact.

48 Alter describing Jiianopayoga Le goes on to speak about

Darsanapaya -The faculty of perception

दंदग्रमवि चक्खुजुदं अचक्खुजुदमवि य ओहिणा सहिय। अणिधणमणंतविसय केविलय चावि पण्णत्त ॥४८॥

Perception or Darsana is of four kinds Perception through visual sensations, perception through non visual senses, again that through the faculty of Avadhi, or clairvoyance, and lastly through levala or infinite perception which is unlimited and apprehends all reality—Thus is it described

Distant of pelefini in bles mer ville to receive that a thing exists. It correspond to knowledge by acquisitance. Understanding the rebitty flu "pyrche deit juna. In a right way Dar and and Juan may be said to correspond to the sensibility and under strading of kants system. This understanding and perception apprehend things gradually one after the other. But in the case of Kevalis the two laculties are co-extensive with the complete reality. The whole existence is perceived and understood at the same time and as there is no reality beyond such faculty it is not necessary for such a person to attend to things one after the other.

49 After describing Ji and and Darsana the author in order to clear the doubt of a Najayika student for whom substance and quality are absolutely distinct explains that the distinction is only relative and he also mentions the diversity of Jiana

ण वियप्पदि णाणादी णाणी णाणाणि होति णेगाणि। तम्हादु विस्तरूवं भण्णिय टवियत्ति णाणीहि ॥४८॥

The soul is not distinct from its attribute of Julian or knowledge and because the was of knowledge are diverse the world of reality or the universe is also said to be multiverse by the wise

COMMENTARY

There can be no kno yledge apart. Irom the knower. Self and its knowledge are inseparable. A an knowledge is also intimately related to the objects known. There are diverse forms of knowledge. This imple that the objects of knowledge are also diverse. Hence from the point of view of object. They are both one and many—One because of the common Drivjativa and many because of the different ways of apprehending objects. The proposition that the replity is one and also many is not self contradictory according to the Jama system hence the statement that reality is uniter else not incompatible with the reality is a multiverse. The point emphasized in the gatha is mainly the inclienability of the attribute from the thing.

50 Then I e points out the absurdits of the view that substance and the attribute are entirely distinct

जिद हविद दन्त्रमण्णं गुणदी य गुणा य दन्त्रदी अण्णे। दन्त्राणंतियमध्या दन्त्राभावं पकुन्त्रंति ॥५०॥*

If the substance is entirely separate and distinct from its qualities then it may change into infinite other substances or again if the qualities can exist separate from their substance, there will be no necessity for a substance at all. (In either way the result would be absurd.)

COMMENTARY

The relation of substance and its qualities is an extremely difficult problem for a metaphysician

Some would emphasize the qualitie to the detriment of substance and some would emphasize the substance at the cost of qualitie fine either case the result would be about because of the false emphasis

We may have the world of unchanging reality of Parmenides or a world of eternal flux of Herachtes, but both these worlds are

*Sa iskrit rendering यदि भयति झर्चयान्यद्गुरातश्च ग्रुगाद्च झ्रव्यतोऽभ्य । इम्यानग्यमध्या इच्याजाच प्रदादति ॥ ५० ॥ so far removed from the world of our corcerete experience. In our world of concrete experience things and qualities are of equal importance. In fact the distinction is not ab olute.

There can be no qualities apart from substance nor substance without qualities. If substance is deprived of all its qualities and if it is still the possible substratum of qualities then different groups of qualities may get associated with that substratum, i.e., the same substance has the of qualities may chince of becoming infinite other substances.

This is a manifest absurdity. Or again if the attributes can float themselves without any fiving substratum then they would by their on a combination constitute a thing and the category of substance is no more necessary. To think of reality as identical with qualities apart from the qualified thing is also equally absurd. On account of internal contradiction the view that qualitie may be different from substance is condemned. The argument adopted by the author reminds us of Burkley and Hume.

अविभत्तमणण्णत्तं दृव्वगुणाणं विभत्तमण्णत्तं । णिच्छंति णिच्चयह्नं तिव्ववरीदं हि व तेसिं ॥५१॥*

Those that know the truth do not recognise any of following the views as to the relation of substance and quality that they are absolutely identical and inseparable, that they are distinct and separate in every way, and lastly that they are both distinct and identical at the same time

COMMENTARY

The doctrine maintained by the author is that substance and quality are not distinct and separable in rarian-natura, but only in thought, and there too the distinction is only relative i.e., the quality cannot be thought of as ab olutely independent of substance. Still it can be attended to as distinct from the thing. Hence it is that the three views mentioned in the gatha are rejected.

(52) From the point of view of Vyapadesa ete substance and quality are in an a pect distinct

ववदेसा संठाणा संखा विसया य होंति ते वहुगा। ते तेसिमणण्णते अण्णत्ते चावि विज्मते ॥५२॥†

The difference between Drivya and guna, substance and attribute, may be determined by appellation, form, number, or locality. These determinants are various. They hold good among things and their attributes whether these are considered different or identical.

COMMENTARY

These differences hold good not only among different things but also in the case of a single complex thing which is capable of internal distinction. The difference due to Nyapadesa or name is of two kinds.

श्रविमक्तमन्यन्य द्रव्यगुणानां विमक्तमन्यत्य । नेच्छन्ति निश्चयज्ञास्तद्विपरीतं हि या तेषां ॥ ५१ ॥

व्यवदेशा सस्यान नि सच्या विषयाश्च भवन्ति ते बहुन्ना । ते तेपामनन्यते ऋत्वाचे ज्याविद्यान्ते ॥ ५२ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Sinskrit rendering

- SHASHTI VVAPADESA This is the relation of the sixth case or the po sessive relation
- haraka Vyapadesa due to causal relation. Each of these may be true of different things or of the same thing. The Pos essive relation among different things is illustrated thus "Devadatta's The same relation is illostrated by a single complex thing The branch of a tree or "Imas attributes Here the possessive relation is distinctly internal in the very same thing
- (2) Karaka or cau al relation This is alse Vyapadesa difference. se difference due to Nomenclature or appellation Karaka sanjua also holds good between two different things or in the same identical complex thing haraka or causal relation is recognised to be of six forms 1 e in a complete causal relation six elements are implied-
 - Karta or agent or subject
 - 2 Karms, the object or effect
 - Karana the instrument
 - Nimitta the purpose
 - Sakåsa the place from which the effect issues
 - Adhikarana the place in which the cause operates
 - The illustration given below implies all these six elements.
 - a) Cau al relation among different things See the following sentence -

हवहत = Devadatta (Karta or subject or agent) TH = a fruit (harma or object)

योगीन = with the hook (harana or instrument) धमइत्ताव = for Dhanadatta (Nimitta or purpo e)

ਰਜ਼ਾਰ = from the tree (akasa or the place of issue)

वानिकाचा = from the orchard (Adhikarana or the place of operation)

यमचिनानि = nlarks down

This sentence illustrates the different aspects of causal relation that may exist among different things. The proposition " Devadatta plucks a fruit for Dhaoadatta from a tree in his orchard with a hook relates several independent things. Whereas the next illustration shows how the same causal relation with the six elements may exist in an identical thing

तर्द्र आत्या (कर्ता) आत्नानं कर्मतायानं कात्मना (करण्) भूनेन आत्मन निर्मिणं द्यात्मन सकागात कात्मनि कथिकरण भने व्यायति ।

Atmanbout lumself with his self reflection for his own purpose drawing out of filmself and yet reposing in himself contemplates. Here the causal relation is with reference to the same complex thing.

- (2) Samsthana—the difference of figure or form. This determinant also is illustrated with the different things and with the same thing.
- (a) Tall Devadatta's tall cow The Samsthana determinant is applied to two different things
- (b) The tail branch of a tail tree Here the determinant is applied to the branch and the tree which are not two separate things 'Murtz Dravyasya Murtaguna Here also the determinant Murta or visual form refers to Dravya and guna which are not separate and distinct
 - 3 Sankhya or number
- (a) Devadatta's 10 come. The quantitative difference here is between two distinct things—Devadatta and Come.
- (b) But the quantitative difference may exist internally in the same thing as the 10 branches of the tree or the infinite attributes of Drays
 - 4 Vishaya or locative difference
- (a) 'In the cowshed is the cow Here the Vishaya or the locality is external or Bheda Vishaya
- (b) Abheda Vishaya or the internal locative relation ' In the substance are the qualities

Thus the difference due to Vyapadean Samsthana etc., is seen among different things or in the same thing which is internally differentiated. Hence when one kind of relation is implied it need not be confounded with the other. If substance and attributes are said to be different this difference need not be interpreted to make the two distinct and separate. Hence the relation between substance and attribute can certurally be from one reject a relation of difference and still the two need not be absolutely distinct. In short the relation between substance and attribute may be one of identity and difference. That this relation of identity is difference that this relation of identity in difference is not self contradictory is supported by analogy. The illustrations of the same thing internally differentiated given above justify the attitude of the author.

(33) Again he speaks of the relation of ower hip or po session. This relation may exist between two different things or in the same thing internally differentialed. Hence by analogy he bring out the Ekaty invariate a spect of the relation.

णाणं घणं च कुव्विद् धिणणं जह णाणिणं च दुविधेहिं। भण्णंति तह पुधत्तं एयत्तं चावि तच्चण्हू ॥ ५३ ॥*

Just as Dhana and Juana (wealth and wisdom) make the owners Dhani and Juani (the rich and the vise) thus expressing two ways of relationship (unity and diversity) so a so the relation between substance and qualities implies both the aspects of identity and difference. Thus say those that know the truth

COMMENTARY

One who owns Dhana or wealth becomes on account of that ownership a Dhani-the rich Here too different things because of the relation of pos e sion are brought together to because of posse sion there is union and again because of possession the things uniting mu the different. Things may exist as separate and distinct when the relation may unite them or there may be single thing which because of the relation may get differentiate? The illustration of Dhana Dhant t of the former kind. The illustration for the latter i many mani. The person to start with is one bit on account of this relation of po session the sigle of the gets differentiated. In estanor i fom the order to be called juling ne at a pono se sed thing must be afferer ated from the owner. Other vise tie relation of ownership will lave no meaning. Hence we brue to admit that the relation of ownership implies both units and diversity whether the things related are different or identical in themselves Thus the author concludes that the relation between substance and its qualities exhibits both the e characteristics. It is not incoherent to associate both the characteristics with the relation

(54) Then he points out the absurdity that would result if jiana and jian (the knower and knowledge) are taken to be entirely different and separate

Sanskrit renderir g

णाणी णाणं च सदा अत्यंतरिदा दु अण्णमण्णस्स । दोण्ह अचेदणन्तं पसजदि सम्मं जिणावमदं ॥ ५१ ॥*

If self and its knowledge are always substantially distinct one from the other, then each will become non conscious or non spiritual entity. That view being self controllectory would undoubtedly conflict with the truth revealed by lina.

COMMENTALL

Soul and knowledge are called spiritual or conscious entities only because of their identity. If the two are entirely absolute and district they would cease to be a ritual. Soul voil dibe deprived of thought and hence will cause to be a conserve heirig and knowledge or thought per se would have not a sociation, with the thinker and lence will become again non spiritual. Thinker without thought to ild be blindand thought without thinker mould be chimerical. The person who maintains such a view would contradict himself because of his own thought the jalna view is like from such a contrad chim.

Here we have to notice one important thing Jinava matam as

not to be taken as the reason for rejecting the opposite view.

The real standard of truth or Pramana is not the principle of revelation. Thought no doubt the Juna system of thought is very often referred to as revealed by Juna, the system is acknowledged to be true not because it it wealed by a great spiritual being but because the revelation is borne out by the nature of Reality.

Jama thinkers therefore attach more unportance to absence of self contradiction than to revelation

A doctrine must not contradict any previously accepted truth
Purvipara Virodha is the main thing that is dreaded by the
Jaina thinker. Hence the anthor's rejection of the opposite view
because it is inconsistent with Jaina thought is really based upon
the internal self-contradiction involved in the rejected view. If it is
interpreted officeruse then the Jaina position would become selfcontradictory. When the Jaina rejects the Velas of Brahmanic
thought, though they are claimed to be revelation from the divine

मानी मान च सदार्थान्तरितात्पन्योऽन्यस्य । व्योरचेतनस्यं प्रमजीत सम्यग् जिनायम्त ॥ ५४ ॥

^{*}Sanstrit rendering

being, on the ground that they involve internal inconsistency, he cannot have recourse to the very same method of depending upon revelation. For according to his principle even revelation must stand on logical evidence

55

If Self and thought be really distinct then the two would never be able to constitute a single spiritual being even by the proce s of combination

ण हि सो समवायादो अत्यंदरिटी दुणाणादी णाणी। अण्णाणीति च वयणं एगत्तप्पसाधगं होटि ॥५५॥*

55 If the Self is entirely distinct in nature from thought then he cannot become, even by combination with thought, Juani or the thinker "Unthinking thing" will still be the name denoting the identity brought about by the combination of substance and quality which are in themselves unthinking things

COMMENTARY

If Self and thought are in themselves unthinking things then by combination they would still be unthinking. Consciousness is not to be derived from non conscious elements existing seyerally at in combination. If thought is an adventitious characteristic of the self then before the acquisition of thought he must have been either juan or Ajuáni (thinking or ambination). If he is thinking then the combination with jilana is unnecessity and useless. But if he he unthinking then is that attribute a unthinking again innate or adventitious? If it is adventition, then the self cannot acquire thought for this attributer's incompatible with his former acquisition. If the unthinking quality is innate then the thicking quality may as well be taken to be rocate. Thought then is not an adventitious attribute of the self. Self is jiana not as a result of combination of self and ibought. Even the perfect knowledge herala juana is innate in the soul, though it is veiled for the time being by the Karmas.

Sanskrit rendering न हिस. सम्प्राया द्यर्थान्तरितस्तु शानता हानी । श्रहानीति च व्यसुमेक् यमसाधक भवति ॥३५॥

56

Then since there is no other relation than identity between substance and quality the explanation by the principle of commutation is shown to be unwarranted and absurd

समवत्ती सभवाओ अपुधन्मूदी य अजुदसिद्धी य । तस्त्रा दन्वगुणाणं अजुदा सिद्धिति णिद्दिद्धा ॥५६॥*

56 The relation between substance and quality is one of co eval identity unity, inseparability, and of essential simplicity. That is why the unity of substance and qualities is said to be not the result of union or combination.

COMMENTARY

The terms Samarasa implies mainly unity and also union. Union implies the existence of independant elements which constitute the unity. The elements must be prior to the unity. Then unity will be the result of combination. The relation between Guna and Guni is not one of combination.

Hence though the author designates the relation by the term Samavâya he strictly excludes the other implication of the term. The term means nothing more than oneness or unity. Dravya and Gunn, substance and quality are merely the different aspects of the same reality and as such they must exist together without bee uning and without end.

This eternal co existence is implied by the term Samavâya. This co existence of Dravya and Guna is termed Samaviya or unity by the author. The very same relation implies inseparability of the two though they are different in name. Hence is the relation called Aprilhag Initiativam. Again since the unity is not brought about by combination of two independent elements it has Apillasidhattvam. Therefore the relation is one of unity and not of union.

57 and 58

Then he establishes by analogy that substance and quality though distinguishable in thought are not distinct in nature

* Sanskrit rendering समयतित्व समयायः अपूधामृतायमगुतसिद्धाव छ । तस्मादद्वरुपगणाना स्रमक्षा सिन्तिशित निर्द्धित । १८॥

वण्णरसगंधकासा परमाणुपरुविदा विसेसा हि । द्व्वादो य अणण्णा अण्णत्तपगासगा होति ॥५०॥ दंसण्णाणाणि तहा जीवणिवद्वाणि णण्णभूदाणि । ववदेसदो पुधत्तं कुञ्जंति हि णो सभावादो ॥५८॥*

57-58 Colour, taste, smell and touch are the qualities of the primary atom. They are not said to be really distinct from their material substratum though they are undoubtedly distinguishable from it as regards name, form, etc. In the same way perception and understanding are really inseparable from the self and are not distinct from it, though from the point of name, form, etc., they may be spoken of as distinct from the substratum Ego. In short though distinguishable in thought the faculties are not really distinct.

COMMENTARY

The author establishes the proposition that distinction in thought does not necessarily imply distinctions in nature. What may be does not necessarily imply distinctions in nature. What may be the principle of analogy. In the case of matter the qualities are not distinct and separate, from the substratum though they may be referred to by different names, by different forms, and so on Exactly in the same way are the conscious qualities of perception and understanding related to Jiva. As attributes they are distinct from the ego and may be distinguished by name, form, number, etc. But still they are not really distinct from it.

Distinctness necessarily presupposes an underlying identity between the things compared Apart from this identity there can be no comparison and distinction. What are distinct must really

Sanskrit rendering

वर्णरस्तान्यस्पर्धाः परमाजुमस्पिता विशेषा हि । इच्यतस्य स्रनग्याः स्रग्यत्यमसाग्रासां भवन्ति ॥ ५७ ॥ दर्शनसाने तथा त्रीवनियदे स्रनग्यमुते । व्यपदेशतः पृथमृत्य कृषते हि नो स्यमायात्॥ ५८ ॥ be identical. Hence distinction in thought instead of presupposing distinctness in nature, implies identity. This view of the author is borne out by modern thought. Thus ends the section dealing with the attribute of upayoga.

59

The next section deals with the three remaining qualities of Jiva Kartritva, Bhoktritva, and Karmisamyuklatva acting, feeling and being associated with Karmas. In the beginning the author describes the nature and number of Jiva Dravya which is the substratum of all the nine attributes.

जीवा अणाइणिहणा संता णंता य जीवभावादो । सटभावदो अणंता पंचगगणप्पधाणा य ॥५९॥ *

59. Jivas according to their characteristics have neither beginning nor end, have beginning and end, have beginning but no end. Thus having these five fundamental qualities they are as existences infinite in number.

COMMENITRY.

Jivas really are the agents, since they bring about different modes with the different names. They are described as (1) without beginning or end, (2) with beginning and end (3) and with beginning and no end. If we attend to the essential nature of Jivas (parinamika bháva,) i.e., their thought or consciousness then they may be said to have neither beginning nor end, as spiritual evistences they are eternal. Neither are they created nor can they be destroyed.

2 The very same Jivas from the Psychic qualities of Audayika Aupashamika, and Kshayopashamika have both beginning and end

3 But from the Kshnyiki Bhava they have beginning but not end. It cannot be said in the last case that because there is beginning there must also be an end. When they are free from Upadhis then they realise their true nature, then they become

Sanskrit rendering

Siddhås Jivas that are found with such characteristics are infinite in number. Again it cannot be said that in the case of these Jivas which really have neither beginning nor end the other characteristics would be inconsistent. The other characteristics are, having both beginning and end and having beginning but no end. These characteristics are true of Jivas because of Karmic entanglements. The presence of Karmā with Jivas is the condition of Samsānc transformations and Jivas in Samsānc cycles have both birth and death. But in the last stage towards emancipation from Karmās, Jivas may be said to have only birth but no death. For there is spiritual immortality as the result of complete emancipation.

The five fundamental characteristics implied in this githa ore the five five Bhavas mentioned in the 62nd githa

These are (1) धौर्यक (2) धौरयमिक, (3) लायेपग्रमिक (4) ज्ञापिक (5) वारिकामिक मारा

The last one is the attribute of consciousness. This conscious nature is eternal. If ence It a is unificative without beginning or end. The first three bring about Samsaric changes of birth and death. Therefore from their aspect I wa has both beginning and end. The fourth is the characteristic of emancipation. The emancipated Self has beginning but no end.

60

Though Jivas are truly eternal yet they are born and they die because of accidental conditions of Karmic associations. To be eternal and to have births and deaths are not really conflicting

एवं सदी विणासी असदी जीवस्स होइ उप्पादी। इदि जिणवरेहिं भणिदं अण्णोण्णविरुद्धमविरुद्धं ॥६०॥*

60 Thus Jivas that are, may die and those that are not may be born; thus sayeth the Jina Though the statements (this Sutra and the 19th) are apparently conflicting they are not really contradictory

[&]quot;Sanskrit rendering

एव सतो विनाशोऽसतो जावस्य भवत्युत्यादः। इति जिनवरैमंथितमन्योऽन्यतिषदमविषदम्॥ ६०॥

COMMENTARY.

From Dravyarthika Naya, i. e., from the aspect of essential nature Jivas can have neither birth nor death. But from Paryayarthika Naya from the point of modifications they have births and deaths. The two propositions are quite compatible with one another as they state two different aspects of Jiva. The other proposition with which this gatha appears to conflict is the gatha 19

प्यं सदो विणासी असदो जीवस्स णुरिय उप्पादो ।

There is no death for the existing fixes; nor birth of the non-existing ones.

This certainly appears to contradict the present Sutra and the commentator establishes that the conflict is only apparent and not real.

61

Then it is pointed out that the death of Beings that are and the birth of those that are not are the result of Gainamakarma; the Karma that brings about for the soul different mindes of existence.

णेरङ्यतिरियमणुआ देवा इदि णामसंजुदा पयही । कुन्वंति सदो णासं असदो भावस्स उप्पादं ॥६९॥*

61. Life in hell, life as plant or animal, as man or as Deva, these states of being are caused by their respective Nama Karma Prakritis. These bring about death to the Jivas that are and birth to those that are not.

Сомментапу

The different states of existence are the different modifications of Jiva brought out by upadhi or Karthie conditions. Those Karmas that determine the next individual state of Jiva are Nama Karmas. These Nama Karmas are the real causal conditions that lead the soul to manifest in a particular form. These forms appear and disappear. Birth and death are characteristics associated with these forms or modes—just as waves may appear and disappear in the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

नारपतिर्पेश्मनुष्या देवा इति नामसंयुताः प्रज्ञतयः । इ.पंन्ति सत्तो नाग्रमसत्तो भाषश्योत्यारं ॥ ६१ ॥

surface of ocean as the resolt of wind, while the sheet of water is really unaffected, so Jiva remaining eternal and changeless in its real essence is the biss of the different waves of existence appearing and disappearing. And these waves in the ocean of life are brought about by upadhis or Karmic conditions. The characteristics of hirth and death which are really true of the form of existence are also predicated of Jiva.

62

After mentioning the Karmas as the condition of Samsaric cycle the author goes to describe their nature. Here he explains the origin of the five Bhavas emotional states of consciousness

उद्येण उवसमेण य खयेण दुहिं मिस्सिदेहिं परिणामे। जुत्ता ते जीवगुणा वहुसु य अत्थेसु विच्छिण्णा ॥६२॥ *

62 On account of the rise, suppression, annihilation, mixed suppression and annihilation of karmas, by the intrinsic nature of self unconditioned by Karmas, Jiva has five Bhavas or thought characteristics. These are fully described in the Agamas.

COMMENTARY.

Karma, are considered to be physical in nature conditions determine the Psychic characteristics. Five different classes of Karmic conditions are mentioned. Each of these is the causal condition determining its corresponding. Bhava or thought state in Jiva

These conditions are-

- I Udaya, or rise of harmas,
- 2. Upasama, or suppression of Karmis,
- -3 Kshaya or eradication,
- 4 Kshâyopaśama, the mixed process of eradication and suppression,
- 5 Parmama or the unconditioned thought

*Sanzkrit rendering

उद्येनीपरामेन च स्र्येण च द्वास्यां मिश्रितास्यां परिणामेन । यक्तास्त्रे जीवगणा बहुष चार्येषु विस्तीर्णाः ॥ ६२॥ These five conditions (four physical and one spiritual) determine respectively the Bhayas

And these are-

- Audayaka Bhava
- 2 Aupasbamika Bhava
- 3 Kshayika Bhava
- 4 Kshayopasbamika Bhaya
- 5 Parinamika Bhaya

The last one is unconditioned by Karmas It is Nirupadbi character whereas the other four are generated by the changes in sphysical conditions or upadhis. The last Parinamika Bhava is not causally connected it is Sam ira or Moksha. It is Sishkriya Bhava

मात्त दुर्रित मिस्रापशमिक द्याधिका भिधा । यन्ध्रमीद्रिको भावो निष्त्रिय पारिषामिक ॥

63

Next it is pointed out his vithese Bhavas or thought states are brought about

कम्मं वेदयमाणो जीवो भावं करेटि जारिसयं । सो तेण तस्स कत्ता हवटित्तिय सासणे पढिटं ॥ ६३ ॥

63 Being affected by the change in Karmic material Jiva experiences certain emotional states. Whatever emotional state thus appears in consciousness is due to the direct causal agency of Jiva. Thus say the Scriptures of Jainas.

COMMENTARY

Jiva is said to be the direct and immediate cause of the several emotional states brought about by Karmic materials. The extrinsic cause is physical matter and the proximate cause is jiva itself Karmas are always spoken of as of two kinds. Dravya Karma and Bhava Karma. Dravya Karma is distinctly physical. A peculiar combination of Paramanus constitutes Karma Pudgala or Karmic

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

व में घेदयमानो जीवो मा वरोति यादृश्य ।

स तेन तस्य कत्ता भवतीति च शासने परित ॥ ६३ ॥

matter. Matter under such modification has a special attraction towards Jivas ordinarily. Jiva in its world state is generally found in association with such Karmic matter. Karmic matter and conscious states are mutually determining each other. A change in Karmic matter may bring about a corsesponding change in consciousness. This conscious change has a predominent affective tone. It is generally some form of emotion. This corresponding emotional state is Bhava Karma. Since it is a Psychic event it is immediately determined by mind itself. It is this fact that is emphasized by the author in this gatha.

The author recognises two distinct causal agencies as will be evident Tater on. Nimitta Karta and Upadana Karta. Distant or" remote cause and substantial cause. Jiva is the substantial cause of all its modifications, and matter may still be an extrinsic cause. Then Ifva is the Upadana Karta of the Bhavas while Karmic matter is Nimitta Karta.

It is this fact that is mentioned in the next gaths. That Self is the immediate cause of the emotional state while Karmic matter is the indirect cause.

कम्मेण विणा उदयं जीवरुस ण विज्ञभदे उवसमं वा । खड्यं खओवसमियं तम्हा भावं तु कम्मकदं ॥ ६८ ॥*

64. The different forms of Bhava Karmas such as, the rising, the sinking, the annihilating and partial annihilation and sinking cannot happen in the consciousness of a liva without corresponding changes in Drayva Karmas or Karmic matter. Therefore the Bhavas such as Audavika which are brought about by the Bhava Karmas may be said to be the effects of Drávvá Karmas as well.

COMMENTARY.

A change in Dravya Karma or physical karma immediately brings about a change in Bhava Karma i. c., a corresponding change pure or impure in consciousness. This Bhava Karma 10 its turn brings

Sanskrit rendering

about its corresponding emotional state or Bhâva It may be pleasant or unpleasant. The chain of causation is as follows.—Draya, Karma, Bhâva Karma and Bbâva. Kârmie 'matter, Kârmie thought and an affective state or Bhâva which is the immediate result of kârmie thought or Bhâva Karma may also be said to be the effect of Draya Karma. For there can be no Kârmie thought or Bbava Karma in a jiva which is not determined by Draya Karma.

65

The next gatha is in the form of Purcapaksha from the disciple. An objection is raised against the doctrine that Atma is the sole and immediate cause of Bhava Karma.

भावो जिंद कम्मकदो अत्ता कम्मस्स होदि किंध कर्ता। ण कुणदि अत्ता किंचि वि मुत्ताअण्णं सर्गभावं ॥६५॥ ४०

65. If these states of emotion or Bhava are really brought about by Karmic matter bow can Atma be said to be the cause of these Bhavas? But the soul's agency is such that while giving up its own state it can effect entirely alien or nonmental changes (i e, it is the cause of its own mental states which are also indirectly conditioned by Karmic matter)

COMMENTARY

As has been mentioned already Dravya Karma or Kårmic matter and the nature of Jiva, both determine the occurrence of a Bhåra or an emotional state. Still Jiva is taken to he the causal agent or Kartå to these emotional state. If the Bharas are really due to Dravya karma or Karmic matter then how can it be consistently held that Jiva is Karta. But the answer is given thus. Bhåra is Psychic change and as psychic change it can only be brought about by Jiva. Soul cannot have direct causal relation with material and non mental things. Again if the Bhåras have no causal relation to Jiva then there is no reason why it sbould be bound by Karma. Kårmic hondage necessarily presupposes the intimate relation between Jiva and its emotional states. Since there will be no logical ground for hondage

Sinskret rendering

भावा यदि कर्मेकृत द्यारमा कर्मेणा-भवति कथ कर्ता । न करोत्यारमा विचित्रयि मुक्त्यान्य स्वकं भाव ॥ ६५ ॥

in the absence of causal relation then there is no chance for Samsára which is the result of Karmie bondage. This result contradicts our real experience for there is Samsara. Therefore our original supposition that the soul is not causal agent for his emotional states is an impossible hypothesi. With this indirect demonstration the author establishes that soul is the causal agent producing the emotional states which are also indirectly conditioned by karmie matter.

The disciple who can estife Purapalsha is evidently a believer in the Sankhya system

श्रन्तां निर्मुण श्रुद्धो निन्य सर्वेगवाकिय । अमूर्त श्वतने। भोता जीव कपिलशासने॥

In answering Pirvapaksha the nuthor is really condemning the Sankhya view represented by the above sloky

66

The answer is again strengthened by further detailed argument

भावी कम्मणिमित्ती कम्म पुण भावकारणं हवदि । ण दु तेसिं खलु कत्ता ग विणा भूदा दुकत्तारं ॥ ६६ ॥*

66 Bhava or emotional states are conditioned by Dravya Karma or matter. And Karma in its turn is indeed conditioned by Karmic thought or Bhava. Soul is not the essential cause in that case and still without essential cause those changes cannot happen.

COMMENTARY

The author here makes use of the distinction between Upadana Karta and Nimitta Karta Intrinsic or substant al conditions extrinsic or alien condition. Soal is the essential cause of Karmic thought the emotional states of debire etc., Karmic matter is the essential cause of the changes in Airmic matter i e, the changes in each case form an indefendent series and jet the two series are corres

Sanskrit rendering
 भाष कर्मनिमित्त कर्म पुनर्मावकारक भगति ।
 न त तेपा यन्त कर्त्वा न विना मृताक्त कर्षार ॥ ६६ ॥

ponding and interrelated. Bhava or the emotional states is distinctly a Psychic change. As a mental fact it is immediately determined by the nature of consciousness. So is the change in Kanno matter. It is entirely determined by physical conditions. Matter cannot take the form of mental state nor the mind underago a physical change. The author seems to suggest a kind of Psycho physical parallelism. But this parallelism is not merely the temporal correspondence of the two series. The parallelism is transcended and reconciled by the doctring of Nimitta karta. The author has in his mind such a sharp distinction between the thinking thing and unthinking thing as is associated with cartisianism and yet the two are related by a peculiar conception of causal relation. The unthinking thing may be the Nimitta Karta of the thinking thing and conversely the thinking thing may be the Nimitta Karta of the other, though certainly one cannot be the Ubhaddua Karta of the other.

67

The same view is further elaborated

कुन्वं सगं सहावं अत्ता कत्ता सगस्स भावस्स ।

ण हि पोग्गलकम्माणं इदि जिणवयणं मुणेयव्वं॥ ६०॥*

67. Soul which brings about changes in himself is the Upadana cause of such mental states. But not of changes in Karmic matter which are distinctly physical in nature. Let the word of lina be understood thus

COMMENTARY.

The author strengthens his argument by referring to Âgama or Śruta. He draws the attention of the reader that this doctrine of causation is the right view upheld by the Scriptures

68

Then it is explained that Jiva and Karmic matter are related to their respective changes according to the six aspects of causal relation

^{*}Sanskrit renderine

कम्मं पि सगं कुन्वदि सेण सहावेण सम्ममप्पाणं। जीवो वि य तारिसओ कम्मसहावेण भावेण ॥ ६८ ॥*

68 Karmic matter itself through its own essential nature indeed brings about its own changes. Jiva too in the same manner through its own impure states of thought that are conditioned by Karma brings about its own thought clarges.

COMMENTARY

The author here emphasizes the fact that the mental states and the states of Karma form two independent series. A change in Karmic matter is determined by antecedent physical change Similarly the mental change in the Jiva is entirely determined by the interedent mental change. In short, Jiva is the primary condition of mental changes and Karmic matter of its own changes. The Physical changes of Karmic matter cannot be attributed to Jiva nor the mental changes of Jiva to matter.

The Shatraka referred to by the commentator has reference to the six aspects of causal relation already explained. These are (1) Karta (2) Karma (3) Karan (4) Nimita (5) Sakasa and (6) Adhi karan. Each series of caus I changes has by itself these six aspects 1 c, the physical and the mental series are self-sufficient and complete

69

Having heard that the two causal series are independent the student ignorant of the principles of reasoning or the Najas raises an objection.

कम्मं कम्मं कुव्वदि जदि सी अप्पा करेदि अप्पाणं । किथ तस्स फलं भुंजदि अप्पा कम्मंच देदि फलं ॥६॥†

*Sanskrit rendering

कर्मापि स्वकं करे।ति स्वेन स्थमाधेन सम्यगारमानं । जीवे।ऽपि च ताद्रशकः कर्मस्यमाधेन माथेन ॥६८॥

Sanskrit rendering

वर्म कर्म करोति यदि स कारमा करोत्यात्मानं। कर्ण तस्य फर्ल भुदुक्ते आत्मा कर्म च ददाति फर्ल ॥ ६६॥ 69 If Karmic matter effects its own changes and similarly if Jiva brings about his own changes in himself why should be enjoy the results or fruits of Karma for which he is not responsible and how can Karma offer its fruits to him?

COMMENTARY

If Karnic changes form an independent physical series and if mental changes similarly form an independent Psychic series then why should Jiva be affected at all by physical changes and in what manner could the physical changes affect Jiva at all. The student asks for the justification why the two really independent series should affect each other at all.

70

The Purvapaksha is answered by the seven following gathas This one states that the Loka is filled with matter

ओगाढगाढणिचिदो पोग्गलकाययेहिं सन्वदो लोगो। सुहमेहिं वादरेहि य णंताणंतीहं विविहेहिं॥ ००॥*

70 With mate ral bodies of form perceptible and imper ceptible, infinite of infinites in number, and of multifarious kinds by constitution, the world is in every respect filled without interspaces

COMMENTARY

The author is trying to answer objection by showing first the possibility of connection beteewn Jiva and harmic matter. The world space is throughout filled with material bodies. Some of these are minute and some of these are perceptible to the senses. Of these minute forms some have the peculiarly necessary constitution which would make them fit to be Karmas. These are called harma Varganas. Larma Varganas are physical molecules of a particular constitution which gives them the tendency to be attracted by Jivas. They are otherwise known as harma Prayogya Pudgala. The world that is filled with such materials also contains Jivas. The Jivas and harma Varganas co exist and by the mere fact of contiguity Jiva and

त्रयगादगादनिचित पुद्गलकायै सर्वतो लोक । सरमैर्यादरेश्यानन्तानन्तेर्यिविधेः॥ ७०॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Karmic matter are brought together. The settling of Karmic molecules in Jiva is evidently explained by the author as a necessary result of contiguous co existence. He does not want to assume that Jiva has positive attraction towards. Karmic matter. If any such active influence on the part of Jiva is presupposed the author will be forced to acknowledge the inevitable causal interaction between matter and Jiva. The author does not want to encourage that view. Hence he explains the cootact between Jiva and matter as due to local cooditions. The commentator explains the principle of contiguity as

श्रजनवृर्ण पूर्ण समुद्रगक्रनय

The principle that the casket filled with collyrium powder becomes black by mere contact. Thus by analogy the author hopes to explain how two distinct things Jiva and matter become related together

71

How is it refevent to say that the world is filled with Karinic matter? How is it an answer to the above objection? The author in this gatha shows the relation between the answer and the objection

अत्ता कुणदि सहावं तत्य गदा पोग्गला सभावेहिं। गच्छंति कम्मभाव अण्लोण्णागाहमवगाढा॥ ७१॥

71 Jiva as determined by its own nature creates its own changes
But existing in the same place there is Karmic matter
This Karmic matter gets inseparably bound with liva and manifests as modes of Karma such as Insanavaraniva

COMMENTARY

Here the author emphasizes the fact that Karmicmatter is entirely self determined in its modifications. So also is Jiva. Jiva according to Jaina belief has Samsáric chaoges from time immenorial: e, the series of Samsáric chaoges is without beginning. In this state it is destined to lose its pure thought and has the gross emotional qualities such as desire anger, etc. While this Jiva is undergoing such

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

emotional states determined by its own intrinsic Psychic nature there is no the same place Kårmic matter which as conditioned by those mental states undergoes modifications. These modifications are really determined by matter itself though externally conditioned by the mental states. The mental states of an impure character create a sort of adhesive quality in Jiva. The Kårmic particles merely by prox mity cling to Jiva which has the adhesive quality Jiva gets adulterated with Karmic matters as milk and water. But this adulteration is not due to direct causal action upon Jiva.

72

As a concomitent of the Psychic state Karmic matter undergoes modification in its own way

जह पुग्गलद्वाणं पहुष्पयारेहिं खंधणिव्वत्ति । अकदा परेहिं दिद्वा तह कम्माण वियाणाहि ॥ ७२ ॥*

72 Just as several molecular arrangements in matter are seen in diverse forms though uncaused by alien agency so also the manifestations in Karmic matter occur undetermined by alien cause So do thou understand

COMMENTARY

The nather makes I meelf clear by the illustration. The mere presence of light from the sun or the moon is enough to create the fiery sunset or sunrise or the rainhow or the halo. These changes are all due to molecular arrangement in matter. The sunlight is not directly interfering with matter in producing changes. The changes are the necessary concomitants of the presence of light. Similarly the presence of emotional states of desire or viction in Jiva has as its concomitant, the changes in Karmic matter. The rainbow of several indescent colours is merely the concomitant of light and the different manifestations of harmic matter are also the concomitant of mental states as desire and aversion. From all these examples it is clear that the author wants to reject direct causal relation between the two series and yet he wants to make out that one series is the concomitant of other.

यथा पुद्गलद्रव्याया पहुमकारै स्कन्धनियृत्ति । सन्ता परेर्द्र हा तथा कर्मणा विज्ञानीहि॥ ७२॥

Sanskrit renders ie

73 .

The author explains why]It a should enjoy the fruits of Karma of which he is not the cause essential. In answering this point the author employs the principles of Naya

जीवा पुग्गलकाया अण्णोण्णागाढगहणपडिवद्वा । काले विज्जजमाणा सुहदुवसं दिति भुंजंति ॥ ७३ ॥*

73 Juvas and Karmic materials are bound together strongly. But when the time for their separation comes they fall apart. Karmas offer their results of pleasure and pain and the Juvas erjoy them.

COMMENTARY

It a and matter in reality have only one causal function of generating their or n respective modes and yet because of the concomitants they may be said to be interleteringing from the Vyaviliara view liva because of its emotional states of desire and hatred develops an inclination towards matter. This inclination is only the Nimita or an external condition Karmic matter so deter milled gets bould to Jiva It is to be imagined that the material particles sombow cling to Jiva and cloud its intrinsic radiance Changes may occur in molecules as determined by temporal conditions There may be aggregation or disintegration in them. When such changes take place in Druvya Karma Jiva experiences pleasure or plain. These resaid to be offered by Kurma from a relative point of view though as a matter of fact they are the modifications of liva One of the commentators says that just as we experience the activity and the clange in our body so also we exterience the changes in our Karmie body for both of them are physical. When we don t question ordinarily our experiencing bodily changes, we need not question the po s bility of experiencing the chai ges of harmic body Thus ends the quality of Bhokta-the enjoyer Ifia is shown to be theenjoyer

7.

Then the author has a resume of the nature of the relation between causality and affective experience

^{*}Sanskrii renderi ह जीवा। पुद्गलकायाः श्रन्योन्यायगाढप्रहण्मतित्रद्धा । काले वियुज्यमाना सुखदु सं द्वति भुद्धन्ति ॥ ७३ ॥

तम्हा कम्मं कत्ता भावेण हि संजुदोध जीवस्स । भोत्ता दु हवदि जीवो चेदगभावेण कम्मफलं ॥ % ॥*

74. According to Nischya Naya or real aspect Karmic matter is the cause of its own changes. But from Vyavahāra Naya or relative aspect it is, in association with Psychic states of Audayika, etc, also the cause of mental changes in Jiva. Lo! from this point Jiva becomes the enjoyer because he is by nature consciousness.

COMMENTARY.

The author makes a distinction between absolute point and relative point of view. Really Kârmic matter is the cause of its own molecular changes. From the relative point it may also be said to be the cause of mental changes. Similarly with Jiva. It is its own cause and from the other point it is also the cause of material changes, It is by nature conscious. Experience presupposes mental changes, Jiva may be said to experience directly its own mental states and yet Vyavahārically it experiences or is affected by things desirable and undesirable. If the aspects of view are remembered then both the propositions are consistent. You may say Jiva enjoys its own state and also that Jiva enjoys physical objects of sense presentation. Therefore it may very well be conceded that the proposition that Jiva is the essential cause of its own mental state is compatible with the proposition that Jiva is the enjoyer of Kârmie effects. He is the Kartá as well as the Bhoktá the doer and the enjover.

75.

The author again refers to the Lordship of the soul.

एवं कत्ता भोत्ता होज्मं अप्पा संगेहिं कम्मेहिं। हिंडति पारमपारं संसारं मोहसंद्रण्णो॥ ०५॥ ॥

ससारकमें कर्ता भावेत हि संयुत्तमय जीवस्य । भोता तु मचति जीवस्वेतकमायेन कर्मफलं ॥ ५४ ॥

Sanskril rendering :

प्पं कर्षा मोका मयन्नारमा स्पर्धः कर्मभिः। दिएकते पारमपारं संसारं मोद्रसंद्रमः॥ अः॥

^{*}Sanskrit venderine :

75. The soul which is thus the agent of its own Karma, and the enjoyer of the fruits thereof, as conditioned hy its own Karma gets hlinded hy the veil of ignorance and roams ahout in the world of Samsára which is limited for the faithful and unlimited for the unfaithful.

COMMENTRY.

Ilva is the Lord of his own career, because he effects his own Karm, he enjoys the froits thereof. He may roam about in the world of Samsåra as conditioned by his own actions, he may fioally liberate himself from the bondage by walking the path of three jewels. Then he becomes free from his upådhi. This is the career of the Bhabhya Jiva. Throughout the career of the benefits of the Jewels is denied the above career. He is destined to roam about for ever in Samsåra. The Abhavya Jiva also to his own way is the Lord of his own career. Thus the career of Jiva is entirely self determined.

7

76.

Then it is shown how the soul which obtains the benefit of the three jewels is able to realise its true nature through its Lordship over its own career.

उवसंतखीणमोहो मग्गं जिणभासिदेण समुवगदो । णाणाणुमगगचारी णिव्वाणपुरं वजदि धोरो ॥ ७६ ॥*

76. Suppressing or annihilating the veil of ignorance which clouds the faculties of perception and will, well equipped with the three jewels which constitute the path revealed by Jina, the soul, the undaunted pilgrim that conquered the suffering and pain due to the environment, beckoned by the ideal of self-knowledge wades through the path and reaches the divine city of perfection.

COMMENTARY.

Jiva is co-eval with Samsara. Ordinarily Jiva is associated with Upadhis. The most important of these is Mohaniya Karma. This is

Sanskrit rendering :

उपग्राम्तद्वीखमे।हो मार्गे जिनभाषितेन सभुपगतः। बानानसार्गेचारी निर्याणुरं मजीव धीरः॥ ४६॥ 26

a sort of veil of ignorance whose effect is twofold. It may interfere with the faculty of perception or with the faculty of Will On account of this interference there may be false knowledge or wrong conduct In the former case it is called Darsana Mohaniya, in the latter Châritra Mohaniya. All theother Karmic Upadhis, may be ultimately traced to the operation of this fund imental Karma This Mohania or the veil of ignorance may sometimes get thin, and transparent or may altogether be annihilated On account of this happy occurrence Jiva may start on a very desirable career. On account of suppression or annihilation of Darsana Mohaniya Jiva is able to apprehend the nature of true reality. And thus has faith in the ultimate tattias The faith in and comprehension of taituis may lead to clear know fedge of reality. By this suppression and annihilation of Charitra Mohaniya, Will may be right in its decision, for right volitional choice is the consequence of true knowledge. Right perception and faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct form the true path the three jewels. Soul equipped with these three jewels must further conquer the environment. The suffering and pain due to environmental conditions should not touch five in any way After conquering the environment Jiva has to pursue the path of righteousness having as the goal complete self knowledge. This pilgrim in the path of life finally reaches the city of Nirvana which is the Summum Bonnm of life according to Jamism

77 and 78

Then the author summarises the characteristics of Jin Istikh) a एको चेव महप्पा से दुवियप्पो त्तिखखणो होदि । चदु चंकमणो भणिदो पंचरगगुणप्पधाणो य ॥ ७० ॥* छक्कापक्कमजुत्तो खवउत्तो सत्तभद्गसटभावो । अद्वासओ णवत्थो जीवो दसदाणगो भणिदो ॥ ७८ ॥ १

Sanskrit rendering

एक एव गहारमा स द्विविष्ट्यस्त्रिल्त्वेणा भवति । चतुरचक्रमणा भणितः पञ्चात्रगुणुप्रधानस्य ॥ ७० ॥

Sanskrit rendering

[&]quot; षट्कापनमयुक्तः उपयुक्तः सप्तमङ्गसङ्गावः । अष्टाभयो नवार्षो जीवा दशस्तानको भणितः॥ ७=॥

77-78 The great soul characterised by upayôga may be said to be essentially identical and one, is again of two kinds, has three fundamental qualities, roams about in four gatis, is marked by five primary emotional states; moves about in the world along the six directions, is capable of admitting seven fold predication, has eight qualities, generates the nine Padarthas, manifests in ten states of existence, thus is he is said to be.

COMMENTARY

The author in the form of a Chulika or summary ingeneously describes Jiva He employs numerical description from one to ten-When all Ifvas are looked at from their essential characteristics they are substantially one From the point of Upadhis they may be said to be of two classes the perfect and the imperfect, has three primary qualities. The three characteristics may be the three ospects of consciousness, knowledge, will and emotion, or may be the three Tewels Darfana , Ifiana and Charitra , or may be the three characteristies of Dravya to general, permanence through birth and death, or may refer to three forms of existences, substance, qualities, and modes liva is again said to be subject to four gatis. The gatis are already mentioned He is marked again by the five primary emotional states which are brought about by the five different changes of Karmic matter These also have been dealt with above 'Six' denotes the six directions of the world along which there may be possible move ments for Jiva, "Seveo ' denotes these seven fold predication applicable to liva These are the seven propositions forming the Sabia-Bhang: "Fight denotes the eight characteristics of Jiva Samsari liva has the eight Karmic characteristics, such as Ifianavarana-Darsanavarana, Mohaniya, etc. The perfect liva has the eight infinite gunas such as Ananta Juana, Ananta Darsana, Acanta Virya, Anacta Sukha, etc "Nine" denotes the nine padarthas generated by Ifva in conjunction with matter These are Jiva, Ajiva, Papa, Ponva Asrava, Samvara, Nirjara, Bandha, and Moksha

'Ten' denotes the 10 states of existence The ten states are the (1) liberated and the unliberated nine, which are five Ekeodinya [Jivas (Prithvikāyika Apakāyika, Tejakāyika, Vajukāyika, and Vanaspatikāyika), and Jivas with two, three, four and five seose organs respectively.

79.

The perfect and the liberated soul has nothing to gain by going from one place to another. Therefore he may stay in the very same place where he attains Nirvana, But according to Jama view he goes to the summit of the world. The author explains why be should do so.

पयडिद्विदिअणुभागप्पदेसवंधेहिं सन्वदो मुक्को । उडुं गच्छदि सेसा विदिसावज्जं गदिं जंति ॥ ७६ ॥*

79. When Jiva gets liberated from the bonds of Karma which are of different aspects of substance, duration, fertility, and extensity, he reaches the summit of the upper world. Others who are in Samsara move about in all the directions except the four diagonal corners

COMMENTARY.

This gatha refers to a religious dogma. The liberated Jiva or Siddha Ifia has the intrinsic movement upwards. It tends to movo vertically upwards till it comes to a stay at the summit of the Loka For it cannot move beyond, because of the absence of the moving principle of Dharmastikava

But Samsari Jivas after death are said to have movements in six directions. They are denied the four diagonal courses. Along the cardinal points and up and down they can move. These are the anusrents the ladder paths of disembodied Jivas.

The disembodied] Iva has still the Karmana Sarira-body constituted by Karmic matter. This body is sukshma-subtle body there any electro-magnetic condition which constrains the Karmie body to move only in the six directions? We don't want to speculate.

Sanskrit renderine

मछतिसित्यनुमागमदेशायन्थै सर्वता मुकाः। ऊद्धर्य गच्छति शेषा विदिग्यन्त्रीं गतिं यांति ॥ ७६ ॥

CHAPTER II

PUDGÁLASTIKAYA.

The author mentions the four different kinds of material objects

खंघा य खंधदेसा खंधपदेसा य होंति परमाणू । इति ते चदुव्वियप्पा पुग्गलकाया मुणेयव्वा ॥ ६० ॥

These are the four basic modifications out of which the multifarious modes of matter are formed

 Be it understood that matter exists in four main modes Skandhas, Skandhadeşas, Skandhaþradeşas and primary atoms.

COMMENTARY

Skandhas are the aggregates of atoms. This classe refers to complete molecular constitution. Skandhadess is said to be incomplete. But still it is an aggregate. Similarly Skandhafradess. These three are the differences in molecular constitution. The last class refers to the primary atom which is the unit constituting the other three classes. This is explained in the next Gatha.

81

The respective characteristics of these four classes are given below.

खंधं सयलसमत्यं तस्स दु अहं भणंति देसीति। अहहं च पदेशी परमाण् चेव∙अविभागी॥ द९॥

*Sanskrit rendering

हकन्याश्च हकन्यदेशाः स्कन्यप्रदेशाश्च भयन्ति परमाणवः ॥ इति ते चतुर्विकरपाः पुरुगलकाया ज्ञातव्याः॥ ६०॥

*S inskrit rendering

स्कन्यः सकत्तसमस्तत्तस्य त्यर्थं मण्डित देश इति ॥ सर्वार्द्धं स प्रदेश परमाणुर्चवाविमाणी ॥ ४६ ॥ 81 The complete molecule of matter is Skandha, a half of it is 'kanlhadesa, a half of that half is Skandhapradesa, and what cannot be divided is the primary atom —thus say (the learned)

COMMENTARY .--

The complete Skandha refers to the molecule which has all the physical qualities without any exception. Any physical body perceived by us may be taken as an example of complete Slandhi If the process of bisestion is continued to infinity the limit of the series is the Paramani—that which cannot be divided further Conversely starting from the atom an aggregate of two, three etc., more atoms will generate Shaudhan. An aggregate of infinite i umber of atoms is the complete Skaudhan.

(Note the atomic basis of Physics)

82

Pudgala ultimately refers to the class of primary atoms But the name is also applied to the derivative classes of Skandhas

वादरसुहुमगदाणं खंधाणं पुग्गलोत्ति ववहारो ॥ ते होति छप्पयारा तेलोक्कं जेहि णिप्पण्णं ॥ ८२ ॥

82 Shandhas are of two kinds. Those that can be perceived by the senses and the minute ones beyond sense perception. These also are called matter conventionally. These manifest themselves in six different modes by which the three worlds are completely filled.

COMMENTARY

The term Pudgala is specially applicable to the primary atoms. These are the indestructible inaterial basis of the world. The Skandhas or molecules though derivative and secondary are also called by the same name Pudgala. These molecules or Skandhas have the claracteristics of touch, taste, smell sound and colour. They can increase or decrease being aggregates. They can grow of

Sanskrit rendering

पादरसीहम्यगतानां स्त्र धानां पुद्गल १ति व्यवहार ॥ ते भयन्ति पट्यकारास्त्रेलोयय ये निष्पन्न ॥ =२॥ decay. They are minute or large. These Skandhas or molecular aggregates are of six different kinds which are mentioned in the next gatha. These aggregates or Skandhas in their six different forms completely fill the three Lokas.

.... 83

The six different kinds of Skandhas are enumerated.

पुढिव जलं च छाया चउरिंदियविसयकम्मपाओगा। कम्मातीदा येवं छन्भेया पोग्गला होति॥ म्३॥

83: ;The Skandhas or molecular aggregates exist in six different forms:—Earth, water, shadow, the objects of the four senses except sight, and kârmic matter, and molecules which are unfit to become karmic matter.

COMMENTARY.

The six kinds of Skaudhas are otherwise called thus :-

- Bådara bådara—Solid.
 - . Bådara-Liquid.
- 3. : Sukshmabadara-Apparently solid like a shadow.
- . 4. Badarasukshma-Minute particles evident to the senses.
 - 5. Sukshma-Minute, not perceptible.
 - 6. Sukshma Sukshma-Very minute.
 - Is that which cannot become combined or one, when broken; like wood or stone.
 - Is the one whose parts become one again when broken; as water, etc.
 - The larger cannot be broken, or divided or caught as shadow, lightning darkness.
 - 4. Though minute yet evident to the senses as taste, smell, colour, etc.
 - 5. Minute and imperceptible as Karmic matter.
 - 6. Those below Karmic matters upto Skandhas made up of

84

Thus after describing the various Skandhas the author explains the nature of Paramânus or primary atoms which are the constituent elements of Skandhas.

सन्वेसिं खंधाणं जो अंतो त वियाण परमाण। सो सरसदो असद्दो एक्को अविभागि मुत्तिभवो ॥ ८० ॥

84. Do thou understand that whatever is the limit of all Shandhas is the primary atom. That same is eternal unsounding (silent) occupying one space point and of corporeal form.

COMMENTARY

The firmiting unit of the process of division of molecules is the Paramanu or the primary atom Since it cannot be further sub-divided it is called atom. Since it occupies a single point of space it is one. Since it is the eternal and maficuable substratum of eurporeal things it is eternal. Since it is the constitutive basis of physical objects it is the generator of physical things. Since it cannot by itself bring about sound vibrations, it is non sounding or silent These are the characteristics of the primary atom

It may be noted here that according to Juna thought matter is indestructible, so also is Jiva It is not due to the crentive fiat of a Will. It cannot be destroyed. Atoms are the constitutive elements of physical bodies. Thus according to Jaina view, matter and space are objectively real In this respect the view is quite similar to that of modern science. Again it is worth noting that the atom is described as non sounding. Several Indian systems of thought associate sound with Akasa, a term which is quite ambiguous. It means either space or ether. But the Jama thinkers distinctly explain sound as due to molecular impingement. Unless one molecule of matter strikes against another there is no possibility of sound. An atom by itself is incapable of producing sound. Hence it is sileot. Taioa physics of sound is also quite scientific.

This primary atom is not of four kinds as some people would have such as earth, air, fire and water. But it is only one in nature though it is the constitutive basis of the four Dhatus or modes of

^{*}Sauskeit renderine .

physical existence. The atom has its own essential nature which is distinct from that of the four element."

आदेशमत्तमुत्ती घादुचदुक्कस्स कारणं जो दु । सो णेओ परमाणू परिणामगुणी सयमसद्दो ॥ व्य ॥

85. Whatever thing by its very name implies, perceptual form; is the essential cause of these four elements, is characterised by unthinking murta nature and is unsounding; that is the atom; so be it understood.

COMMENTARY,

The author denies the qualitative difference of paramanus the very name an atom implies the division of physical objects having the sense qualities of touch, taste, smell and colour. From the point of spaciality it is beginning middle and end in one. From the general principle that substance and quality are inseparable, it follows that the spacial unit of atom is also the spacial unit of contact, or of smell, or of colour, ze, the unit of space occupied by the ntom is also the unit of space accommodating the sense colours the primary otom through its manifestation were to part with either smell or with the smell and taste or with smell taste and colour then the very atom would be annihilated. Therefore the separability of the quality cannot be as ociated with the atom. Again fire, air, earth, and water, have as their constitutive cause the atom. Wonderful are the qualities of primary atoms when their potential characteristics are taken into consideration. The non manifested sense qualities become actual in the aggregates or Skandhas. But in the case of sound it can't be said that it is present in the atom even in Sakti or notentiality for sound implies several molecules of several spacial Therefore such a characteristic carnot consistently belong to an atom which has only one spacial unit Paramanu is called Murta for another reason also, because it can be a perceptual object for Paramavadhs It is called so not because it is perceived by our senses It is distinctly transcending the capacity of our senses though it can be perceived by the Kevali

^{*}Sanshrit rendering श्रादेशमात्रमूर्त्तः धातुचतुष्कस्य कारण यस्तु ॥ स ज्ञेयः परमाणुः परिणामगुषाः स्वयमग्रन् ॥ =५ ॥

84

86

Then he describes how sound is actually produced by atoms which are really without sound quality

सद्दो खंधप्पभवो खंधो परमाणुसगसंघादी ॥ पुर्हे सु तेसु जायदि सद्दी उप्पादगी णियदी ॥ ८६ ॥

86 Sound is generated by skandhas Skandhas themselves are the aggregates of atoms When these aggregates strike one another sound is produced which may be natural or artificial (hence sound may be indirectly associated with atoms also)

COMMENTARY

If the skandbas constituted by Primary atoms strike one an other there is sound. If they strike of their own accord then there is natural or svabhavika sound. If the striking is due to other agencies then it is Prayogika or purposeful sound. The illustrations of Svabhavika or natural sound are thunder of the clouds and the roar of the sea Prayogika or purposcful sound is again of two kinds Bhash dmaka of Language and Abhashatmaka, non language The language sound again may be Aksharatmaka or Anaksharatmaka articulate and marticulate. The articulate sound is made up of alphabetical sounds, the toarticulate is the language of animals

Non language sounds are of four loods --

- Tata sound produced by stringed instruments
- Vitata the sound produced by drum
- Gana sound produced by cymbals, etc.
- 3. Sushira sound produced by pipes and other wind instru ment

87

Further description of the primary Atom That it is Ekapradesa or occupies one spacial point is specially referred to

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

87. The primary atom is eternal, is spacial, and yet non-spacial; is the differentiating factor of skanahas and is also the cause of their changes. It is also the determinent of time and number.

COMMENTARY.

Paramanu is associated with one space point. Since it is not capable of disintegration it is indestructible and eternal. Though it occupies only one space point since it is the substratum of colour and other sense qualities, it has spaciality accommodating qualities. But as it has only one space point and as it is without beginning, middle, or end, and as it cannot accommodate another space point it may also be called non-spacial. Being the constituent element of skandbas it may be said to be the determinent of the difference of skandhas. For the same reason it is the substantial cause of skandhas. By its own change of position it becomes the measure of time. A single instant of time corresponds to a single shift of an atom from one position to the immediately next. It is also the measure of number or quantily. Being the constitutive element of chandhas it brings about quantitative difference of things (Dravya Sankhya). Since its associated space point is the constitutive element of space it is indirectly the cause of quantitative difference of space (Kshetra Sanlhya.)

Since its motion from point to point corresponds to duration of time it is also the basis of quantitative difference of time.

(Kála Sankhya)

Again because it is the basis of modification of things through aggregation or disintegration it is also the condition of the quantitative difference of modes or (Bhava Saukya).

Thus according to Jaina view the primary atom is the direct unit of things and the indirect unit of space, time, and change. The

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

नित्या नानयकायो न सायकायः प्रदेशता भेचा । स्कंन्यानामपि च कर्चा प्रविमका कालसंख्यायाः ॥ २०॥

q inntitative difference in these things and also the qualitative difference in physical objects may ultimately be traced to the constitutive of Paramanu.

88

Furtherdescription of the qualities of Paramanu and its modes.

एचरसवण्णगंधं दो फासं सद्कारणमसद्दं । खंधंतरिदं दव्वं परमाणुं तं वियाणेहि ॥ ८८ ॥

88. That substance which has a single taste, colour, and smell and two contacts, which is the cause of sound, itself unsounding, which is different from skandhas though constituting them is the Paramanu. So do thou learn.

COMMENTARY.

The Primary atom is examined with reference to different sense qualities of physical objects. Five colours are recognised generally in external things of which only one colour can be associated with the Paramanu. According to Jaina view a Paramanu is an object of perfect Avadhi perception. Though an atom is meternperical to a finite individual it is distinctly a Rupa or Vismal object for the super-normal faculty of Avadhi. If the description in the Gatha is based upon such super-normal perception, unfortunately it cannot be verified by our experience. But still there is a way of imagining the truth. An atom may be associated with a single light wave. If it is not able to obstruct more than a single fight wave of a particular wave length then it must manifest itself as having only one colour to a super-normal faculty. Whether it is actually so constituted as to behave in that fashion it is for the physicist to determine. We have here only to notice the uncompromising realistic attitude of Jaina thought.

Of the five different states ordinarily recognised, an atom can have only coe. Of the two different smells it may have either. Of the eight cootact qualities smooth and rough; beavy and light; are the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

qualities of skandhas These cannot be in the atom The remaining four qualities may be present in an atom in pairs The elements constituting the pair must be mutually compatible Singdia and Sita, Ruksha and Ushna, may constitute the consistent pairs, i.e., viscosity or adhesiveness may co exist with cold and bardness and repulsiveness may co exist with beat

This description would naturally introduce qualitative difference among atoms and yet according to the author there can be no qualitative difference among atoms as they are identical material units

It is extremely interesting to notice the elaborate analysis of sense qualities which is even as minute as that of modern Psychological analysis of smell is as halling as the modern one Sm-II could be analysed only into disagreeable and agreeable S-veral attempts made in recent years to go beyond this crude difference merely ended in failure where the modern scientists succeeded there the ancient thinkers also achieved success, if in the analysis of the other senses. Ano her point worth noticing is the analysis of cutaneous sensations into eight elements. This is almost modern in its achievement. This indirect Psychological value is more important than the description based upon super normal perception which unfortunately cannot be verified by Science in its present state.

89

By way of summary, the author enumerates the different forms of corporeal existence. The description may also be faken as a sort of extensive definition of matter.

उवभोज्जिमिदिएहिं य इंदिय काया मणो य कम्माणि। जं हवदि मुत्तमण्णं तं सन्त्रं पुग्गलं जाणे ॥ ८९ ॥

89 Whatever is perceived by the senses, the sense organs, the various kirds of Sårrås, or bodies of Jivas, the physical manas or brain, the karmas, &c are Mûrta objects Understand that all these are Pudgala or matter

^{*}Sanskrit rendering उपमोग्वमिद्वियेश्वेन्द्रिय काया मनश्च कर्माखि । यद्यवि मर्चमन्यत तस्सर्य पुढगल जानीयास ॥ =८ ॥

COMMENTARY.

The author enumerates the different states of matter. All objects of sense perception are corpored. An object may be apprehended through any one of the senses. Therefore, anything that has the sense quality of colour, contact smell, taste, or sound comes under this definition. Not only the objects of sense perception but also the sense organs are physical. Thirdly the different Sariras or the bodies of Jivas are also physical. These are five in number. Autarika, Vaikriyaka, Aharaka, Taijasa, and Karmana Sariras. The author includes Drama Mana or mind under the same class. Evidently it means brain which is no doubt physical, Dravva Karmas are also material since they are constituted by physical atoms. And again he implies no-karmapudgalas, organised matter forming part of organism. This no-karma matter relers 'to matter assimilated by the organism through the process of Metabolism. And by the word " others" he refers to the several atoms and Skandhas not already enumerated. All these are material.

The five sarings referred to in the Gatha require i locidation.

1. Audarika Sarwa. Uddwina means Sthula or gross. The Sarira is called Audarika because it is constituted by Sthula or gross matter. It is also derived from udara womb that which is born from the womb is Audarika. Any way Audarika Sarira refers to organic bodies spinal and human.

2. Valkriyaka Sarira Term vikriya implies the wonderful bodily transformations that are associated with a Deva or divine being A Deva can take any kind of body he pleases from minute to huge forms. That kind of sarira is called Valkriyaka Sarira.

3. Ahàraka Sarira when a yogi during Tahas has certain difficulties about intricate facts of reality, there shoots forth a kind of subtle body from him. This stretches out so far as to be in communion with another well-informed person, from whom, the information sought for is secured by a sort of telepathic response. This shootting body from the yogi is Aháraka Sarira.

4. Taijas Sarira. This refers to the shining body or the light-body.

5. Kārmāna Sarīra. This refers to the kārmīc body of each Jica. Every Jiva carries this kārmīc body with itself wheo it roams through the cycle of Samsāra.

The first alone is the object of sense perception, and the others are subtle bodies. The succeeding one is subtler than the pecceding one in order of the Sutra ARTH Eggl for further description of these sariras, we may refer the reader to Tattvartha Sutra where the author describes the characteristics by several Sutras (Sutra 36 to the end of 2nd chapter)

Thus ends the chapter on matter or Pudgalastika; a.

CHAPTER ON DHARMA AND ADHARMA. 90

The author first describes Dharma or the principle of motion.

धम्मुत्थिकायमरसं अवण्णगंधं असद्भर्फासं । · लोगोगाढं पुट्टं पिहुलमसंखादियंपदेसें ॥ **४०** ॥

90. Dharmâstikāya is devoid of qualities of taste, colour, smell sound and contact. It pervades the whole world, it is continuous because of inseparability; bas extension because of its co-existensiveness with space. Though in reality of Ekabradesa vet in Vvarahara is of many pradesas,

COMMENTARY

The author introduces important principles without which the world would be incomplete. Dharmastikaya and Adharmastikaya are distinctly peculiar in Jama system of thought. The former is the principle of motion and the latter of rest. These terms are used in a technical sense by Jaina. writers. Nnn Jaina writers hoth European and Indian have many of them misunderstood these technical names. We shall reserve our discussion to the end of this chapter.

In the above Gatha the author clearly describes the nature of Dharma or the principle of motion. Since it is non-corporeal or Amuria it has no sense qualities which are generally associated with matter. The qualities of contact, colour, taste, smell and sound are not to be associated with Dharma Therefore it is not physical. Again it is not an aggregate of simple element as matter is. Therefore Dharma is continuous and non-composite. Its influence is co-extensive with the whole world. Therefore it may be said to be co extensive with Lokakasa without any gaps or intervals. It cannot be said to have manifested at any particular time of the World's History much less is it created. It is coeval with the world and coextensive with space, and because of the latter character it is an Astikāva.

Sanskrit renderine : धार्मास्तिकायाऽरसे।ऽवर्णगन्धोऽशब्दोऽस्वर्णः। स्रोकायगादः रपृष्टः पृथुलोऽसंख्यातप्रदेशः ॥ २० ॥

Next Gatha describes the remaining qualities of Dharmastikaya.

अगुरुगलघुगेहिं सया तेहिं अणंतेहिं.परिणदं णिच्चं । गदिकिरियाजुत्ताणं कारणभूदं सयमंकज्जं ॥ ११ ॥

91. Because it has the infinite manifestations of the incorporeal nature algundaghu, and because of its dialectic nature of persistence through appearance and disappearance it is a real existence. Itself being unaffected by movement it conditions the motion of those that can move, matter and life.

COMMENTARY.

The author next establishes the substantial reality of Dharmdstikaya. It has the characteristic change and modification of all the primary entities though it is incorporeal. Therefore it is permanent and real. It is the indispensable condition of movement in physical objects as well as in living beings. But itself cannot be moved by any other thing because it is incapable of movement.

92

The author explains by a well-known analogy how it is the condition of motion.

उद्यं जह मच्छाणं गमणाणुग्गहयरं हवदि छोए ॥ तह जीवपुग्गछाणं धम्मं दृष्वं विदाणेहि ॥ ९२॥

92, Just as water itself being indifferent or neutral, is the condition of movement of fishes so *Dharma* itself non-motive, is the sine qua non of motion of Jivas and Pudgalas.

COMMENTARY.

The author explains the function of Dharmastikaya by a very striking example. Water is the indispensable condition for the life

*Sanskrit rendering.

अगुरत्वयुक्तेः सदा तैः अनन्तः परिणतः नित्यः। गतिकियायुक्तानां कारणभूतः स्वयमकार्यः॥ ६१॥

*Sanskrit rendering :

उदकं यथा मस्यांनां गमनानुमहकरं भवतिलोके। तथा जीवपुरुगलानां धर्म दृश्यं विजानीहि॥ ६२॥

of the fish The fish lives and moves only in water. But water oeither moves with the moving fish nor does at stimulate the fish to move-It is practically indifferent towards the moving fish. If the fish moves, it is due to its own intrinsic and spontaneous activity, and not to the causal agency of water. Such is the relation of Dharmastikaya to objects of the world. If objects move from one place to another, the movement is due to the intrinsic condition of the object. still Dharmastikaya is the sine qua non of motion of the objects of the world, i.e., in short it is merely the condition, and not the generative cause, of motion.

93

Next the author describes the Adharmastikaya or the principle of rest.

जह हवदि धम्मद्व्वं तह तं जाणेह दव्वमधमक्खं। ठिदिकिरियाजुत्ताणं कारणभदं तु पुढवीव ॥ ९३ ॥

93. The nature of Adharma is essentially similar to that of Dharma. But it is like the earth (which is the resting place of things) the sine qua nou of rest for things in motion. (both animate and inanimate).

COMMENTARY.

Adharma or the principle of rest has all the characteristics associated with Dharma or the principle of motion. This is also devoid of seose qualities. This is also non-corporeal. This is in itself non-spacial and yet it is co-extensive with Lokákása. These characteristics it has in common with the principle of motion-But it has its own differentiating quality. In this respect it is compared with earth which is the resting place of things. Moving things whether animate or iospinate are not arrested and brought to rest by the earth. But if there is no earth to support, there will he oo possibility of rest- for the moving things. Similarly the Adharmastikaya without interferiog with motion itself is the couditioo of rest for the moving things.

यया भवति धर्मद्रव्यं तथा तज्ञानीहि द्रव्यमधर्मास्यं। स्पितिकियायुक्तानां कारणभूतं तु पृथिवीव ॥ ६३ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering:

Then the author gives the reason why Dharma and Adharma are considered Asthayas or existences.

जादो अलोगलोगो जेसिं सब्भावदो य गमणिठदी। दो वि य मया विभक्ता अविभक्ता लोयमेक्ता य॥ ९२॥

The two things which by their existence bring about the difference between the world and beyond, which are respectively the condition of motion and rest, which are different in function, but same in nature and Pradesa, are Dharma and Adharma. These are uncreated and of the same magnitude as Lokâkâsa.

COMMENTARY.

The existence of these two principles must be postulated as the necessary condition of the world for without this there will be neither motion nor rest among things. There will be neither the world nor beyond. If the material particles and jivas are not kept together as a system then they will get scattered through the whole place resulting in sheer chaos. There will be no definite world. There will be neither the beyond or Aloka. The difference between Loka and Aloka is entirely due to the coherent system of molecules and Jivas, conditioned by these principles. Dharma and Adharma are said to be distinct because of the difference in function. The former is the conduion of motion, the latter of rest. But they are quite similar in nature and are indistinguishable because of their non-exclusive co-existence in space. They are in themselves Nishkriya Dravyas. Non-active and non functional and vet condition the things living and non-living in their motion and rest, For this reason they are limited entirely to the world. Their function will not be felt beyond the world for the simple reason that there are no things beyond.

[·]Sanskrit rendering:

जातमलोकलोकं वयाः सद्भावतश्च गमनस्यितिः । जायपि च मतौ विमकायविभक्तौ लोकमात्री च ॥ ६५ ॥

95

Next the author points out that Dharma and Adharma are in themselves neutral, and indifferent condition respectively of motion and rest in other things

ण य गच्छिदि धम्मत्थी गमणं ण करेटि अण्णदवियस्स॥ हवदि गती स प्पसरी जीवाणं पुग्गलाणं च ॥ ६५ ॥

95. Dharmastika; a does not move itself nor effect motion in other things. But it forms the condition of motion in living and non-living things. COMMENTARY.

Then it is determined that Dharma and Adharma being in themselves entirely neutral from the external condition of motion and rest respectively. Dharmastihana itself is locapable of movement nor can it he an efficient cause of motion in other things such as, phyical objects and living beings. In what way can it be said to condition motion? certainly not like the horses that, while themselves running, indirectly cause the motion of prersons on their back. Dharmastikaya does not carry things: locomotion of things and persons is not brought about by Dharma for it is a Nishkriya Dravya. But it behaves like water which by its more presence is the condition of motion in fishes. i. e , Dharmastikaya by its mere existence conditions motion without being the efficient cause of . motion. Jivas and Padgalas have motion because of their own efficient causes and yet motion in them will be impossible, but for the external condition of Dharmastikaya.

Similarly Adharma being neutral in itself is the external conditioo of rest. It is merely a Bahirangahetu. Just as the earth is the standing place for horses and the shadow of a tree, the place of rest for the pilgrims so Adharma is the non-efficient external condition of things at rest.

96

Then the reason why Dharma and Adharma are merely neutral cooditions (Udasinahetu).

[·] Sanskrit rendering :

न च गच्छति धर्मास्तिका गमनं न करोत्यन्यद्रध्यस्य । मयति गतेः सः प्रसरी जीवानां पुदुगलानां च ॥ ४५

विज्जिद् जेसिं गमणं ठाणं पुण तेसिमेव संभवदि । ते सगपरणामेहिं दु गमणं ठाणं च कुव्वति ॥ ९६ ॥

96. To whatever things there is motion to the very same there can be rest, or cessation of motion, such things of their own spontaneous efficiency effect either motion or rest.

COMMENTARY.

The aothor explains why these are called uddsinahetu. He wants to emphasize that Dharma is not the intrinsic condition of motion nor Adharma of rest, i.e., he wants to deny that they are Mukhyahetu. What if they are the Mukhyahetu for motion and rest. then the things that are in motion must continue to be so for ever and the things that are at rest must remain in the same state for ever. But things don't believe in that fashion. Therefore it is inferred that these are only Udasinahetus or neutral or external . conditions. This only means that they are not efficient causes. · Ordinarily we perceive that things moving come to rest and things at rest begin to move. That shows that both motion and rest are as sociated with single thiogs. Since this fact prevails in nature we have to infer that motion and rest are not produced by Dharma and Adharma as Mukhyahetu. Motion and rest must be traced to the intrinsic and efficient nature of things themselves. That clearly shows that Dharma and Adharma are merely Bahirangahetus.

Note

After taking to task the several writers who misunderstood the technical terms Dharma and Adharma, Mr. J.L. Jami writes (io pp. 25 of his Outlines of Janism).

"Matter goes to struggle with the nawary or infatoated sool; time times the condict, space makes possible the arena; dharma keeps the combatants to struggle on; and adharma assists them when they are inclined to rest." Again to the same page: "dharma and adharma are the necessary conditions of its continuance in its endless vicissitudes, merit and demerit, high and low, happiness and misery, as

[&]quot;Sanskrit rendering:

विचते येषां गमन पुतस्तेषामेव सम्मयति । ते स्वकपरिणामस्तु गमनं स्यानं च वृषेन्ति ॥ स्ट.॥

far as disturbance and tranquillity. Of course, dharma and adharma are in their nature and modus uperand, the same. It is the same sword in the hand of a devoted sudder or fanatic rebel.

I am afraid that Mr Jaini is still thinking of dharma and adharma as quasi-moral instruments in the hands of a Jiva

The terms shoud not in the least be associated with any kind of moral struggle and tranquility. Motion and rest contemplated in this connection on distinctly physico-mathematical. They should not therefore be interpreted even metaphorically to mean anything, more than that connotation. We have to remember the following points —

- 1. Dharma and Adharma-are amurta dravyas They have no
 - sense qualities of colour, etc
 - They are appearant relation though in themselves cha pradess.
 - 4 They are Nishkriyas-non active
- 5 They are Bahiranga hetu or udasina hetu and not Mukhia.
 - 6. They are non discrete and continuous

There are some of the important common qualities emphasised by the Jaina Thinkers Of course that they are astikayas need not be emphasised

If we ponder over these qualities, then they can never be connected with moral struggle er evolution

The Jama philosopher recognised in the world matter, Life and Space. But are they enough? No There would be no world. The Atoms and Jivas may be scattered throughout the infinite space. Therefore there must be something else heades these three. That something must be able to maintain a coherent system of Jivas' and atoms, must have the function of preventing the flying atoms, must him the houndary of the world of things and persons. For the author distinctly says that without Adharma there will be only chaos there will be no world. Therefore the Jama Thinkers pointed the existence of a fourth entity which hinds together things, and persons. So the hypothesis of adharma.

This is something like Newton's gravitation, but adharma is slightly different. Its main function is to arrest things. But then

there is this difficulty. If there were adharma alone how could their be motion at all in things? There would be an eternal paralysis of Reality. To remove this difficulty Dharma had to be posited. The function of Dharma is to guarantee motion within the limits imposed by adharma. This is the reason for the second hip of thesis.

But the trouble is not yet, over If the two exist within a spatial limit one guiranteeing motion and the other rest, then the things in motion must be in motion for ever, and things afre t must be there for ever. But our experience is not of that kind. One and the same has motion or rest, it may move or it may come to stay. Therefore Dharma and Adharma must be deprived of their causality. They can be only Bihiranga hein or Udastina hetu, (i.e.) they must be indifferent and neutral in themselves and yet must be indispensible to the completion of the world.

This seems to be the logical development of the system. The most approximate modern conception answering to the description will be Ether of the physicist. But the Jitina systems require two such entities functionally different, one acting like Newton's gravitation (adharma) and the other guaranteeing motion within the limits. It would not be quite accurate to think of centrified forces, because dharma and adharma are Nivhtry as. Does it mean the duality of electro mignetic influence of Ether? The constitution of an atom is supposed to be a system of electrons (positive and negative). Had the Jina thinkers any such idea about the whole world? We can only contemplate. But of this much we are certain that dharma and adharma are parts of the physical system. They are two different entities without which the system of reality would be impossible and incomplete.

Again Dr. Seal suggests that dharms is "answering some what to Leibnett's Pre established harmony . . it is the cause (or condition) of the system of increments the fact of an order in the movements of Jisa and Pudgala," (Note E at the end of Draya Samgcaha, S. B. J. pp. LVIII)

As the whole letter is not quoted I am not in a position to know what Dr. Seal has to say about adharma. With due deference to the great Philosopher I beg to state that he misses the point Dharma need not necessarily be associated with simultaneous

reference?

movements as I pointed out Adharma seems to be logically prior to Dharma) in the construction of the system Hence I am not able to appreciate this reference to Pre established Harmony which has a special function in the system of a "undowless movads". There certainly is the idea of correspending movements. Hence Dharma is not the "system of movements". Its meaning is distinctly subsequent to that of adharma. How could adharma

be connected with Leiboeitz's System? Then what is the force of that

CHAPTER ON AKASA OR SPACE.

97

In this Chapter the author describes the nature and characteristics of space. The term Akása here means space and not Ether as it is very often interpreted in other systems of Indian philosophy.

सक्वेंसिं जीवाणं सेसाणं तह य पुग्गलाणं च ॥ जं देदि विवरमखिलं तं लोए हवदि आयासं॥ ९०॥*

97. What contains or accommodates completely all Jivas and Pudgalas and the remaining Dravyas is the world space or Lokikisa.

COMMENTARY.

Space is considered to be objectively real in Jaina system. Objectively real space is ordinarily considered to be self-contradictory and impossible. On the so-called impo-sibility of space idealism bases its arguments. But in recent years Mathematicians have clearly shown that space and time are not really self-contradictory. Euclidian space is quite possible and may be real.

जीवा पुग्गेलकाया धम्माधम्मा य लोमदोणण्णा । तत्तो अणण्णमण्णं आयासं अतर्वादरित्त ॥ ९८ ॥*

98 Life, matter, the principle of motion and that of rest and also Time, these are not distinct from the world. But that which is the same as the world, and also distinct from it, is Akasa or space which is infinite.

COMMENTARY

The constituent elements of the world are the infinite number of Jivas and the infinite physical objects, the principles of motion and rest ind space and time Of these space contains the other five The space which is so extensive with these objects is called Lokikasa But that is only a part of the real space Beyond the Loka there is Alokal as a or Anantakasa. This Anantakása is pure space There are no objects animate or inanimate in this Infinite Beyond. Not a tiny molecule of matter nor a stray Jiva, would step beyond the limits of Loka. The system of objects is held together by the principles of Dharma and Adharma. And these principles are confined to Lok kasa.

Thus we have to note that Mathematically pure space is recognised to be possible and real by Jama thinkers. Arguments against pure space have already been said to be generally fallacious.

99

Ak ysa thus accommodates the other Dravyas Why should it not be taken all o as the condition of motion and rest The author shows why it cannot be such a condition

आगासं अवगास गमणाँद्वेदिकारणेहिं देदि जदि । उद्दरंगदिप्पथाणा सिद्धा चिद्वेति क्रिथ तत्य॥ ९९ ॥ र्

Sanskrit renderin:

जीया पुरदसकाया धर्माधर्मी च लोकतोऽनन्ये । ततोऽनन्यद्रन्यवाकाशमन्तव्यतिरिक्त ॥ ४८ ॥

⁴Sanskrit rendering आकाशमयकाश गमनस्थितिकारणास्यां व्वाति यदि । उद्भैयगतिमाधाना सिद्धाः तिप्टन्ति क्य तत्र श && ॥ 99 If space in addition to accommodating other things, conditions their motion and rest, then why do these Siddhas whose tendency is to go upwards come to stay at the summit of the world?

COMMENTARY

The author evidently explains why i is necessary to pos ulate the existence of Dharma and Adharma Cannot Akasa be credited with the functions of motion and rest in addition to its own function of accommodating things? According to the author such a Hypothesis would be impossible. It would be conflicting with other facts for if it is also the condition of motion and rest then wherever there is Akasa there should be free chance for motion and rest. But neither a single Itya or a single atom of matter could step beyond the limit of Lolakasa though there is Akasa beyond. Therefore the author concludes that space is not the condition of either motion or rest These require independent principles as their condition Akasa cannot be a substitute for Dharma and Adharma This argument should be considered conjointly with the arguments in the previous Chapter for the necessity of Dharma and Adharma. We have to notice especially that one which points out the difference between Cosmos and Chaos to be based upon principles of state and motion The Jama system evidently considers the world incomplete and unreal without the statical and dynomical principles

• • •

The author strengthens the argument by showing that according to Juna faith the perfected ones come to a stay in the summit of the Loka

जल्ला उवरिट्टाणं सिट्टाणं जिणवरेहिं पण्णत्तं । सह्ता गमणद्वाण जायासे जाण णित्यत्ति ॥ १०० ॥*

100 Because the Siddhas or the perfected ones stay in the summit of the world—So it is revealed by the great Jina,

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

यहताहुगरिस्वानं सिद्धान जिन्त्ररैः प्रज्ञाः । सहमाग्रमनश्चानमाकाशे जानोहि मासोति ॥ १००॥

Therefore there cannot be in space either the condition of motion or of rest.

COUMENTARY.

That the Siddhas reside in the summit of the world is one of the religious doctrines of Jainas. If space be credited to be the condition of motion and rest it would contradict the scriptures. To avoid this internal contradiction space should not be suppo sed to be the condition of motion and rest. The argument prima facie is based upon a religious Dogma. But really it is another form of the same philosophical argument which maintained that without the principles of Dharma and Adhrama there would be no distinction between Loka and Aloka. The argument in this Gâtha is merely the converse of the above. Since there is a definite Loka or Cosmos, and since there is space beyond, there must be something besides space which maintains, the integrity of the system of things and persons. For space itself cannot have that function of maintaining the unity of the world.

101.

The author shows that the supposition about space is impossible by bringing in a really strong argument

जिदि हविद गमणहेटू आगासं ठाणकारणं तेसिं। पसजिदि अलोगहाणी लोगस्स य अंतपरिवृद्ठी ॥१०१॥*

101. If space be the condition of motion and rest, of life and matter, then there would happen the disappearance of Aloka or the beyond and the destruction and dissipation of Loka or the world.

COMMENTARY.

As a matter of fact the world is an integral system of things, living and non-living, existing in space. That there is some force or power which holds the constituent elements of the world together is a necessary pre-supposition even of modern science. It is really wonderful that Jaina thinkers several centuries ago felt the same intellec-

[·]Sanskrit rendering .

यदि भयति गमनद्देतुराकाशं स्वानकारणं तेषां। मसज्ञत्यलेकदानिर्लोकस्य चान्त्वपरिवृद्धिः॥ १०१॥

thal necessity as to suppose a physical force which maintains the cosmic unity. The reason given for that Hypothesis is still more interesting. If there is no such power and if things Ining and non-living were left in space by themselves without a further principle to hold them together there would only be chaos, no systematic world This argument must be considered very important for it gives us an insight into the scientific ideas of the ancients. The positive science of ancient Indians must have been fairly advanced in order to promulgate such physical theories as are contemplated by the author.

102.

If then space cannot be the determining condition of motion and of rest, the latter facts must be due to some other conditions. Therefore Dharma and Adharma must be admitted to be the necessary constituents of the system of reality.

त्तह्मा धम्माधम्मा गमणहिदिकारणाणि णागासं। इदि जिणवरीहिं भणिदं छोगसहावं सुणंताणं॥ १०२॥*

102. Dharma and Adherma alone are the condition of motion and rest respectively, and not Akasa or space. So was the nature of the Cosmos revealed by the great Jina to his audience (in Samayasarana).

COMMENTARY.

The author summarises his position as to the nature of Loka. He distinctly asserts that the coherence of the world is due to principles of Dharma and Adharma and not to space. The author further states evidently to strengthen his own case in the eyes of the faithful that such was the message delivered by the Jina to his audience, consisting of Ganadharas, and Chakradharas, and several others in Samavasarana. The description of the world is as revealed by the Omniscient to his disciples.

103.

The author states that Lokakasa or world, Space, Dharma and Adharma, are all co-extensive and coincident. Hence they may

तस्याद्धार्याप्यमी समनस्वितशर्यो नावास् । इति जनवरैः मण्डितं लोकस्यमायं सुर्यतम् ॥ १०२ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

be considered as one conventionally as they are all incorporeal entities in the same locality. But they are in reality different from one another on account of their functional difference.

धम्माधम्मागासा अपुष्धभूदा समाणपरिमाणा। पुधगुवलद्विविसेसा करंति एगत्तमण्यातं ॥ १०३ ॥*

103 Dharma Adharma, and spice, are mutually interopenetrating and coincident. Hence they are one from the point of locality, they are of the same size and form and constitute an inseparable unity. But from the difference of function they also exhibit their diversity.

COMMENTARY

This Gatha is interesting for this reason that Dharma and Adhar mi being constitutive elements of the world are said to be confined to Lokakasa. Their influence is not felt beyond the boundary of the world for their influence is fundamentally related to things material and spiritual. These two mysterious physical principles are all pervading and co-extensive with the world space. Their existence crinnot be inferred from their difference of locality. There is no such difference. But yet they have fundamentally different functions and on account of these differences they are really diverse. It short they are one in Prilesa but different as Varius is extended a unity of Locality with diversity of function and nature.

This functional difference is emphasized by the author only because these three Dravyas are Am eta ones. There is no other way of differentiating them unlike physical objects which can be distinguished by sense qualities and unlike Jivas which can be differentiated by conscious qualities. Dharma and Adharma have to be determined only by their function in the economy of the physical realm.

Thus Ends the Chapter on Space.

धम्मोधम्मोकाशान्यवृथग्मृतानि समानवरिमाणानि । पृथगुपलन्धिविशेपाणि दुर्घत्येकत्वमन्यत्य ॥ १०३॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

CHULIKA

104_

Then the resume of the five Astikâyas and Dravyas They are described as Murta and Amûrta (Physical and non physical) and Chetana and Achetana (conscious and non conscious)

आगासकालजीवा धम्माधम्मा य मुत्तिपरिहीणा । मुत्तं पुग्गलद्व्यं जीवो खलु चेदणो तेसु ॥ १०९ ॥†

104 Space, Time, Life, Dharma and Adharma, these are Amûrta Dravyas and are therefore without sense qualities Matter alone is Mûrta and has these qualities Again of these life alone is conscious

COMMENTARY

In this Gatha the author classifies the Dravyas according to two principles According to the first classification, they are grouped into Mûrtas and Amûrtas Mûrta Dravya is one which has the sense devoid of these qualities is Amûrta. Here, space, time, Jiva in itself, Dharma and Adharma, are all Amûrtas Matter alone is Mûrta. That which has the nature of consciousness is Chetana and that which is otherwise is Achetana. Space, time, and matter, Dharma and Adharma are all Achetanas Iva alone is Chetana Dravya.

105

Again the Dravyas are classified into Sakrijas and Nishkriyas, active and non active

जीवा पुग्गलकाया सह सिक्किरिया हवंति ण य सेसा। पुग्गलकरणा जीवा खंघा खलु कालकरणा दु॥ १०५॥*

105 Jiwas and physical objects become Sakryas or causal agents when determined by certain condition. The rest are not

*Sanskrit rendering स्राप्ताशकालांशिय प्रमापममी च मुर्तिपरिद्याना...। मृत्ते पुरद्वस्त्रस्य आहे धनु चेननसेतु ॥ १०४॥ |Sanskrit rendering

जीवा पुरत्तकाया सद सित्रया मयन्ति न च शेपा.। कारतकरमा जीवा स्थन्या सत् कालकरणास्त्र ॥ १०५ ॥ causally active. Jiva is active because of Kirmic matter Skindhas or physical bodies are active because of time

COMMENTARY

According to this classification Dravyis are either Salryas or Vishkriyas Any causal activity is described to be Sakrya If there is no such causal activity then it is Vishlirig; The activity contem plated here may be merely any change brought about by external Jivas and matter are Silry i Dravyas for they become Starty t under certain conditions space time Dharma and Adharma are all Nishbrig is or non active entities. The external condition of activity of liva s merely the group of Karmas These are material The external condition of the activity of matter is time Temporal succession brings about changes either of aggregation or disintegra tion in physical objects. There can be no change in matter without time as there can be no change in Jiva without Karmic matter but Jiva in perf ct states can be free from Karmic matter the Sildha liva is practically Nishkript i e it is not subjected to causal category But it cannot be so with matter for there is no chance for matter to exist independent of time. It must always be subject to change due to time Therefore it is always Sikry a

One of the commentators relying on the rel gious dogma that Siddha Jiva gets to the summit of the world speaks of Siddha also as Sakriya If at all there is any causal change it is this initial clange of locality But in the perfect state it will be more accurately described as Nish kriya for already Jiva is described to be by ond causal series in its state of perfection. To be consistent with that utitude it is better to consider Siddha as Nishkriya.

106

The difference between Mûrta and Amûrta is again described in other words

जे खलु इन्दियगेज्भा विश्वया जीवेहिं हुंति ते मुत्ता । सेसं हवदि अमुत्तं चित्तं उभयं समादियदि ॥ १०६ ॥*

*Sanskrit re derii g

य खलु र न्द्रवप्राह्म विषया जीनैर्मचित हो मुर्ता । शेष भनत्वमुर्त्त चित्तमभय समाददति ॥ १०६ ॥ 106 All those objects that are apprehended or perceived by the senses are Marta objects. The rest are Amartas. Mind apprehends both Mirta and Amurta objects.

COMMENTARY.

The author again tries to explain the difference between perceptual and non-perceptual objects. All those objects that are objects of the senses are Murta Dravyas The term Murta therefore implies the inherence in the object of the sense qualities of colour, taste, smell, etc. Those objects that have not these characteristics are Amurta Dravyas. According to this description Physical objects are distinctly Murta Dravyas. But Physical objects may exist in different forms. There may be minute melecular constitution and the primary atoms. These are certainly not perceived by the senses and yet they also come under Murta category for they form the potential basis of the gross or physical objects which are evident to the senses. In short all those objects which are Pratjaksha to the senses are Murta objects and those objects which are known through Paroksha are Amurtas. Under the Amurta category come such facts as Dharma and Adharma and all Psychic states. (We have to note here that the term Pratyalisha is used in Vyavahara sense.) Mind, which is in itself an Amurta Dravia is able to know both Murta and Amurta Dravias. Murta Drayvas are apprehended Pratyaksha and Amurta Paroksha or mediately.

Thus ends the Chulika or Summary.

167.

In the treatment of Panchastikaya, time has only a secondary place. Therefore the author gives a subordinate place to time and mentions about it is the summary. The section dealing about time therefore is merely a part of the Chulck and it is not considered as an independent chapter by the author as well as the commentators.

कालो परिणामभवी परिणामो दृष्वकालसंभूदी । दोण्हं एस सहावी कालो खणमंगुरी णियदी ॥ १०० ॥*

^{*}Sanskrit rendering:

कालः परिणामभवः परिणामा द्रव्यकालसंभूतः । इयोरेप स्वभावः कालः सणमद्वरो निवतः ॥ १०७॥

107 Relative time is determined by changes or motions in things absolute The former time is ephemeral (having beginning and end). The latter is eternal, such are the characteristics of the two

COMMENTARY.

The author differentiates between relative time and absolute time. The different conventional periods from Samaya or moment onwards are the relative time. The different periods of relative time are measured by changes in other things. Movement of Physical objects is mainly the unit of measuring the different periods of relative time. The changes which measure the periods of relative time are them selves the effect of real or absolute time. The periods of relative time have both beginning and end. But Dravyakala or real time is eternal, is without beginning or end.

The author takes up an attitude which is very familiar to common sense and science The distinction is quite identical with Newtonian distinction between relative and absolute time. According to the author time is a real Dravya, it is not merely a form of mental activity. The idealistic thinkers both in the east as well as in the west have treated time as merely an appearance. But the Jaina thinkers evidently form an exception for them, time is not an appearance but a reality The main argument against the reality of time is the so called self contradiction in the motions of continuity and infinity Time has these characteristics of continuity and infinity and yet it is also constituted by instants or Draviakalanus as the laina writers How can there be continuity constituted by primary elements of instants? This difficulty is not peculiar to time. It is common to space as well as matter In all these cases Philosophers emphasize the impossibility of obtaining continuity and infinity from simple elements. On account of this impossibility space, time and matter were condemned to be appearances

But the problem has been taken up by the Mathematicians. The wonderful mathematical discoveries of the conmental mathematicians such as Cantor Permo and Prege have shown clearly the intrinsic fallacy in all the arguments against the reality of time and space. The problem is developed by mathematicians as a special case of

"transitive and continuous series" To go into further details would be too mathematical. The discussion may very well be reserved to the general introduction. It is enough to note here the wonderful correspondence between the ideas of the author, and those of philosophical Mathematicians of our present day.

Another point worth notice is that time is the cause of changes or modifications' in things. The author not only admits the reality of time but also recognises its potency. In this respect one is reminded of the great French Philosopher Bergson. Bergson herevealed to the world that time is a potent factor in the evolution of Cosmos. Changes and modifications in things are absolutely impossible without time, and that is just the view of the author.

108.

In order to clear the doubt of the disciple of a different Sangha, who disbelieves the reality of time, the author emphasizes the existential nature of time.

कालो त्ति य ववदेसो सञ्भावपह्नवगो हवदि णिच्चो । उप्पण्णप्पट्वंसी अवरो दोहंतरट्टाई ॥ १०८ ॥*

108. The name time or Kala denotes an existential fact, The thing so denoted—Time is real but the other relative time has from the point of present moment, origin and end almost simultaneously. But it may also be of long duration.

COMMENTARY.

The author differentiates the real time from relative time. Real or absolute time is eternal existence. But relative time is merely of finite duration. But from the aspect of moment it has no duration at all. Origin and end are together in a single moment. It has Kshanikatva as its nature as against Nithatva of the other. But the Kshanikatva may apparently disappear and relative time may have long duration. Even then it has both beginning and end and as such it is different from Dravya Kála or absolute time.

^{*}Sinskrit rendering:

काल इति च व्यपदेशः सन्दायमस्पको भवति नित्यः । उत्पन्नवध्यंस्यपरो दीर्घान्तरस्यायी ॥ १०० ॥

Then the quantitative appreciation of time and the absence of Kajātva or Corpus for the same

ुदे कालागासा धम्माधम्मा य पुग्गला जीवा । लञ्मति दव्यसण्णं कालस्स द णत्यि कायत्तं ॥ ९०६ ॥*

109 Time, space Dharma, and Adharma, matter, and Jiva these things are called Dravyas Of these to time alone there is no Kayatva

COMMENTARY

In this Gatha the author emphasizes the fact that time has Ashira or existence but not Kijati i speciality. It is one of the six Dravyas. The name Dravya is applicable to all those existences which have continuity through origin and decay. Time has such a nature. There fore it shares the name Dravya with the other things herein enumer ated.

But the other Dravyas are also called Astikayas because they have extension or Bahupradesa But time has no such Bahupradesa Therefore it is not an Astikaya

This nature of time is described in mathematical terms by the author elsewhere (Pravachana Sara) Time has Urdhea Prachaja whereas the other Dravyas have Trey & Prachaja In the language of Modern Mathematics the former term corresponds to mono dimensional assymetrical series the latter multi-dimensional series. According to Mathematical Philosophy extension is multi-dimensional series. Kâyatva therefore is generated by Tiryak Prachaja but Urthra Prachaja cannot be associated with extension since it is unilateral. Therefore time is not an Astikâya. More of this in the general introduction.

110

The fruit of contemplating upon these facts-Panchastikaya

पते कालाकारी धरमाधर्मी च पुरदला जीवा । सभाना प्रश्वसङ्गा कालस्य तु नास्ति कायत्य ॥ १०६ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

एवं पवयणसारं पंचित्ययसंगहं वियाणिता । जो मुयदि रागदोसे सो गाहिद दुक्खपरिसोवलं ॥११०॥*

110. Thus one who with the knowledge of, and faith in this short summing of Panchastikaya—the essence of the Divine word gives up desire and aversion (towards wordly things) realises freedom from sorrow.

COMMENTARY.

Here the author indicates the path to eternal bliss. The path consists of the three jewels or Ratina Traja Right faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct सम्बङ्क रूपंत्र कात चारिसाँच भावनामाँ। and Samyak Darianam is defined to be Taltvaria Sraddhanam. The Tattias are the existence described in short in Panchastikaja or the reality itself may be considered as a system of Panchastikajas. One who believes in the nature of reality, one who tries to understand its constituent elements must next try to realise his own true nature. When faith and knowledge get the co-operation of effort or charitra then there is the surity of heavenly bliss.

111

Then the manner of obtaining such a freedom

मुणिऊण एतदृष्टुं तद्णुगमणुज्भद्रो णिहद्मोहो । पर्यामयरागद्दोसो हवदि हदपरावरी जीवो ॥ १९१ ॥ म

111. Whoever knowing this truth (that self is the greatest reality) endeavours to reach or realise the same gets free from spiritual stupidity or Darsna Mohaniya, and as the result of that, roots out desire and aversion (christra Mohaniya), and finally becomes the conqueror of Samsára

एवं प्रज्ञनसारं पञ्चास्तिकायसङ्ग्रहं विश्वाय । यो सुञ्जति रागद्वेषौ स गाइते दुःख्वपरिमोद्य ॥ ११० ॥

†Sanskrit rendering

धारवेतद्यै तदनुगमगोचनो निर्तमोद्द । प्रशामिनसम्बेषो भवति हुनयसपुरी सीव ॥ ११९ ॥

^{*}Sauskrit rendering

COMMENTARY

He describes the order of events that ends in Moksha. The greatest thing mentioned in this SCRIPTURE is the SELF. Whoever understands the glorious nature of self-consciousness cannot but attempt to realise the same. On account of that effort the wall round the faculty of faith or Darsans Mohaniya gets removed. By the removal of this ignorance dawns the knowledge of SELF. Through self-knowledge the rooting out of desire and aversion the destruction of Charitra Mohaniya or the palsy of the good will Hence the shittering of karmic shackles; then with freedom radiates the cternal glory of the Divine Person.

Thus ends the Book I.

BOOK II NINE PADĀRTIIAS

112

The six Drawas and the five Astikayas are of two kinds life and non life. These two things life and non life form the two primary elements among seven Tatty s and nine Padarthas. Besides these two the other Tattyas and Padarthas are derivative. They are due to the combination or separation of these two primary Tattyas. Life and non life. On account of combination occur the following Padarthas, Punya (writhe) Papa (nice), Asrava (incoming of Karma) and Bandha (bondage). The four which lead to Samsára, originating by their separation the following Padarthas occur. Samvara (prevention of incoming karma), Nirjara withering away of existing karma), Norsha (emancipation from all karma or Freedom). Of these Woksha Tattya is the most important being the summum Bonum of life. The path to this goal is described by the author who begins by praying to the last of the Jimas, Lord Mahkayra who revealed the path

लिमवंदिलण सिरसा अपुणव्भवकारणं महावीरं । तेसिं पयत्यभंगं मगगं मोयसस्य वीच्छामि ॥ ११२ ॥*

112 Bowing my head in reverence to Mahivira, the solution of the world I describe those two primary Puditthes life and non life, and the various derivative Pad rthas and also the path to heaven

COMMENTARY

This Namarkino sloka is Undly thama igala (Saluttion for the middle of the books). The author worships Vardhamana. Mahavir, the last of the Tribanlarias he is considered to be the revealer of the true path. All Sastras are traced to Vahávira as the originator.

In the second book the anthor is concerned with the career of life In the first book he described in detail the nature of the constitutive elements of the world In the second book he is going to follo whe spiritual evolution Evolution or development implies a struggle and

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

the primary conditions of struggles are Jiva and Ajiva, life and nonlife Jiva is situated in an environment of Ajiva or non living things.

Of the Ajiva's matter is of primary importance. The struggle is
mainly between life and matter. According to the Jaina doctrine the
struggle is without beginning. The spiritual evolution consists in progressive emancipation of livia from physical shackles.

The reality therefore is looked at from a different angle of vision. The different aspects of the stringgle and evolution form the Tattvas and the Padarthas The author is no more concerned with Astikājas and Dravjas. The very same group appear in another form. The Mula Tattvas or the primary elements, are Jiva and its non living environment. By the combination and separation of Jiva and Ajiva are generated, the other Tattvas and Padarthas, which are derivative and secondary. The central actor in the Drama is Jiva. And the culmination of the development is Moksha. The second book therefore is concerned with the career of life from Samsara to Moksha.

113

First the author takes up Wokshamarga or the path to heaven as he wants to describe it in short

सम्मत्तणाणजुत्तं चारित्तं रागदोसपरिहीणं। मोक्यस्स हवदि मग्गो भन्वाणं लहुबुद्वीणं॥ १९३॥*

113 Right conduct uninfluenced by the desire or aversion, together with right faith and right knowledge, forms the path to heaven to those faithful Jivas who realise self knowledge through the five attainments or Labdhis

COMMENTARY.

Here the author indicates the path to Moksha or the three jewels. The Gatha is merely the paraphrase of the very first Sutra of Tattvarthadhigama

Three elements constitute the path Darsana, or belief, Jāāna knowledge and Charitra or conduct. But all the three must be of the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

the right sort Belief in the true nature of reality is Daránn, which is right. This Samyak Darsana, is considered to be the result of suppression or eradication of that particular Karma called Darsana Mohaniya whose function is to blind that faculty of belief or Darsana. The understanding of the nature of these Tattvas, the constitutive categories of reality is Samyak Jiana. The term Samyak implies the absence of doubt and error Conduct as conditioned by right belief and right knowledge is Samyak Charitra or right conduct.

These form the indispensable elements of the Moksha maraga Unless all the three are present there would be no path.

In this Gatha the author indicates eight main conditions of the path.

- 1 The co-operation of right belief and right knowledge, without these there would be no path.
- 2. Conduct is the main constituent element; not any conduct but only right conduct.
- This implies that there should be no Raga or Dresha, Desire or aversion, the presence of these would nullify the Marga.
- 4. Conduct of that kind forms the path to Moksha or liberation, but does not lead to Bandha or bondare
- 5. The path so constituted is the right and the reliable one; and as such it is disjinct from several Muthya Margas or false paths.
- 6. The path is available only to Bhavya fives or the good matured ones and not to Abhavya's of intrinsic evil disposition.
- 7. Even among the Bhavyas only to those who secured five attainments or the Pancha Labdhis but not to those who had not those attainments.
- 8 Only those who have eradicated completely all Kashayas or the gross emotyons which have the tendency to stain the purity of self; but one in whom such emotions are still present cannot walk the path of righteousness.

The three elements constituting the path are the three jewels, or Ratinatraya. This Ratinatraya is spoken to be of two kinds Nichaya Ratinatraya and Vyavahāra Ratinatraya. The former is from the absolute point of view and the latter is of relative point of view. When the Ratinatraya is distinctly based upon self then it is Nischaya

Ratnatray: Dirsina, Jiñana and Charitra, all have reference to self and self alone. This Nischija Ratnatraja first implies the belief in the ultimate nature and importance of self, i.e., the belief that Paramatina is nothing but self. Again consequent upon this firm belief there must be knowledge of self. Complete knowledge of self is the next element of the Nischaja Ratnatraja. The knowledge of all other Tattisa centres round the Parsonallty. Knowledge of the Person therefore is knowledge par excellence. And lastly realisation of the Paramatina or the great Person would be Charitra for excellence.

Vyntahdra Rotnitraja is constituted by Darsani Jfiana and Charitra which are based upon Paratatteris or objective ideals. Belief in an objective divinity independent of our Self for example, would be Vyavahara Darsana Knowledge of such alien entities would be Vjavahara knowledge and attempting to realise our ideals and aspirations through the help of such alien personlities either through sacrifices or propications is Vyavahara Charitra. These three constitute Vyavahara Ratinatraja

The five Labdius referred to in the Gatha are, (1) Kshajopasamz Libdiu, (2) Desana Lubdiu, (3) Prayogya Labdiu, (4) Visudhalabdiu, and (5) Kuranalabdiu.

स्वयउवसमियविसोहि देसणपाउग्गक्ररणलही य । चत्तारिवि सामण्णा करणं पुणहोदि सम्मत्ते ॥*

गोम्बटसार: Jivak Inda, gatha 650.

To Sams.rt Jisa there is continuous frution of old and existing Aarmas and co-nbination with novel and incoming Karmas. On account of this Karmie encircling their should be no chance for such a Jiva to realise its pure and perfect form independent of Karmic effects. Then how can we talk of Movsta or Emancipation for Samsåri Jivas or the worldy souls?

By way of reply to this objection from the descriple the Master says "You have seen this in your experience—that a hero watches

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

त्तायोपशमिकविशुद्धिः देशनामायोग्यकरण्लविधश्च । चतस्रायि सामान्यतः वरण पुनः सपति सम्यकत्वे॥

for an opportunity for vanquishing his enemy Whenever the enemy is in his minimum strength on account of several reasons the hero without losing the chance carefully prepares for the struggle making use of his mature deliberation and select instruments. Thus is the enemy vanquished."

Similary Samsiri Jiva embarrassed by ancient as well as fresh Karmic enemies patiently waits for an opportune moment. As a natural consequence of Kārmic matter duration in y come to an end, or its intensity and fertility may be declining to minimums. At that moment the Bhavya Jiva which is patiently aspiring for significant greatess strakes with vehemence and varquishes the enemy. This is ppression of Karmas at their weakest moment is Kshajopawana Labdhi. No 1.

After this initial assertion of spiritual independence their may appear a change of disposition in the nature of Jiva v high may there after be hankering for good. This hankering for good may manifest in good and useful conduct (punya), and as such it may be the condition for Punya Rarma Åsrara. Nevertheless the declersion of the disposition towards good is Visualis Labihi No. 2

Then with this changed disposition Jiva may have the good fortune to obtain a master who would instruct him in the path. This instruction may lead to the removal of ignorance and error and to the acquisition of Jinana. This may guarantee progress for the Jiva along the rungs of spiritual ladder. This good fortune of obtaining instruction is Upadesa Labdhi (Desan i) No. 3

The duration and intensity of some Karmas may go on mutually aggravating each other to their maximum. After reaching the maximum they have to decline of their own accord. This declining stage of Karmas after reaching maximum is another opportunity known as Prayogy ata Labdhs (or relative of Karmic glow) No. 4

Again after reaching a certain stage in the spiritual development—Gunavitai as, their may appear certain psychic in truments, e.g., Sukladhyana This attainment of spiritual instruments and other supernormal powers is known as Karana Labdis No. 5

These are the Labdhis or attainments which a Jiva by good fortune may secure

These Labdhis are not to be confounded with what are known as Nivi Kevala Laodhis Nine attainments about the time of Kevala Juana

At the Kevali stage of development the following facts happen to the person

- 1 The all penetrating Kevala Jn 1 1a which is the result of annihil ation of the veil of ki owledge
- 2 The all illuminating pe ception or Kevila Dirsana or Kshayaka Darsa ia, which is also the concomitant of the destruction of the veil of perception
- 3 The all merciful attitude of the great spiritual Harmony known as Kuhayika Abhaya Danam
- 4 Even after relinquishing all kinds of good and nourishment, in order to maintain the Surury for a time there is the incoming or assimilation of subtle physical principles This is Kshayika Labha gain or income after Kshayika tate.
- 5 Then this happy events introduced by Ind as such as showing flowers over head is Kshijika Bhogi. This is the consequence of complete annihilation of Bhogantaray i Karma
- 6 Similary the introduction of Simhasana (Lion thrones) Chattra Chanari, etc (umbrella etc.) is Kishayikanpabhoga which is the consequence of destruction of Ufbhogantaraya Karma
- 7 Then the realisations of Omnipotence Anauta virija which is the result of complete eradication of Virjantaray Karma
- 8 Then by this complete destruction of the seven mula prakritis (fundamental Karmas) happens Kshayika Simyaktvani Absolute Belief in the true nature of Reality
- 9 And finally Ksl ayıka Charıtra This implies the absolutely self determined thought activity of the pure and perfect person Siddha, or Arha ita This thought activity is again the consequence of absolute emancipation from all Ubadhis

The former class of Labdhis is always referred to as Paucha Labdhis and the latter as VavaPevula Libdhis in Sastras in order to avoid confusion Therefore it is not necessary to emphasise the difference further

It is enough to remember that Pancha Labdhis have reference to (Samsari Jira.) and Navakevala Labdhi to Walti Jira.

114

Ti en Vyavahira Samyak Darsana is described

एव जिल्पपण्पत्ते सद्दहमाणस्स भावदो भावे। पुरिसस्साभिणियोहे दंसणसद्धो हवदि जुते॥ १९४॥

114. If a person who thus with great interest believes in the Padirthas revealed by Jina obtains. Mati Jaana. Then in his case the term Darsanika "he is a believer." has relevency.

COUMENTARY

To believe in the real nature of Åtma and other Padarthas is Vjacahåra Samyakiva Conventional or relative belief. This is the popular means of attaining salvation. The real immediate condition fealvation is the suppression or Annihilation of the Saķia Piakrilis or seven fundamental kinds of Karmic matter.

These are -

- 1. Anantanubandhi Krodba
- 2. Anantanubandhi Mana
- 3 Anantanubandhi Maya.
- 4 Anantanubandhi Lobha
- Samyaktva
- 6 Mithyatva
- 7 Samyak Mithyatva

These seven constitute the Sapia Prakrits The Prakrits 1 to 4 are suh divisions of Charitra Mohaniya and the other three of Darsana Mohaniya

If these get abated then there is the chance for Samjak Darsana or right belief.

115

Then the description of the nature of the three Jewels or Ratnatraya

सम्मत्तं सद्दृहणं भावाणं तेसिमधिगमो णाण । चारित्तं समभावो विसयेसु विक्रतमगाणं ॥ ११५ ॥*

115 Belief in the real existences or Tativas is the right faith Knowledge of their real nature without doubt or error is light knowledge. An attitude of neutrality without desire or aversion towards the objects of the external world is right conduct. These three are found in those who know the path.

COMMENTAR &

The Gatha may be interpreted either as referring to Vyavahara Pat atraya or to Vivel aya Rat atraya. The description of the three pe vols may be consistent with the relative path or with the absolute path

In describing Samyak Darsana and Samyak Jnana right bel ef and kno viedge the author indicates the nine Padarthas which are the objects of the said belief and kno viedge. The Second Book mainly treats of the nine Padarthas. And the description of the Ratnatraya may be considered as an indirect introduction to the Padarthis which are —

- I Iwa=life
- 2 Ajiva = non life
- 3 Papa=sin
- 4 Punya = virtue
- 5 Asrava = flow in of Karmas
- 6 Samvara = the prevention of the inco ming Karmas
- 7 Nirjara = eradication of Karmas
- 8 Bandha = bondage
- 9 Moksha = liberation or emancipation

The first two are the primary Padarthas and the others are derivative

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

सम्पप्त्य श्रद्धान भावाना सेपामधिनमा द्वान । चारित्र समभावो विषयेष्यविद्धद्वमार्गाणाम् ॥ ११५ ॥ ्र

by the principle of renunciation is the subjective inhibition or preven tion which is Bhava Samtard This refers to the closing up of the spring of evil thought as the concomitant of this Psychic inhibition of evil there appears the withering away of Karmic matter which is Diana Niriara Moha or spiritual stupor desire and aversion these bring about a characteristic proneness towards Karmic matter in the nature of Jiva This proneness towards the Karmic environment is of the nature of Psychic disposition which spins out a cocoon of evil thoughts enshrouding the self This is Bhava Baillia On account of this disposition there results the settling in of Larmic matter on the In This is objective bondage or Diacja Baidha Lastly realisation of the purest and perfect self after complete emancipation from evil is the subjective salvation or Bhava Moksha. The alsolute annihila tion of harmic matter and the liberation of Jiva from the physical shackles is the objective Moksha or Dravya Moksha In all these cases the Bhava aspects refer to Jiva and the Dravya aspects to motter

117

Then the duality of Jiva the first of the Padarthas

जीवा संसारत्था णिव्वादा चेदणप्पमा दुविहा। उद्यओगलबसणा वि य देहादेहप्पवीचारा॥ १९०॥*

117 Having the nature of consciousness characterised by Upnyoga—faculties of perception and understanding, Jivas are of two kinds. One meaning of the world and the other discarnate of heaven.

COMMENTARY

Chetana or conscious nature and its manifestation through per ception and understanding are the intrinsic characteristics of all livas which are of two classes -Samsari Jivas and Mukta Jivas -The fomer has the limitation of Upådhis Samsari Jivas are always associated with some kind of body gross or subtle The Mukta Jivas

^{*}Sinskrit ie derne

जीवा ससारस्या निर्वृष्ता चेतनात्मका द्विविधा । उपयोगतन्त्रणा श्रवि च देहादहम्बीचारा ॥ ११०॥

is free from such bodily limitations because of complete emancipation from material conditions K-trimic and non K-trimic. As a result of *Upadhis Chetana* and *Upayoga* of the former get limited and encircled and as a result of the destruction of *Upathis* the very same become perfect and pure in the case of *Std lines*.

The five kinds of Sariras have already been mentioned

118

Samsari souls are again twofold—fixed ones and the moving ones. The fixed ones or the Siburara Jivas are here described

पुढ्वी य उदगमगणी वाउवणप्फिटिजीवससिदा काया?। देति खलु मोहबहुलं फासं वहुगा वि ते तेसि ॥१९८॥*

118 Vitalised by Jivas are the following by dies —Earth, water fire, air, and also plants. These are many in number. They yield to their respective. Jivas only one kind of feeling contact, and that too associated with highly intensified stupor of ignorance.

COMMENTARY

This Gatha refers to Ekendrija Jivas Jivas having only one sense These as a result of their Karmic intensity may degenerate to such an extent as to be associated with the five kinds of physical objects enumerated above Harth water fire a r and plants. These kinds of physical objects are used as bodies by these Jivas

There s no difficulty in understand righter organic nature of the plant world especially after the discoveres of Dr. Bose it is not necessary to elaborately defend that plants are living organisms. But the organic nature of the other four kinds earth water fire and air is somewhat obscure. The commentators themselves do not help us in the difficulty so much so that some of the European Scholars who have studied Jainism have come to the conclusion, that Jainism is very primitive since it believes in the existence of souls in material and inorganic things. This argument of the existence of primitive

^{*} Sa iskrit rendering

पृथिया चादकमसिर्वायुवनस्वती जीवसश्चिता कार्या । द्दति खलु माहबहुल स्पर्शे बहुका अपि ते तेपा॥ ११=॥

beliefs in Jaina system is brought forward in favour of the antiquity of Jainism Certainly it is very pleasing to be assured of a hoary past, but it is no compliment to Jainism if its beliefs are identified with the primitive notions of the Hottentot

It is almost incredible to believe that Jama thinkers with their uncompromising dualistic attitude accepted the doctrine that Auheta ia physical objects had souls of their own. The definitions of Jiva and Pudgala are clearly un nistakable. There is no possibility of any confusion. Mutually they are as much contradictory to a Jama thinker as to a carteum philosopher.

The clue to the difficulty is supplied by the doctrine that the foar kinds of Ik idrija Jivas associated with fire air, earth and water are SIvh in EverI ja Jivas is microscopic organisms having only one sense. That the Jainas believed in the existence of microscopic organisms needs no elaborate evidence. The rules of conduct prescribed for Jaina Grahastas a id Yalis are sufficient testi mony Again this interpretation is further strengthened by the following point. All the five kinds of Sth wara Jivas are considered to have four kinds of Pranas or life principles.

- l eel ngs of Contact
- 2 Kavabala Prara or strength of body
- 3 Uchhrasa Vischvasa Prara or respiration
 - 4 Ayuh Pra 1 or duration of life

Fo sippose that these four Pranus are associated with really inorganic bodies would be inconsistent with the other aspects of the sistem. Therefore it is incumbent upon us to emphasize that the Jivas associated with inorganic bodies are mainly Sukshma Ekindrija Jivas or microscopic organisms, for it is only with an organism that Pranas can be consistently associated.

This does not remove all our difficulties. Whether there can be organisms associated with fire is still an unintelligible problem. We don't want to dogmatise on the matter. Findently for the sake of symmetry fire is added on to the enumeration of the other kinds of physical forms. We can only confess that the doctrine for want of sufficient light from the commentators remains a very obscure part of Jaina thought leading to strange conjectures. Where there

is scope for speculation we beg to offer our own Hypothesis as an alternative interpretation

119

These have monosensic and non Psychic vitalism

एदे जीवणिकाया पंचविहा पुढविकाइयादीया। मणपरिणामविरहिदाजीवा एगेंदिया भणिया॥ ११९॥*

These Jivas such as the earth bodied ones are of five different castes. All of them are devoid of mental states. They have a single sense. So are they described in the scripture.

COMMENTARY

The author points out that these Sthittarr Jivas are utterly devoid of Psychic states They have only one kind of feeling of contact. This description indicates a difference between the botanical and the zoological realms and the Sukshita Ekendrij is or the microscopic organisms of the four kinds also share the nature of the plant world

120

Though devoid of mental states they are not non conscious in essence Really they are to be considered as unconscious but not non conscious. The author explains by illustration their unconscious nature

डेंसु पबहुंता गब्भत्था माणुसा य मुच्छगया । जारिसया तारिसया जीवा प्रगेदिया णेयाः ॥ १२० ॥†

120 Just as life or Chetaua is associated with unconscious ness in the following cases eggs foetus growing in mother's womb and the man in a trance so also the monosensic

एते जीवनिकाया पञ्चितिधाः पृथ्वीकायिकाद्या । ... मन परिणामविरद्विता जीवा एकेन्द्रिया भणितः ॥ ११६॥

Sanskrit rendering -

द्यप्रहेषु प्रवर्द्धमाना गर्मेखा मानुषाश्च मूच्छा यता । यादशास्तादृशा जीया एकेन्द्रियो त्रेया ॥ १२०॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

organisms are unconscious, though having life or Chetana Thus be it understood

COMMENTARY

The author establishes that these monosensic beings have life and also potential Chetana or consciousness. It is ordinarily acknowledged that there is life and consciousness in the germ in the case of eggs, foetuses, and in men in trance. Here unconsciousness is no objection to the belief of their living nature. Similarly these monosensic organisms though devoid of consciousness have life and potensy for consciousness.

121

Then the author by way of illustration enumerates some of the organisms having two sense organs

संबुक्कमादुवाहा संखा सप्पी अपागदा य किमी । जाणंति रसं फासं जे ते वे इंदिया जीवा: ॥ १२१ ॥*

121 Sea snail, cowrie shell fish, conch shell fish, mother o'pearl and earth-worin are organisms which have two senses, touch and taste. Hence are they bisensic

123

Then author enumerates some of the organisms having three senses

जूगागुंभीमक्कणपिपीलया विच्लियादिया कीडा । जाणंति रसं फासं गंधं ते इंदिया जीवा ॥ १२२ ॥ १

122 The louce, the bug the red and ordinary scorpion, the ant and other insects have three senses are triacsthetic

संबुषमात्वाहाः शहा सुक्तयाऽपादका रूमय । जानन्ति रस स्पर्णे ये ते होस्टियाः जीवा ॥ १२१ ॥

Senskrit rendering -

युकाकुम्मीमरकुणिविधीतिका वृद्दिचकाद्व वीटा । ज्ञानन्ति रसं स्वर्धे गम्ध वीदिया जीवा॥ १२२॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -

123

Then the author enumerates some of the organisms having four senses $% \left(1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

उद्दंत्तमसयमविखयमधुकरभमरा पतंगमादीया । रूपं रसं च गन्धं फासं पुण ते वि जाणंति ॥ १२३ ॥*

123. Again, the Gadfly, the mosquito, the fly, the bee, the beetle and the dragon fly and the butterfly—These organisms experience, touch, taste, smell and sight hence they are qualraesthetic—have four senses.

124.

Then the beings with five senses, are enumerated

सुरणरणारयतिरिया वण्णरसप्फासगंधसद्दण्ह् । जलचरथलजरखचरा वलिया पंचेंदिया जीवा ॥ १२१ ॥†

124. Devas, human beings, hell beings, and higher animals all these have five senses, colour, taste, smell, touch and sound Some of the animals are water animals, some lind animals and some birds of the air. There are very strong ones also among these

COMMENTARY

The classification of J_{ILBS} according to sense organs is based more upon the behaviour of insects and animals, than on the structure. In the case of bisense insects given in G_{B}^{*} find 121, the classification seems to be correct. Taking the sea small for example it has touch all over the body and very sensitive in the tentacles on the head. It has no tongue but the base of the respiratory organs determines the kind of water that should enter the respiratory chamber. The mobile lips

उद्शामशकमित्तका मधुकरी स्रमरा यतहाचा । इसं रसं च गम्ध स्वर्श पुनस्तेऽपि जानन्ति ॥ १२३ ॥

Sanskrit rendering -

सुरनरनारकतिर्यञ्जो वर्णरसस्पर्शगन्धशस्त्रतः । जसवरस्रतवरस्रवाग वितन पञ्जेन्द्रिया जीवाः ॥ १२४॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering ~

of the snail also act as gustatory orgins. There is no special uditory organ but there is an organ in the foot, called the otocyst which enables the creature to seep its balance in the water. This evidently corresponds to the semicircular canals of the human ear whose functiors is to determine the positions of our body in space. Generally, they have some sensitive parts to light also but this is not considered as an eye by the author. Course conch and mother of pearl do have similar structures and ordinary earth worms, also have the sense of contact and some kind of gustatory sense. In their case also the skin is sensitive to light but it is treated as insignificant.

Next in the case of organisms with three senses the enumeration contains some dubious specimens. The lonce and the big have the sense of touch, taste and smell. Ants also have tress three senses But some of them have sight lso. But ordinarily their sense of smell is the most predominent. Lord Webury Sir John Lubboch after several years of patient observation comes to the conclusion that anis become aware of objects in the environment only through smell. But in the case of caterpillars and scorpions there are eyes. But anyhow they are included under this class.

In the next class are included the mosquitoes flies, bees etc These insects lave clearly four senses Contact taste, s nell and sight. But in the case of some for example beetle and the been peculiar humming sound is produced. Naturalists suppose that these insects must respond to sound and they have some organ in the abdomen which is supposed to be responsive to sound vibrations Whether what they experience is sound or some other vague sensation of contact nobody can be certain. Therefore we may take the author's description as almost accurate . Lastly many of the higher animals and human beings are included under the next class five sensed organisms. They have also sense of hearing to boot the distinction between other higher animal and luman beings is that the latter have a well developed consciousness Simanaski Punchendriya Jit is where as the other Panchendriya Jivas are devoid of mind. Evidently the distinction implies the presence of self consciousness in the one class and the absence of the same in the other

fundamental kinds of Jivas already differentiated according to the four gatis

देवा चडण्णिकाया मणुपा पुण कम्मभोगभूमीया । तिरिया बहुप्पयारा णेरङ्या पुढविभेयगदा ॥ १२५ ॥*

125 Devas are of four classes Human beings are of two classes Inhabitants of Karma Bhoomi and those of Bhrga Bhoomi Animals are of numerous kinds Hell beings of seven according to their respective regions 2

COMMENTARY

This Gatha presupposes the peculiar Jaina cosmognia. According to the Jainas Loka is arranged in three orders, the lower world the middle world and the upper world. In the upper world there are different regions one above the other, so also in the lower world. The different regions of the upper world are inhabited by Deras, the different regions of the lower world one below the other are inhabited beings thrown into hell. The conception is something and logus to that of Dante.

This Gatha classifies preas according to the principle of gath rethe principle of gathnargana. But the previous gathas had the classification according to the principle of sense organs or Indrija.

Devas are said to be of four kinds -

Vide-Talitariha Saira Chipter IV, Bhavanavasis Viantaras, Jjotishka's kaliparisis or Vaimanikas Each class is further subdivided into several specie. Each sub-class has its own special characteristics physical and Psychical and has the characteristic periods of life. It is not possible to enter into the details

The hell beings are seven According to the hells inhabited by them The seven bells are, Ratina Prabha Sarkara Prabha, Valuka, Panka Dhuma, Tama and Uaha Tama The hell beings again have their respective characteristics of suffering, age, and other

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -

Psycho physical characteristics with graduated intensity These two classes of beings are only of doctrinal importance to us

Coming to human beings and animals the principle of classification is much simpler. The animals are recognised to be of numerous species, and human beings again are divided into two classes those born in Karma Bhooms and those born in Bhoga Bhooms. This classification is again only the result of the peculiar geography of the Jaina system Geography and cosmogany form an important branch of Jama Literature It is one of the four Annyogas Jamas recognise four main departments of their Scripture (1) Pratha mannyoga dealing with the life of the Tirthankaras and the other great personalities (2) harananunyoga dealing with the structure and constitution of Lola, the cosmos (3) Charananuvoga, dealing with principles of conduct prescribed for the householder as well as Stumass (4) Dravyannyoga dealing with the metaphysical aspects of reality Jamas whenever they speak of Juas and other Dravyas, always assume the special constitution of the world according to their religious dogma If more detailed knowledge of these things is desired reference must be made to treatises on Lobastcaruba or the form of the world

126

Jivas described according to the different Gati Marganas, are not to be supposed to maintain that state permanently Jivas undergo several modifications assuming different states of existence with the different durations of life Thus do they roam about in Samsara

खीणे पुन्त्रणिबद्धे गदिणामे आउसे च ते वि खलु । पापुण्णंति य अण्णं गदिमाउस्सं सलेसवसा ॥ १२६ ॥*

126. When the existing Karmas determining the gate and the age of a Jua, decay, then that Jivi get into another gati with a different duration of life as determined by its last conative state or aspiration known as Lesy &

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -चींणे पूर्वनियद्धे गतिनास्ति झायुपि च तेऽपि दालु । मामुवन्ति चान्यां गतिमायुष्क खलेष्यायशात ॥ १२६॥

COMMENTARY

The Gats or state of existence of a particular Is a is determined by a particular Karma known as Gatmamakarma So is the dura tion of a particular Jiva determined by Ayuh Karma When these two Karmas exhaust themselves to the very last then age of the Jiva will come to an end and the first has to change its state of existence e it will have to enter into another (etc. with a different duration of life. This entrance into the next state is generally determined by Karmas acquired during the lifetime. But the fundamental factor which immediately determines the passage into the other stage mu t be determined by Gitiii nakiriia and Ajuh Karmi of the succeeding stage Jivi before quitting a particular Gati acquires these two fresh Karmas determined by the last conative state or Lesia The term Lessa is used not in its usual sense. In this place it implies merely the particular activity of Yoga or Mana vachana laya manifesting in the 1 st desire or aspiration of life less a may be pure or impure according to the ideal aimed at. And according to its value it forms the two Kaimas in their pure form or impure form According to the determining antecedent Larmas the Jiva incarnates in a particular place with a particular body and with particular Psycho physical development. Thus he may have a pleasant life or drug on a miserable existence

127

But the Samsaric cycle is not eternal for Jiva On account of Labdhis or lucky spritual attainments a Jiva may realise the three jewels Then it may free itself from Karmic matter as gold is purified from drops. Then it is the pure self

्दे जीवणिकाया देहप्पविचारमस्सिदा भणिदा। देहविहणा सिद्धा भव्वा संसारिणो अभव्वा य ॥१२०॥*

127 These different classes of Jivas are again said to be of two kinds incarnate ones and discarnate ones are Siddhas, whereas (the former) are the samsars are again of two species Bhavyas and Abhavyas

पते जीवनिकाया देहमविचारमाधिता भणिता । वेहविद्याना सिद्धाः भन्यान ससारिषोऽभन्याश्च ॥ १२७ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

COUMENTARY

Here the nathor summarises the nature of Jivas The first principle of division is the possession of a body. All the incurnate ones are said to be Samsari Jivas and all the discarnate once are Siddha Jivas or pure souls. But the former class is igain sub divided; the principle of division being the capacity to become perfect. Those Samsari Jivas which have this capacity under special opportunities are called Bh ivyas whereas those Simisari Jivas which have no such capacity are called Abhavias.

This distinction of Simsin Jives into Bhivy is and Abhavi as corresponds to Drummond's division of souls into organic and inorganic. The organic souls, given the proper opportunity, have the capacity to develop and attain spiritual perfection, whereas inorganic ones have no such capacity of spiritual growth. This is one of the Jaina religious dogmas whose metaphysical basis is not quite clear. Most probably the distinction is as arbitrary as that of Drummond's

128

The five senses and the six bodies examined above are not essential elements of Jiva

ण हि इंदियाणि जीवा काया पूंणें छप्पयार पण्णता। जं हबदि तेसु णाणं जीवो त्ति य तं परूवंति॥ १२८॥*

128 The five senses and the six kinds of bodies mentioned above these are not of the essence of soul. Whatever in the midst of these manifests as consciousness that they call by the name Jiva

COMMENTARY

The sense organs and the various bodies are associated with Jiva only from the conventional point of verw. The very same characteris tree are really its accidental adjuncts. Senses such as touch taste, etc. and bodies such as earth body, etc., are not Jiva, because they have

Sanskrit rendering

महीन्द्रियाणि जीवाः पायाः धुन पट्मकाराः प्रक्षताः । यद्भयति तेषु कान जीप इति च तत्प्रह्रण्यन्ति ॥ १२०॥

nothing in common with the thought which is the true nature of Jiva. But in the midst of these sense organs and physical conditions there is the principle of consciousness which sheds light upon itself and other objects, i.e. which manifests as knowledge of the subject and the object. That thinking thing is said to be Jiva or soul

29.

Then the author mentions the special characteristics of Jiva, characteristics which distinguish Jiva from material and other Diagram

जाणिद परसदि सन्त्रं इच्छिद सुवसं विभेदि दुवसादो। कुन्नदि हिदमहिदं वा भुंजिद जीवो फलं तेसिं ॥१२९॥*

129. What knows and perceives the various objects, desires pleasure and dreads pain, acts beneficially or harmfully and experiences the fruit thereof—that is Jivā.

COMMENTARY.

In this adha the characteristic behaviour of Jivā is described. What is mentioned to be conscionsness in the previous Gātha is here indicated by several purposeful activities which have meaning only with reference to consciousness. Perception and understanding of objects are the function of Jivā or consciousness. This function cannot be associated with matter. so also is the tendency to desire pleasure and to avoid pain. It is distinctly the nature of life to continue beneficial activity and to discontinue harmful activity. Such conduct can have reference only to Jivā. Inorganic mechanical activity can never exhibit such purposive nature. These various instances of purposeful activity as against mechanical activity clearly mark out Jivā from the other Drawyas. The whole gathā then may be taken as the definition of Jivā through its behaviour.

130.

The author summarises the characteristics of Jivá Padartha in the first half of the Gātha and introduces the other Padarthas in the second half.

जानाति पश्यित सर्विभिच्छिति सौस्यं विमेति दुःश्रात्। करोति दितमहितं या भुङ्के जीवः फलं नयाः॥ १२६॥

^{*}Sauskrit rendering:

एवमभिगम्म जीवं अण्णेहिं वि पज्जेएहिं बहुगेहिं। अभिगच्छद अज्जीवं णाणंतरिदेहिं लिंगेहिं॥ ९३०॥*

130 Thus having seen the nature of Jiva through the numerous and distinct characteristics, well do thou grasp the nature of non-life that is absolutely of non-thinling nature

CONVENTARY

The author generalises the characteristics of Jivi Jiia and its modifications were studed under different principles of Ginardham and Il ing masth mus. In all these multifarious changes there is the one constant character of Jivu consciousness or thought. Thought may exist in its impure form in Simsura and in its pure and perfect form is Voksha. Whether pure or impure chetana is the fundamental nature of life. Before introducing the other Padarthas the author emphasizes the fact that consciousness should not be associated with Ajita or non-life. The absence of consciousness is the mark of Ajita. Its activity or change is purely mechanical and non-teleological. We are asked to remember this fundamental nature of Ajita before the author describes the Ajiva Pidartha or non-life. Thus ends the chapter on Jita Palartha.

31 Chapter on Am & Padartha

Then the author enumerates the different Ajiva Padarthas Taking absence of consciouness as the mark of Anna

आगासकालपुग्गलघम्माधम्मेसु णत्थि जीवगुणा । तेसिं अचेदणत्तं भणिदं जीवस्स चेदणदा ॥ १३१ ॥ । ।

131 Space, time, matter, the principle of motion and that of r st, these have no life principle in them. They are absolutely without consciousness. There is thought or consciousness only in Iria.

^{*}Sanskeit renderine

प्रयमभिगम्य जीवमम्पैरिव प्रयायिषेषुके.। समिगन्युत्वजीय शानान्तरिनेनिष्ठैः॥ १३०॥

¹⁵ inskrit rendering साकाशकालपुद्गलचमांचर्मेषु न सन्ति जीवगुणाः । तेषामचेतनत्वं मणित चीवस्य चेतनता ॥ १३१ ॥

CONVENTARY

Consciousness is not the only reality. There are several Achetana or nonconscious entities which are grouped under Ajiva Padartha. In this respect. Jaina, thought is fundamentally distinct from the idealism which admits the reality of only one thing, consciousness. One tendency in philosophic thought tries to reduce everything to consciousness. The other tendency tries to maintain that matter alooe is real and everything is unreal and derivative. Both these extremes are avoided by Jaina thought. There are conscious entities as well as non conscious entities constituting the system of reality.

This Gatha is said to be the condemnation of the adiatic view a that everything is Brahm i

132

In this Gatha the author explains what Achitanatra or non-consciousness means

सुहदुवस्त्रजाणणा वा हिटपरियम्मं च अहिदभीरुत्तं । जस्स ण विज्जदि णिच्चं तं समणा विति अज्जीवं ॥१३२॥*

132 Wherever such attributes of life are never found as the feeling of pleasure and pain, desiring only the beneficial activity and avoiding the harmful activity that the wise ones call titta or non life

COMMENTARY

What is achievanted the characteristic of Aprox Padarthas? That which has not got the fundamental characteristics of life These characters are feeling pleasure, pain continuing beneficial activity and avoiding harmful activity. Whate veris devoid of these characteristics is Ajiva. This may be taken as negative definition.

133

Though matter in the form of karma and no karma is intimately related to Jina yet it is quite distinct in nature

*Sanskrif rendering

सुखदु खन्नान या हितपरिकर्म चाहितभीकरव । यस्य न विद्यते नित्य त श्रमणा विदृत्यजीवं ॥ १३२ ॥

संठाणा संघादा वण्णरसप्फासगंधसद्दा य । पोग्गलदब्वप्पभवा होति गुणा पज्जया य बहू ॥१३३॥*

133 From, structure, colour, taste touch, smell and sound there are associated with matter. They are again of various kinds. They are either attributes or modes of matter.

COMMENTARY

The physical attributes are colour taste smell, sound and touch Each of these is again of many kinds Configuration or form is minumerable according to the different forms of physical objects Combi atton or structure is of infinite kinds. The structure of skandhas from two atoms on vards is referred to These are modes of matter. These modifications may be organic related to life or inorganic. Just as the inorganic matter is distinct from life so allow this organic matter distinct from it though associated with it.

134

If form structure and other characteristics are not to be associated with Jiva than what is the real nature of Jiva

अरसमह्रवमगंधमन्त्रत्तं चेट्णागणमसट्टं ।

जाण अलिंगरगहणं जीवमणिद्विद्वसंठाणं ॥१३१॥†

134 Understand that life has no sense qualities of taste, smell colour, touch and sound lit has no form either lit has only thought or consciousness

COMMENTARY

The seve at sense qualities associated with matter are not present in Jit i Jiva or life is not to be apprehended through sense perception its nature is not to be inferred through any of its sense qualities for

*Sanskrit rendering
सम्यानानि संघाता यर्णुरसस्पर्शनन्धरान्त्राश्च ।
पुदमल्डस्थममा मथन्ति गुणु पर्यापाश्च बहव ॥ १३३ ॥

tSanskril rendering श्रदसमरूपमगन्धमन्यतः चेतन्।ग्रुगमशस्य । जातीरासिङ्गप्रदर्शं औदमन्तिर्देषसन्यान ॥ १२४॥ it has no such attributes. Again it has no form corresponding to that of physical objects. Jita is formless being spiritual. Such are the characteristics of life and consciousness.

Thus ends the Ajra Padarth i

135, 136 & 137

After describing the primary categories of life and non-life the author goes to seven other derivative Padarthas, originating from either the synthesis or analysis of the two primary ones Jiva and Karnio matter are the primary generating categories for the rest Hence these two are taken up here for examination

जो खलु संसारत्यो जीवो तत्तो दु हीदि परिणामो । परिणामादो कम्मं कम्मादो होदि गदिसु गदी ॥१३५॥ गदिमधिगदस्स देही देहादो इंदिघाणि जाघंते । तेहि दु विसयग्गहणं तत्तो रागो व दोसो वा ॥१३६॥ जायदि जीवस्सेवं भावो संसार्चक्रवालम्मि । इदि जिणवरेहिं भणिदो अणादिणिधणो सणिधणोवार्धः

135—7 To a first in Sams ira desire and aversion will naturally occur. On account of these states Karmic matter clings to the first 15th Kirmic bondage leads the first 15th Kirmic bondage leads the first one that one the four Gatis or states of evistences. Intering into the Gati, Jiva builds up its own appropriate body, being embodied he gets the senses. Through the sense objects of the environment are pursued. From perception appears desire or aversion towards those objects and from desire, the cycle begins again

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

[े] था खञ्च ससारम्थे जीषस्ततस्तु मयति परिणामः। परिणामान्त्रमें कर्मणा मयति मतिषु मति ॥ १३५ ॥ गतिमधिमतस्य देहो देहादिन्द्रियाणि जायन्ते। तेस्तु विवयमहण तते। रागो द्वेषो ॥ १३६ ॥ जायते जीयस्येय माय ससारचन्न्रयाले। इति जिणवरैमणिनेऽनादिनिधन सनिधर्मा सा ॥ १३० ॥

Thus desire brings Karma Karma leads to gati, gati, means body, body impies senses, senses lead to perception, and perception again to desire or aversion and so on administration But the cycle ends in the case of Bhavya Jiva whereas it unending to the Abhavyas But it has no beginning in either case. Thus is it taught by the Jiva the conqueror of Samsara.

COMMENTARY

The author describes the causal series that constitute the cycle of Samsara Jiva according to Jaun doctrine is enshrowded by Karmic conditions from eternity. On account of this association with Karmic matter Jiva experiences the emotional states of desire ct. These emotional states are conditioned by the Karnic uphilius. But these states in their turn bring about the acquisit on of fresh Karmic matter. The acquisition of Karmic matter means that the Jivi should manifest in any one of the four gatis. Manifestation means embod ed existence. Body implies sense organs. Sense organs again are the windows for the soul to apprehend the environment. Awareness of the objects in the environment generates the affective states in the Soul. These affective states once again begin the series of the above mentioned causal series.

Thus by the mutual interaction between mind and matter there appears the cycle of Sansana. In the case of the fortunates ones were capable of sir tual emancipation the whirling comes to an end. But in the case of the unfortunate ones who are incapable of spiritual's lyat on the cycle goes on for ever

THEN THE CHAPTER ON PULYA AND PAPA OR VIRTUE AND VICE

After describing the veil of sams rra which is the condition of the nine catagories or pndarthas the author examines Punja and Papa and their respect to Psychic antecedents

मोहो रागो दोसो चित्तपसादो य जस्स भावस्मि । विज्जदि तस्स सुहो वा असुहो वा होदि परिणामो॥१३८॥*

^{*}S miskrit ren leri ig

मोही रागा हैपश्चित्तप्रसादश्च यस्य भाषे । विचने तस्य शुसो वा ऋशुसो वा भवति परिणामः ॥ १३८॥

138 Whatever Jiva has in himself Woha, corrupting the faculty of belief, desire and aversion, or the purity of thought is subject to the hedonic state of happiness or misery

COMMENTARY

The Psychological conditions determining virtue and vice are described. Vola is the mental state brought about by the ripering of Darsana Mohaniya Karmi. The Kirma that clouds the fronts of perception and belief. Palit and Decaha derire and aversion, are the result of Charitra Mohaniy? The karma that misleads the will while the former misleads cognition. This when cognition and will are determined by the Karine conditions they may manifest in Voliti nal states marked by virtue or vice. If the objects of cognition and will is desirable and good then the mental state is Sobia Parinama. If it is undestrable and bid then the mental state is Anibha Parinama. In the former case there results happiness to the individual whereas in the latter missers.

139

Next the author describes the subjects e states of good and evil Bhava P mya Paḥa and their corresponding karmic conditions or Dravja Punja Paḥa

सुहपरिणामो पुण्णं असुहो पावति हवदि जीवस्स । दोण्हं पोग्गलमत्तो भावो कम्मत्तणं पत्तो ४१२९॥*

139 Good will or pure thought is righteousness Bad will or evil thought is sin Conditioned by these two mental states of the Jiva the classes of kinnig molecules which are physical in nature undergo modifications and manifest 20 Draya karmas such as ji arawarmija

COMMENTARY

Pure thought is Bhava Punya. This conditions Draiya Punya, the Karmic matter facilitating the purity of the heart. Evil thought is Bhava Pafa sinning in the heart. This conditions the Draiya

शुमपरिणाम पुण्यमञ्जन पापमिति सयति जीवस्य । द्वया पुदुगलमात्रो मानः कर्मत्य मात ॥ १३६॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Papa which aggrevates the evil disposition of the soul. Thus from the Psychic conditions which are amuriz their result the different Conditions which are physical and muria. Thus the Bhāva karmas and the Dravya kārmas, re mutual v interacting

He establishes that karmic matter is physical in pature and therefore is Murta

जहाा कम्मस्स फलं णिसय फासेहिं भुंजदे णियदं। जीवेण सहं दुवसं तहाा कम्माणि मुत्ताणि ॥११०॥*

140 Because the fruits of karma the objects of happiness or misery are experienced by Jiva through the sensations of touch, etc., therefore the karmas are physical and Maria

The fruit of karma is either a desirable or an undesirable object pleasant or unpleaseut to the jara. This object is experienced through sense perception. Senses are physical, objects apprehended through the sense organs are all o physical. Therefore the karmine effects are physical and Murta. Cau e and effect are identical. Therefore the author concludes that the karmin themselves are physical and Murta. Since their effects are physical. Of course this refers to Draya karmas.

111

Karmas past or present are physical and Units Samean Jiva, because of its Karmas is also physical and Units Hence there is the chance for Iresh accretion of Karmas Hence the habity to continued bondage

मुत्ती फासदि मुसं मुत्ती मुत्तेण वंधमणुहवदि । जीवो मुत्तिविरहिदी गाहदि ते तेहि उग्गहदि ॥१८१॥†

141 Past Karma which is physical in nature comes into contact with the present Karma which is also physical in nature

*Sanskrit rendering

यक्ष्मात्कर्मणः कल विषय रपर्शेर्मुज्यने नियत। जायेन सुख हुः तस्मात्कर्माणि मूर्चानि ॥ १४०॥ १८ anskrit rendering

मुर्च स्पृशति मुर्च पूर्चो मुर्चेन वन्धमनुमयति । जीवो मुर्चियरहितो गाहति तानि तैरयागाहाते ॥ १४२ ॥। Thus existing Kârmic matter enters into combination with incoming Kârmic matter. Jiva though in itself spiritual and Amûrta is certainly corporeal (in its Samsûric state) because of its coeval Karmas. Therefore it absorbs the fresh Kârmice matter and is absorbed by that (because of mutual attraction of molecules)

In this Gatha the author explains how there could be a contact between Irea and Karma which are Amurta and Murta respectively. That there is the possibility of combination among physical molecules is accepted by all and it is a fundamental presupposition of Jaina thought that Jiva is in Samsara to start with, i e. it is from time immemorial associated with Karmic matter. Because of this associated Karm t. liva itself has body and i. Murta. Because of this intimate association with Karmic matter there is the chance for fresh bondage and Samsara The Gatha must be taken as an explanat on of the perpetuity of the Samsaric cycle. Given the initial presupposition that Iva in its natural state exists in Karmic bondage then we can understand the reason for the samaric cycle from bondage to Gali and Gati to bondage and so on. The series of causality is certainly assumed to be infinite i.e. without beginning though it may come to an end with the emancipation. An infinite past is not a mathematical impossibility. Therefore though it is admitted that the series may come to an end it need not necessarily have a beginning. Through the infinite causal interaction therefore, a Ivv may acquire fresh Karmas though in itself it is spiritual and Amarta. Thus ends the chapter on Punya Pápa Padarthas.

142

CHAPTER ON Asrava Padartha.

Asrava means the fountain source of righteousness or sin. First the Punyasrava or the spring of virtue is taken for description.

रागो जस्स पसत्यो अणुकंपासंसिदो य परिणामो । चित्ते णत्यि कछुस्सं पुण्णं जीवस्स आसवदि ॥१९२॥*

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

रानो यस्य प्रशस्तोऽनुकस्पासंभितर्च परिणामः । चित्ते नास्ति कानुष्यं पुषयं जीयस्पास्त्रवति ॥ १४२ ॥

142. Whatever $Jv\omega$ has desires high and noble, thoughts based on love and sympathy and in whose mind there are no evil impulses towards the same, the pure Karmic matter flows in as conditioned by the above mentioned springs of righteousness.

COMMENTARY.

Noble de-ires and thoughts of charity are the springs of right conduct—Bhāvī pring sarava, as conditioned by these springs there flows Punga Dirtya Karma pure Kārmīc matter into the soul: noble desires and pure thoughts, those springs of subjective rightcounsess may be followed by objectively evil deeds if they have not the cooperation of Samyak Darsana or right belief. But if those springs are saturated with right belief then there is no conflet between subjective states and objective conduct. The subjectively pure springs of conduct have the chance of being succeeded by series of right conduct till the attainment of heavenly bliss. In short subjective parity unaccompanied by right belief will still keep the soul tied to the wheel of life whereas the same in association with the right belief will gradually lead the soul to Nirvána.

143

Then the nob'e desires are i lustrated.

अरहंतसिद्धसाहुसु भत्ती धन्मिन्म जा य खलु चेट्टा । अणुगमणं पि गुरुणं पसत्थरागो त्ति बुट्चंति ॥१४३॥*

143. Love and devotion towards 4rahanta, Stddha and Sadhus, living according to the rules of conduct household and ascetic, and faithfully following the Masters, these are said to be the noble ideals.

COMMENTARY.

Noble ideals are associated with noble objects deserving of worship and devotion. These are what are known as the Pancha Panameshits. Arahanta's, Siddha's, Sidhus, Achurja's and Upadyaja's The term Arahanta denotes a perfected being who is still in the world, i.e., who

ग्रहेरिसद्यासाघुषु भक्तिद्धेमें या च खत्तु घेषा । श्रजुगमनमपि गुक्कां मशस्त्राग रष्ट्रति बन्ति (?) ॥ १४३ ॥

Sanskrit rendering .

has still his bodily state. It corresponds to the term Sayogakevali, the person who attained Revalajāana or perfect knowledge and who still has Yoga or Mana v-chana Ruji. The term Suddha represents the perfect soul, without the Yogi or Uana vachana Raja, Sadhu represents the great ones who are on the path of perfection. It represents mainly the Yatts who have attained great spiritual advancement through Tajas. Acharja represents the organiser of the Sangham, the chief of a group of ascetics as well as the householders. The term Upadyhāja represents the great religious teachers whose function it is to instruct the people, lay and ascetic, about the chief tenets of religion and also about the roles of conduct. Reverence and devotion to these great ones and a desire to follow the path marked out by them constitute the noble aspiration which leads to Puma

144

Then Annhmpa or charity is described

तिषिदं वुसुविखदं वा दुहिदं दट्ठूण जा दुदुहिदमणी । पडिवज्जिदि तं किवया तस्सेसा होदि अणुकंपा ॥१९१॥*

144 If anyone moved at the sight of the thirsty, the hungry, and the miserable, offers relief to them, out of pity, then such behaviour of that person is love or charity

COMMENTARY

This is the second coodition generating Pinnya Bhava or the good will. The act of charity implies two things. The feeling of sympathy at the sight of the needy and the sufferers, and secondly active relief to them. Mere feeling of sympathy is ineffective. Active relief as the result of the feeling of sympathy is essential to charity. This kind of charity is common to the ordioary mortals and it manifests in only temporary relief. But in the case of the wise oose Anukampa or charity minifests in a higher form at the sight of the struggling souls in the ocan of Sumsara they manifest a generous sympathy and help them toward emancipation. Thus Anukampa is of two kinds lover and higher accordiog as its result is temporary and superficial or radical and permanent.

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

तृपित बुभु ज्ञत वा दु खित हृष्ट्वा यस्तु दु।खितमना । प्रति पद्यते तं रूपया तस्येषा भवत्यतुपम्पा॥ १४४॥

144

145

After describing the ideals and aspirations forming the positive condition of good will, the author describes its negative condition is the impure emotions that are to be avoided in every way to secure the purity of the heart or Subha Parinama

कांधो व जदा माणो माया लोभी व चित्तमासेङ्ज। जीवस्स कुणदि खोहं कलुसो त्तियत बुट्टा वैंति गर्१११॥*

145 Whenever anger, pride, deceit, and covetousness appear in the mind of a *Jiva* they create disturbing mo ion interfering with ealmness of thought. This emotional agitation of thought is called impure thought by the wise

COMMENTARY

Impurity of thought is explai ed to be the interference of thought and will by grosser emotions enumerated in the Gatha Treedom from such emotional interference is also a necessary roundit on or righteousness. Thus noble desires (Prasista Ruga charity, (Annkampa) and freedom from impurity of the heart (Chitta Akalush ya) these three constitute the spring of righteousness or Pinn arrata

146

Then the spring, of evil or Papasrava

चरिया पमादबहुला कालुरुतं लोलदा य विसयेसु । परपरितावपवादो पावस्स य आसवं कुण्दि ॥११६॥†

146 Inordinate taste for wordly things, impure emotions, hankering for and indulging in sensual pleasures eausing anguish to fellow beings, and slandering them openly or covertly, these constitute the springs of evil

Sanskrit re idering

क्रोघो वा यदा माना माया होमो वा चित्तमासाय । जीवस्य करोति होम कालुप्यमिति च त बुधा बदन्ति ॥ १४५ ॥

Sanskrit rendering

चर्चा प्रमाद्बदुला कालुष्य लेहलता च विषयेषु । परपरितापापवाद पापस्य चास्रव करेति ॥ १४६॥

COMMENTARY.

The five above mentioned ignoble thoughts constitute the subjective side of the springs of evil (Bhāva Pāpa Asrava) conditioned by these mental states impute Karmic matter flows into the Jiva. And this inflow is the objective side of the evil or Dravya Pāpa Asrava, i.e., there is the acquisition undesirable and dark physical conditions by Jiva on account of the above mentioned, impurities of thought.

147.

Again the subjective springs of evil are described in detail.

सण्णाओं य तिलेस्सा इंदियवसदा य अत्तरुदांणि । णाणं च दुष्पउत्तं मोहो पावप्पदा होति ॥ १९० ॥*

147- The different animal instincts, the different soulsoiling emotions, the tempting senses, suffering and wrath, undesirable thoughts and corruption of the faculties of perception and will, these constitute the springs of evil.

COMMENTARY.

Samjud are the instructs; these are-

Ahara Samina-Preying instinct

Bhaya Samjad-The instinct of fear,

Mailhuna Samini-or the sexual instinct.

Parigraha Samini-The acquisitive instinct.

. These instructs are liable to generate evil. Next.

Lesya or the soul-soiling tints.

These are six in number-

Krishna or the black.

Neelo or the blue

Kabota or the grey.

Peela or the yellow

Padma or the lotus-hued

Sukla or the white

*Sanskrit rendering

संबारच त्रिलेश्या इन्द्रियचयता चार्चगैद्रे । द्यानं च युध्ययुक्तं मोदः पापप्रदा भनन्ति ॥ १४७ ॥

१. 'अहरुदावि' दरवपि पात्र' ।

These less as or the tints of the soil, perhaps denote a peculiar kind of Aura of each soil corresponding to its grade of spiritual development and ethical purity. Very often these tints are associated with the different emotional states present in Jivi. Therefore the Psychic Aura is not a fixed and permanent edjective of a Jivi. They change and appear as concomitants of Psychic conditions. We have to assume that these colours are apprehended only by an occult and supernormal vision. It would be simply about to associate these colours as the intrinsic colours of the Ji is itself for that would make the Jivi a Murta and Rafi i Dravy a—a material thing. That would be quite in consistent with the Jaina system.

Of the six less as or the tints the first three Krishna, Neels and Kapots the block blue and grey are associated with the darker emotions which constitute the sprines of evil

The next consistent of the spring of evil is yielding to the templations of the sens significant development depend upon controlling the senses. The soul is very often compared to the character and the sense to restrice steed. If the character is led analy by the horses that means danger and destruction because there would be no good in life much less the possibility of spiritial emancipation.

Arta or suffering refers to the painful feeling experience when desirable things and persons are lost and when undesirable and unpleasant ones are got. This experience is also the foundarian of euli-Raudra refers to wrathor misplied enthusiasm in cruelty, deceit, their and sensuality. Evil thoughts consist in shunning good objects and apprehending and attending to evil ones. And lastly Vohaniya that spirit paralysing stupor in its dual form corrupts the faculties of perception or will Darsana Mohaniya and Charitra Vohaniya. In either form it is a source of evil

These are the subjective conditions of evil Bhava Papa-Asrava, the inner springs of sin whereas these bring about the inflow of the dark Karmic matter.

This inflow is the Physical or objective side of evil re, the Dravia Papa-Asravi Karmic material of an undesirable kind flows towards the soul as the result of the above mentioned Psychic conditions.

This eid, the chafter on Asrava

184

CHAPTER ON SAMVARA PADÂRTHA

The category that denotes the process of suppressing or blocking, the springs of good and evil treated in the previous chapter, is Samvara. The author considers first Påpa Sami ara or the prevention of evil

इंदियकसायसण्णा शिग्गहिदा जेहिं सुद्वमग्गम्मि । जावत्तावत्तेहिं पिहियं पावासवं छिद्वं ॥१९८॥*

148 To whatever extent the five senses, the four taints of emotions, the four instinctive appetires, are suppressed by a person, well established in the path or righteousness, to such extent the door way for the entrance of evil is closed for that person

COMMENTARY

The volitional suppression of the above mentioned Psychic tendencies is Bharn Samuara or the subjective inhibition of the end. This condition is the ante edent of the physical arrest of the inflow of the Karmue matter which is Drivya Sim ira. This Simiara or the inhibition of the springs of evil is possible only to that person who has the three jewels or Ratnatraya, right hele of right knowledge, and right conduct. One who has not adopted the path cannot succeed in the attempt to block the spring of evil

149

Evil thoughts and sin are the cause of miser; is they lend to suffering in the world as well as in hell, hence they ought to be avoided. Noble thoghts and the consequent happines of Deta state in starga or Detablas is also irsignificated when compared with heavenly bliss. To one whose ideal is self—realisation therefore the happiness of De alok is also worthless. He should shun that course also. Pleasure consequent upon Penja and pain of Patpa are both imperfect and undestrable by the side of the ultimate ideal. Woksha. Both the doorways of Penja and Patpa have to be blocked. Shun ing

रन्द्रियकपायसमा निगृहीता यैः सुम्हमार्गे । यावचायचेषां विहित पावास्त्रय सिद्र ॥ १५८ ॥

^{*}Snnskrit rendering

action that leads to mi ery is natural. But action which leads to pleasure and which stimulites desire is also a hindrance towards self attainment and therefore must be avoided. It is this sentiment that is expressed in the next Gâtha

जस्स ण विज्जिदि रागी दोसी मोही व सन्वदन्वेसु । णासवदि सुह असुहं समसुहदुवखस्स भिवखुस्स ॥१४८ ॥*

'149, If a Bhikshu looks upon happiness and misery as same, if he is free from desire, aversion, and stupor of perception and will, then Kârmâs both beneficial and harmful do not approach that being

COMMENTARY

There are three fundamental states of conscionsners Sibha Parinama, Asubha Parinama, and Suddha Pirinama, pleasant and beneficial, unpleasant and harmful and p re and perfect respectively. The last alone is the ultimate ideal. The other two have to be transcended.

150

Next the author describes the mental states conducive to Samuara or the prevention of Karmas

जस्स जदा खलु पु॰णं जोगे पावं च णित्थ विरदस्स । संवरणं तस्स तदा सुहानुहकदस्स कम्मस्स ॥ १५० ॥

150 As long as a person pure in life, is really free from, action conducive to pleasure or pain through either thuoght, speech or body, so long is he protected from karmas, beneficial and harmful, that is, they are prevented from approaching him.

COMMENTARY

Effective states of desire and aversion and activity of thought, speech or body are the conditions that attract Karmas good and

*Sanskrit rendering

यस्य न विद्यते यागे हेपो मोहो वा सर्वद्रव्येषु । नास्त्रपति शुभमशुभ समसुरादु रास्त्र भिन्नो ॥ १४६ ॥

Sanskrit rendering

यस्य यदा खलु पुरुष योगे पार्प च नास्ति विग्तस्य । संबर्ख तस्य तदा श्रमाश्रमहृतस्य कर्मेण ॥ १५०॥ bad towards the soul. When those conditions are removed there will be Rarmás approaching the Jiva; that is complete Sumvara—a—protective wall round the self shutting out all karmas is established. This Samvara again is twofold Bháva Sumvara and Dravya Samvara. The subjective exclusion of thoughts and desires that may lead to bondage, and the objective exclusion of Karmic matter from self. Thus ends the Samvara Padartha.

151

THE CHAPTER ON NIRJARA PADARTHA.

... The author describes the nature of Nirjara or the withering away of Karmás.

संवरजोगेहिं जुदो तवेहिं जो चिठ्ठदे वहुविहेहिं। कम्माणं णिजजरणं वहुगाणं कुर्णाद सो णियदं ॥१५१॥*

151. That mighty personality which after closing the springs of Karmás, good and evil, and equipped with the faculty of pure thought, controls its life according to manifold forms of Tafas, will undoubtedly be able to rid itself of Karmás manifold.

COMMENTARY.

The faculty of pure thought, protected from all Karmás, associated with twelve kinds of Tapas, and leading to immortality, to annihilation of all Karmás, is the sobjective side of Nirjara or Bháva Nirjara, As a concomitant of this there appears the annihilation of Karmic dust, that clouds the divine heam of pure self.

The means for obtaining Nirjara is Tahas which is of two main forms, outer and inner. Each of these is again of six different kinds.

These are:—

- (a) Outer Tapas coosisting of-
 - (1) Anasana.
 - (2) Avamodarya.
 - (3) Vritti Pari Sankhyana,

Sanskrit rendering :

संवरवे।नाभ्यां युक्तस्तवोमिर्यश्चेष्टने यहुविधैः ! कर्मणां निर्जरणं यहुकानां करोति स नियतं॥ १५१॥

- (4) Rasa Parityaga.
- (5) Vivikta Sayyasana
- (6) Kayaklera
- (b) Inner Tapas consisting of-
 - (1) Präyaschitta
 - (2) Vinaja
 - (3) Vaiya Vrittia
- (4) Swâdhi 13a
- (5) Vyutsarga
 - (6) Dhana
- (1) Anasa is is abstaining from food. To obtain control over the senses and will, to root out desires and up rates to destory Karmas, to facilitate meditation and to study peacefully the scriptures, fasting is the means and fasting in order to secure such results is Anasana Visita.
 - (2) Avamodaria

To sustain control of the will and the senses, to regulate disorders of, Vata, Pitta and Slechma, the humours of the body, to secure self knowledge, and meditation, eating with a limit or a little less than the normal is Avamodaria

- (3) Vritti Pari Sankhyana—In order to control the will which would by nature hanker after all the objects of taste hanting the number of items of Diarna, or in the case of Bhild has, resolving in one self to accept food only from a particular house is Vritti Pari Sinkhyana or numbering or limiting the items of food
- (4) Rava Parityaga In order to control the flesh to get rid of drous ness and sleep, to promote clearness of thought, abstaining from fatty and sweet substances is Rasa Parityaga or relinquishing sweet essences
- (5) Vivikit Sayyasana To have ones bed, in separate and vacant rooms or caves or on rocks free from insect pests is Vivikia Sayyasa na or lon-ly bed. The place must be free from insect pests in order that the p rsen may have peace of mind, preparatory to meditation and separate beds of course to avoid temptations of the flesh
- (6) Kdyaklera getting the body ennured, to pain and suffering by standing in the sun, living under a tree and sleeping in the open in

the winter cold, etc., is Kajablesa it mortification of the body. This will harden the body and make the person fit for the ardinous t sk of religio is life. How is this Kajablesa of flerent from what is known as Parishaba Jaja or the victory over troubles. The latter means over coming the suffering due to the natural environment, whereas the former is coluntary training of the body. If it is voluntary why is it called outer Tabas. Because it perfains mainly to physical objects. Next Inner Tabas. Because the object is mainly to control the mind. These are called inner Tapas.

- (1) Pracaschitta is removing the evil ideas that are born of initial action of worldliness
 - (2) Vinaya is humility towards gurus and masters
- (3) Varyavertya Personal attendance and other kinds of service to Munis who are weary sick or infirm
- (4) Swathjaja is quickening of thoughts by concentation of attention
- (5) Vyutsarga renouncing the belief that this body is mine, is Vyutsarga or is alation of self
- (6) Dhyana calm meditation on the self after controlling the vagaries of thought is D4yana

Meditation on self is shown to be the main condition of Virjara

जो संवरेण जुत्तो अप्पट्टप्रसाधगो हि अप्पाण । मुणिजस भादि णियदं णाण सो संधुणोदि कम्मरयं १४२*

152 Clinging to the ideal of self realisation, being fortified against Karmās through Samiara, the yegi, who truly understands the word of the master, and meditate upon Self—Pure thought with controlled senses, will completely wash off the Karmie dust.

COMMENTARY

The main condition for annihilating harmas is stated here. The person is to be equipped with Samrara or fortification round the

Sanskrit rendering

व सवरेण युक्त श्रारमाधीमसाघको हारमान्। ब्रारमा ध्यायति नियत ब्रामं स संघुनाति वर्मरज्ञ ॥ १५२ ॥

self against Karmas, desirable and undesirable. The springs of Parin ima, Subhi and Asubhi, are to be completely blocked. Instead of diverting ones attention to environmental objects, thought is to be fixed on Self. This reflection upon the Self is to be made secure enough by controlling the senses. If a person under such circum stances meditate upon the Self, according to the instructions from the Masters, he is sure to attain purity of self by completely washing off the Karmuc dust by the flood of Dhyana.

Then the divine glory of the Self will shine without interruption

153

The origin nature and effect of meditation

जस्स ण विज्जिदि रागो दोसो मोहो व जीगपरिकम्मी। तस्स सुहासुहडहणी ज्भाणमञ्जो जायए अगणी।१४३॥*-

153 In the person that has neither desire nor aversion, and that is free from ignorant attachment to sense pleasures and from the activity of thought speech and body, there flames forth the fire of meditation that burns out all Karmas beneficial as well as baneful

COMMENTARY

Ohjana or meditation is thought directed towards the pure self. This is the means of self realisation fignorance that stupifies the faculties of perception and will must be got rid of. There should be neither attachment nor leatred towards the objects of the environment. Then there should be unruffled perce, in thought, speech and body Meditation attended by such circumstances manifests as the fire that desiroys the rubbish heap of Karmas. It is this fire of meditation that

reveals the stirling beauty of pure Self This is Nirjara

Thus ends the Chapter on Nyara
CHAPTER ON BINDHA PIDARTHIS

154

The nature of Bandha or Karmic bondage is described

*Sanskrit_rendering यस्य न विधने रागे। द्वेषो मोहो वा योगपरिकर्म। तस्य जुभागुभद्दना ध्यानमया जायते स्रन्निः ॥ १५३॥

जं सुहमसुहमुदिण्णं भावं रत्तो करेदि जदि अप्पा । सो तेण हवदि वंधो पोग्गलकम्मेण विविहेण ॥१५१॥*

154 When Atma out of desire aversion, and corruption of knowledge and will, experiences affective states pleasant and unpleasant, then because of the very same states the self gets bound by Karmie matter of various kinds

COMMENTARY.

Bandha is of two kinds Bhára Bandha and Dravya Bandha. The former refers to the appropriate psychological conditions that bring about the actual bondage with Karmic molecules. The latter is Dravya Bandha. On account of desire the Åtina experiences happiness or misery. Such emotional states create in the Åtina a disposition poculiarly favourable for the Krinic molecules to settle in This Psychological disposition is the intensic condition of bondage.

155

The two aspects of bondage internal and external are again des

जोगणिमित्तं गहणं जोगो मणवयणकायसंभूदो । भावणिमित्तो वंघो भावो रदिरागदोसमोहजुदो ॥१५

155 Combination of Karmic matter with Jiva is due to Yoga Yoga is the action of mind, speech and body. The opportunity for combination is created by Bhavis or the affective states and such affective states are due to desire, aversion and perverse cognition.

COMMENTARY

Here is described bondage both internal and external, Psychical and physical. The Karmic matter that flows towards the soul is the

*Sanskrit rendering

य द्युमाग्रुभागुर्नीर्णं भाव रक्तः करोति यद्यातमा । स तेन मषति यद्यः पुद्गलकर्मणा विविधेन ॥ १५४॥

Sanskrit rendering

येगानिमित्त प्रदर्ण येगो। मनेग्यचनकायसंभूतः । मावनिमित्तो बन्धो भाषो इतिरागक्षेत्रगोहनमः ॥ १५५॥ manifold Karmic Skandha around the Jiva This attraction of Skandhas is due to yoga, ie the Karma Skandhas get aggregated and intermixed with Jiva because of the activity of yoga, or of mana vachana kaya, mind, speech and body

Bandha then is the state of being so bound by Karmic matter. This Karmic formation around the Jiva is occasioned by the Psychological dispositions known as Bhava Bhava is the emotional state of desire or aversion, or perverse cognition and will All these states are brought about by Vohanisa, that fundamental Karma responsible for spiritual delusion Yoga is the external condition of bondage since it brings about directly the combination of Karmic matter with Jiva But the internal condition is the Bhava or the disposition of the self

156

Again the conditions of bondage from another point of view are described

हेटू चदुव्वियप्पो अहुवियप्पस्स कारणं भणिदं । तेसिं पि य रागादी तेसिमभावे ण वज्भति ॥१५६॥*

156 The eight kind, or Kermas are said to be caused by the four external conditions or Dravya Pratyayas But these conditions themselves are the result of desire, etc., or Bhilva Pratyayas Without these intrinsic conditions there will be no bondage

COMMENTARY

In some Philosophical works of Jamas the eight Karmas are traced to the four causal cooditions Mithyatia, Attrata, Kashaja and Yoga Our author here shows that these four cooditions themselves are the result of the Psychological disposition effected by the emotional states of desire, etc, Hence he insists on recognising these Psychological states as the real and intrinsic condition of bondage. Whereas the above four are only subsidiary and external conditions. Without the ultimate condition of raga there can certainly be no Karmie bondage.

^{*}Sanskrit verdering

The external conditions are called *Dravya Pratyayas* because they are directly associated with *Kármic* matter and the ultimate Psychological conditions are called *Bhava Pratyayas* because they refer to the disposition of *Álma*

The conditions of hondage are enumerated thus in Tattvartha Sutra Chapter VIII, Sutra 1

मिथ्यादर्शनाचिरनिष्रमादक्षपाययोगावन्धहेतव ॥

It is to be noted that five conditions of bondage are enumerated here whereas the Gutha mentions only four. For the fifth and additional condition Praintia is also included in the above mentioned four.

Mithyatta is the opposite of right f th or Scrijak Dirsana. This Mathyatta may manife tin the form of bellevin talse doctrines and disbelief in the true one. Avirata is the opposite of right conduct or Samyakcharitia. This may manifest in an enthusiasm for the veil conduct and a hesitation for righteomes. Kashaja refers to the grosser emotions such as anger, Pride, deceit, etc., and joga refers to the uncontrolled and useless activity of Vana vachana Kaja. These are the four Dravya Pratjajas or external conditions generating the eight Karnas which are—

- (1) Jhanavarann 1
- (2) Darsanavaranıya
- (3) Mohaniya
- (4) Vedanisa
- (5) Antarasa
- (6) Ayuh
- (7) Nama
- (8) And Gotra
- (1) In mavaranty a is the veil round the pure confciousness
- (2) Darsanavaranija is that which corrupts the faculty of perception and also of belief
- (3) Wohanija is a sort of spiritual intoxication interfering with cognition and will
- (4) Vedaniya is the feeling of pleasure and pain

157—58 If the causal condition of Karm'ts disappears in the case of wiseman through the control of senses and thought, then the springs of Karmas get blocked. When the springs of Karmas thus get blocked the Dravya Karmas get repulsed. When the Dravya Karmas completely disappear then the person becomes all-knowing and all perceiving and attains the state of infinite bliss which transcends the sense feeling and which is untouched by the sorrows of life.

COMMENTARY

Through the instrumentality of the five Labdhis a Bhavjatma obtains the three newels. On account of this acquisition he is able to get rid of the four fold condition of harma. Shihvaica Acrata has have and vore, both in heir subjective and objective aspects. When he gets rid of Drawya and Bhasa Pratyayas or harmic adjuncts then he attains the great Samvar or repulsioness to harmas This Sam ara leads to the annihilation of Karmic shackles. Thus ascends the ladder of higher life which leads to destruction of ignorance. This brings on the inner purity Finally through second Suhla Dhyana or the great meditation the Ghatiya Karmas get burnt away Then the self rises to eternal wisdom, eternal perception, infinite bliss and infinite power This is Anautachatus itava, the four infinite qualities This is Bhava Moksha the spiritual freedom. When this Bhara Moksha is attained the inevitable destruction of Jravi v Karmas follows. With the attain ment of Bhave Woksha the person becomes a Ketali worshipped by men and Decas He becomes really Paramatma

159

Then we have the description of *Ohyana* or meditation which is the direct cause of repulsing and eradicating all *Karmās*

दंसणणाणसमग्गं जभाणं णो अण्णद्व्वसंजुत्तं । जायदि णिजजरहेद्र सभावसहिद्स्स साधुस्स ॥१५९॥*

159 The meditation that is completely determined by right belief, right knowledge and right conduct and that is not

*Sanskrit rendering

दर्शनकानसमग्रं ध्यानं ने। अन्यद्रव्यसयुक्तः। जायते निर्जरादेनुः स्वभाषसहितस्य साघो॥ १५६॥

- (5) Autaraya is the frustration of the useful efforts of righteously minded persons
- (6) A juh determines the duration of life that a Jiva has in
 a particular state of existence.
 (7) Nama determines the geographic that a Jiva has in
 the specific characteristics.
 - (7) Nāma determines the geoeric and the specific characteristics of a Jica, i.e. on account of this Nāma Karma a Jiva is horn as a particular organism in a particular Gati.
 - (8) Gotra determines the value of life for example io a homan being. This Karma determines the hirth of a Jira in a higher status or in a lower statos.

These eight Karmás are of various sub-divisions which we need not enumerate in detail. These eight effects, though they are supposed to be due to the above four conditions are ultimately due to Bháva Prajayas or the disposition of the heart. The author wants to emphasize the fact that if the Bhávas or the Psychic dispositions are absent then even if the Dravya Prajayas or the external conditions are present still, there will be no boundage of the eightfold kind; hence the intrinsic condition of bondage is Bhávas or Psychic.

Thus ends the chapter on Bandha Palartha

CHAPTER ON MOKSHA PADARTHA

157

Moksha or emancipation is begun with the description of Bhara Moksha or the inner perfection of the soil. This Bhara Moksha leads to the annihilation of the four Ghatia Karmas. The very same Bhava Moksha agaio is the great Samvara or the absolute obstruction to Karmas.

हेदुमभावे गियमा जायदि णाणिस्स आसवणिरीघो । आसवभावेण विणा जायदि कम्मस्स दु णिरीघो ॥१५०॥# कम्मस्साभावेण य सञ्चण्ह् सर्वलोगदरसी य । पावदि इंदियरहिदं अञ्जावाहं सुहमणंतं ॥१५८॥#

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

हेरवमावे नियमाकायते ब्रानिनः शास्त्रवनिरोधः । भास्त्रयमावेन विना जायते कर्मणुस्तु निरोधः ॥ १५० ॥ कर्मणाममावेन च सर्वेडः सर्वेहोकदर्शी च । भामोतीन्त्रियरहितमध्यावाधं सुक्षमनन्तं ॥ १५८ ॥

157—58 If the causal coudition of Karmás disappears in the case of wiseman through the control of senses and thought, then the springs of Karmás get blocked When the springs of Karmás thus get blocked the Dravja Karmás get repulsed When the Dravja Karmás completely disappear then the person becomes all-knowing and all-perceiving and attains the stite of infinite bliss which transcends the sense feeling and which is untouched by the sorrows of life

COMMENTARY

Through the instrumentality of the five Labdhis a Bhavatnia obtains the three jewels. On account of this acquisition he is able to get rid of the four fold condition of harma, Withyalia, Avirata, Kas haya and yoga, both in their subjective and objective aspects. When he gets rid of Dravya and Bhaca Pratyayas or Larmic adjuncts, then he attains the great Samvara or repulsiveness to Karmis This Samsara leads to the annihilation of Karmic shackles. Thus ascends the ladder of higher life which leads to destruction of ignorance. This brings on the inner purity Finally through second Suhla Dhyana or the great meditation, the Ghatna Karmas get buret away Then the self rises to eternal wisdom, eternal perception, infinite bliss and infinite power This is Anantachatus'itaya, the four infinite qualities This is Bhava Moksha, the spiritual freedom When this Bhara Moksha is attained the meyitable destruction of Jravy v Karmas follows With the attain ment of Bhat I Moksha the person becomes a Kevali worshipped by men and Deras He becomes really Paramatma

159

Then we have the description of Dhyana or meditation which is the direct cause of repulsing and eradicating all Karinas

दंसणणाणसमर्गा जमाणं णौ अण्णद्व्यसंजुत्तं । जायदि णिज्जरहेटू समावसहिदस्स साधुस्स ॥१५९॥*

159 The meditation that is completely determined by right belief, right knowledge and right conduct and that is not

*Sanskrit rendering

दर्शनकानसमग्रं ध्यानं ने। भ्रम्यद्रव्यसंयुक्तं । जायते निर्जरादेतुः स्वभावसहितस्य साघो ॥ १५६॥ related to objects then to the self becomes the cause of *Nirjara* or annihilation of *Karmas* to the *Yogi* that is in the path of self realisation

COMMENTARY

The great meditation on the self is shown to be the condition of complete \(\text{Vigara}\) or the absolute ann hilation of the existing \(Ramma\) In the previous \(Gatha\) Bhava Woksha was described. On account of this \(Bhava\) Woksha the person becomes \(Reval\) with the four infinite qualities equipped with the infinite perception and infinite knowledge. The self no more becomes attached to any other alien ideal. The only object of attraction and adoration is the perfect self consciousness. When meditation thus manifests in self rapture because of self purity and self perception then it leads to the eradication of the remaining \(Ramma\), i.e. it is the causal condition of complete \(Nirgara\)

160

Dravya Moksha or the absolute emancipation is next described

जो संवरेण जुत्तो णिज्जरमाणोप सन्वकम्माणि । ववगदवेदाउस्सो मुयदि भव तेण सी मोक्दो ॥१६०।*

160 When a Jiva being rid of the four Ghatija and being equipped with absolute repulsiveness to Karmas succeeds in eradicating the remaining Karmas, then he becomes freed from Vidanija and 151 and finally from Nama and Gotra This eradication of the latter four Karmas means absolute and complete Woksha or Dravia Moksha

COMMERTARY

This Gatha speaks of Dra ya Woksha or the final and complete emancipation. After attaining Bhaci Woksha mentioned in the previous Gathas Jiia has to obtain absolute emancipation from Karmic or physical conditions for the attainment of Keilajahana is still associated with the bodily condition. The person is merely

Sa iskrit rendering

य सवरेण युनो निर्दारस्य सर्वकर्माणि। व्यपगतवेदायुष्को मुझति भव तन स मोद्य ॥ १ ६०॥

Sajogi Kevali No doubt he got rid of the four Ghâtiya Karmas which lead to the corruption and degeneration of Âtm; Because they injure the soul they are called Ghatiya Karmas These are Janaa Varamiya, Dars mavaramiya Mohamiya and Antaraya But still there are the other four Karmas known as Aghatiya Karmas Vedaniya Ayuh, Vama and Gotra These four also must be annihilated When a Bhara Moksha Jira has the perfect Dhyana then Ved miya and Ayuh first wither away and finally Nama and Gotra also Then the Mukita Jiva becomes Ayogi Ketali or a Siddha Atma has attained its intrinsic spiritual purity and is absolutely free from an Alma of association with the matter. This state is Dravya Moksha

Thus ends Volska Padartha

This is the end of the second Book of the great work dealing with Sapia, Taitia and Nava Padarthas

Next the Resume or the Chultka dealing with Jina the great Victor and the perfect Brahma who is the ideal of Moksha Padartha. This Chultha supplements the ideas already mentioned about heaven and the path. The author indicates here the importance of conduct leading to self realisation. Self and self alone is the goal. Anything other than self as an ideal would lead away the self from the path. All these facts are emphasized in the Chultha.

161

The intrinsic nature of Jita is described thus indicating the path to Moksha

जावसहावं णाणं अप्पडिहददंसण अणण्णमयं । चरियं च तेसु णियदं अत्यित्तमणिंदियं भणियं ॥१५१॥*

161 Unlimited perception and unlimited knowledge are inseparable from the nature of five Permanently associated with that nature, spotless conduct is the path to Moksha because it is the immediate antecedent

^{*}Sanskrit re idering

जीवस्वभाव शानमप्रतिहतदर्शनमनन्यमय । चारित्र च तयोर्नियतमस्तित्यमनिन्दित भणित ॥ १५८ ॥

COMMENTARY

The characteristic of Molsha is described here. Right conduct which is always associated with the intrinsic nature of self is the path to Moksha. The intrinsic nature of Jiva is existence which is inalienable from Jāana and Darsaia. Inalienability implies that the nature of Jiva manifests geoerically and specifically through knowledge and perception. The existence which is associated with such characteristics and which I as the dialectic nature of activity is the intrinsic conduct of self. It is irreproachable because it is not influenced by either desire or aversion. That same conduct is the path to emancipation.

Conduct in Samuer Jiva is of two kinds intrinsic conduct and extrinsic self determined or other determined. Self determined conduct is that which is based upon the intrinsic nature of self. The other determined conduct is that which is due to the influence of non self. The path then is related to self and is un influenced by non-self light is the means to the realisation of self.

162

That Jewel or Ratnatra; a is intercally distinguished as lower and higher the lower aspect is associated with the ideal only indirectly whereas the higher is directly associated with it. One who has the lower jevel is known as Perrasaria; or the self that is determined by the other. But the self which has the higher jewel is absolutely self-cooditioned and is called Scassman.

जीवो सहाविणयदो अणियदगुणपज्जओध परसमओ। जिद कुणदि सगं समय पदमस्तिद कम्मवंधादो ॥१६२॥*

162 The Jiva that is associated with the essential qualities is called Svasamayi or the self determined whereas that which is associated with accidental and unessential qualities and modes is called Parasamayi or the other determined. The Jiva that has the former, ie the essential nature is its ideal is able to get itself freed from Karmir londage.

^{*}Sanskrit rendering जीव स्यमावनियत सनियतगुर्व विकरुते स्वक समय प्रश्नस्वि

COUMENTARY

The Ratnatraya which is self determined has corresponding stages of development to the spiritual evolution of Jiva Abhedadra natraya is certainly Svasamayi, but the early stages of this Ratnatraya when compared to the last stage of perfection would be considered as Parasamayi. It is not even necessary to mention the fact that faith in alien deity, etc., is distinctly Parasamayi, ie when Samyaktva itself in its earlier stage requires to be transcended much more therefore Mithyatra. But a person while still with Karmic bondage may have the thought 'I am Siddha' 'I am Shuddha' ie I am the realised, I am the pure. This contemplation is also called Abhedaratrataya, the pure jewel by cooveotion. Such subtle distinctions in the nature of the path of Self realisation cao only be noderstood by the great Once.

163

Then the duality of the outer path or Parasamaya and also the duality of the other determined or Parasamayi

जो परदृष्विम्म सुहं असुहं रागेण कुणिद जिद भावं। सो सगचरित्तभद्दो परचरियचरा हवदि जीवा ॥१६३॥*

163 That Jita which through desire for outer things experiences pleasurable or painful states loses his hold upon Self and gets bewildered and led by outer things. He bec mes the other determined

COMMENTARY

The author describes here the characteristics of the self that is still determined by the other According to this view even the traditional worship of Jaina orthodoxy would not escape this sweeping condemnation by the author All that would be merely Paracharila conduct determined by the other This other determined conduct must be transcended by one who perceives the ideal of Self

164

Next the author points out that the other determined conduct is only the means to bondage and not to Nirvana

Sanskrit rendering

य परद्रव्ये शुभगगुम रागेण करोति यदि भाव। स स्वकचरित्रवृष्टः परचरितचरी मवति जीव ॥ १६३॥

आसवदि जेण पुण्णं पावं वा अप्पणीघ भावेण । से। तेण परचरिसो हवदित्ति जिणा पद्भवंति ॥१६१॥*

164 On account of the pleasurable or the painful states of the Âtma there may flow in Punya Karma or Papa Karma Such an Âtma on account of such states becomes the other determined. So say the Jinas

COMMENTARY

Conduct that is determined by outer things leads to bondage and blocks the way to liberation, for, the pleasurable mental states is the spring of Punya Karma and the painful mental state of Pápa Karma, i.e. both ment and dement in their subjective and objective aspects lead the soul to Kármia bondage Therefore if a Jita experiences those mental states which form the springs of those Karma then for that very reason his conduct becomes other determined. He therefore hecomes the other determined one

165.

Then the author describes the characteristics of the selfdetermined Jiva or the Purshottama.

जो सञ्वसंगमुद्धो णण्णमणी अप्पणं सहावेण । जाणदि पस्सदि णियदं सा सगचरियं चरदि जीवी ॥१६५॥†

. 165 That Jiva which being free from relations to others, and from alien thoughts through its own intrinsic nature of perception and understanding perceives and knows its own eternal nature to be such, is said to have conduct that is absolutely self-determined.

COMMENTARY.

Here is the description of cooduct that is self determined First there should be no kind of relation to outward things through

Sanskrit rendering

बास्रवित येन पुरुषं पापं वात्मने।ऽच भावेन । स तेन परचरित्र भवतीति जिना प्ररूपयन्ति ॥ १६४ ॥ |Sanskut renderme

यः सर्वसङ्गमुक्तः श्रनम्यमत्ताः बाह्मानं स्वमावेन । जानाति पश्यति नियवं सः स्वकचरितं चरति जीवः॥१६५ affective states On account of this freedom, thought is reflected upon Self. The fundamental faculties of Jiva are perception and understanding. If the self is perceived or intuited and understood to be constituted by perception and knowledge that thought is purely self determined. Tiva that has that thought alone is said to have absolute self realisation.

166

Next the very same Stasamaya is described in a different way

चरियं चरिद सगं सा जो परदव्वप्पभावरिहदप्पा । दंसणणाणवियष्पं अवियष्पं चरिद अप्पादा ॥ १६६ ॥*

166 Whatever Yogundra being entirely free from affective states related to other things and firmly established in its own intrinsic nature, perceives himself to be identical with that which perceives and knows is said to have self-determined activity

COMMENTARY

Thus in order to instruct the disciple both according to Dravjar thika and Paryayarthika Nayas the two paths are described Nischaya Ratinatraja in the real jewel and Vyavahara Ratinatraja of the relative Jewel. The real and the relative are related to nine another as the ideal or end and the means to realise the same. Thus the ultimate goal is the real jewel.

167.

Next is the description of V3 avahara Mokshamarga or the relative path which is the means for the realisation of the ultimate end

धर्मादीसदृहणं सम्मत्तं णाणमंगपुव्वर्गर्दे । चिट्ठा तर्विह चरिया ववहारो मोक्समग्गोत्ति ॥१६७॥†

*Sanskrit rendering चरित चरित स्वक स यः परद्रव्यातमायरिहतातमा । दुर्शनक्षानिवकल्पमिकल्प चरत्यात्मनः॥ १६६॥

Sanskri rendering' धर्मादिश्रद्धान सम्यक्त्यं ज्ञानमञ्जूपूर्वगतं । चेष्टा तपसि चर्चा व्यवदारो मोद्यमार्ग इति ॥ १६७ ॥ १ 'जीयादी सद्दर्ख' येसा पाठ भी घे ।

णिन्चयणयेण भणिदो,तिहि तेहिं समाहिदो हु जो अप्पा। गकुणदि किंचिवि अण्णं णमुयदि से।मोक्समग्गोत्ति९८८%

168 Whatever Atma through the three-fold jewel well-established in itself neither perceives alien things as its own, nor gives up its own intrinsic nature, that Atma is indeed called the absolute path to Salvation

COMMENTARY

Belief, knowledge, and conduct so long as they are based upon other things besides self would only constitute the relative jewel. But if the same three are based upon intuitive nature of self then they would form the real jewel. The former is the means of obtaining the latter.

169

According to the principle that the thing and its qualities are not distinct the Alma is described to be identical with the constitutive elements of perception, knowledge and conduct

जो चरदि णादि पिच्छिदि अप्पाणं अप्पणा अणण्णमयं । सेा चारित्तं साणं दंसणिमिदि णिच्चिदो होदि ॥१६८॥†

169 Whatever perceives its own self-identical nature, knows its own subjective and objective nature, and experiences its own immediate existence, is identical with the very activity of perception, knowledge and conduct

COMMENTARY

167 Belief in the Padarthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief. Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Purvas is Right 1 nowledge. Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Tapas is right conduct. These three from the Viavahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppress on or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPrakritis there may appear to a man perception of and belief in the several Padarthas and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Samyaktva should not be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Moksha our is the iostrument of the right belief.

Argas and Purvas are the parts of Statas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge Vyavahari cally It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge is also distinct from false knowledge possessed by persons of alien faith

Again right conduct from the Vyavahara point of view is life according to the twelve kinds of Tahas. This Tahasic self control agaio cannot be associated with persons who are not cothusiastic about the ideals of self realisation. Thus Vyavahara Ratinatraya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Ratinatraya

[The seven Prakrits the twelve kinds of Tahas have been explained elsewhere and for Argas and Purras the reader is referred to Webers History of Jaina Sacred Literature and to the Outlines of Jainism by J. L. Jaioi]

168

Next the description of Aischaja Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vyavahara Moksha Marga is the means

णिच्चयणयेण भणिदो,तिहि तेहिं समाहिदो हु जो अप्पा। गुकुणुदि किंचिवि अण्णं णमुयदि से।मोक्खमग्गोत्ति१९८*

168 Whatever Âtm through the three-fold jewel wellestablished in itself neither perceives alien things as its own, nor gives up its own intrinsic nature, that Âtma is indeed called the absolute path to Salvation

COMMENTARY

Belief, knowledge, and conduct so long as they are based upon other things besides self would only constitute the relative jewel. But if the same three are based upon intrinsic nature of self then they would form the real jewel. The former is the means of obtaining the latter.

169

According to the principle that the thing and its qualities are not distinct the Atma is de cribed to be identical with the constitutive elements of perception knowledge and conduct

जो चरदि णादि पिच्छदि अप्पाणं अप्पणा अणण्णमयं । से चारित्रं ग्राणं दंसणमिदि णिच्चिदो होदि ॥१६९॥†

169 Whatever perceives its own self-identical nature, knows its own subjective and objective nature, and experiences its own immediate existence, is identical with the very activity of perception, knowledge and conduct

COMMENTARY

Chantra, Jiana and Darsans (conduct, knowledge, and perception) constitute the Atma These are also the path to Aircan't Hence the intrussic nature and function of the Self are the real path, so the real path is nothing but a real Self

निर्चयनयेनमधितिस्रिमिस्तं समाहितः राहु य द्यारमा । न करोति कि विद्ययम्बन् न मुद्धति स मेलमार्ग इति ॥ १६०॥

Sanskrit renderine

यहचरति जानाति पर्यति भातमानमाग्मनानग्यमय। स्र स्वारित्रं ज्ञान वर्शनमिनि निश्चितो मयति ॥ १६८॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

167 Belief in the Padarthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief. Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Phirvas is Right knowledge. Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Papas is right conduct. These three from the Viarahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppression or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPakritis there may appear to a man perception of and belief in the several Padarthas and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Samyaktra should not be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Moksha nor is the instrument of the right belief.

Argas and Purvas are the parts of Srutas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge Vyavahari cally. It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge is also distinct from labse knowledge possessed by persons of alien faith.

Again right conduct from the Vyarahara point of view is life necording to the twelve kinds of Tapas This Tapasic self control again cannot be associated with persons who are not entbusiastic about the ideal 10 self realisation Thus Vyarahara Rainatraya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Rainatraya

[The seven Prakritis the twelve kinds of Tahas have been explained elsewhere and for Argas and Purras the reader is referred to Webers History of Jaina Sacred Literature and to the Onllines of Jainism by J. L. Jaini.]

168

Next the description of Nischaya Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vyavahara Moksha Marga is the means

167. Belief in the Padårthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Pārvis is Right knowledge Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Tapas is right conduct. These three from the Viavahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppression or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPakritis there may appear to a man, perception of and belief in the several Padaritias and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Samyaktva should oot be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Mokkha nor is the instrument of the right belief.

Angas and Purcas are the parts of Sculas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge. Vyavahari cally It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge of is also distinct from false knowledge possessed by persons of alien futh.

Again right conduct from the Vyarahara point of view is life according to the twelve kinds of Tapas. This Tapasic self control again cannot be associated with persons who are not enthusiastic about the ideal sof self realisation. Thus Vyarahara Ratnatraya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Ratnatraya.

[The seven Prakrits, the twelve kinds of Tapas, have been explained elsewhere and for As gas and Purras the reader is referred to Weber's History of Jama Sacred Literature and to the Outlines of Jams by J. L. Jami]

168

Next the description of Nischaya Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vyavahara Moksha Marga, Is the means

दंसगुणाणचरित्ताणि मोवखमग्गोऽति सेविद्वाणि। साष्ट्रहि इदं भणिदं तेहिं दुवंधो व मोवखी वा ॥१७१॥*

171 Since Darsana India, and Charitra constitute the Woksha Marga they are adored by the wise. If they are determined he non self they may lead directly to bondage or indirectly to Moksha. But if they are determined by Self then they may lead to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

Intuition, knowledge and conduct produce effects according as they are determined by the associated things. If they are associated with external things they may get associated with desire and aversion and thus may bring about karmic bondage. But if they are associated with intrinsic and pure nature of the self, then they may directly and immediately bring about liberation. A king for example to cause of his defective instruments political and military may lead to the strengthening of the enemy instead of annihilating him, or for example, ghee which is by nature of soothing effects may when associated with fire aggravate the heat. Similar is the case with the three levels

172

Next the characteristic of Sukshma Parasamaya or the subtle non-self or other determinedness

अण्णाणादी णाणी जदि मण्णदि सुद्वसंपओगादी। हवदित्ति दुवसमोक्सं परसमयरदो हवदि जीवो ॥१०२॥†

127 If an Atmo through ignorance believes that through awe and reverence to Arahanla and others he may attain emancipation from misery then he is only the lover of non-self or Parasanays

170.

The Samsári Jívas do not as a matter of course all attain Moksha only some of them are said to have that privilege.

जेण विजाणदि सन्वं पेच्छिदि सेंा तेण सोवखमणुहवदि। इदि तं जाणदि भविओ अभन्वसत्तो ण सदृहदि॥१९०॥*

170. Because of the fact that the Self perceives and understands all things without limit it also enjoys infinite bliss. This fact that infinite knowledge and infinite bliss are inseparable is understood only by the Bhavya. The Abhavya cannot appreciate that, much less believe in it.

COMMENTARY.

All Samsári Jivas are not fit to obtain the state of self-realisation. Here happiness is the absence of any kind of hindrance to the intringic activity of the self. Perception and understanding are the intringic nature of the self. Hindrance to the nature of the self therefore would be a kind of obstruction to either perception or knowledge. The perfected self is all knowing and all perceiving i.e., has no limit to its nature of perception and understanding. The absence of hindrance naturally manifests in its positive aspect as infinite bliss. The logical consequence of Kevala Jádans is Ananta Sukha. This truth is appreciated and accepted only by the faithful. The unfaithful ones are incapable of appreciating this truth. Therefore the path to salvation is available only to the former. Therefore only some of the Samsari Jivas but not all are fit to walk the path to Mokha.

171.

Darsana, Jāána, and Chāritra when conditioned by other things than Self may in a way fead to boodage. But if they are determined absolutely by the self then they form the direct antecedent of emancipation.

थेन विज्ञानाति सर्वे पर्यति स तेन सीस्यमनुम्यति । इति तन्ज्ञानाति भन्ये(ऽभन्यसस्यो न शक्ते ॥ १७० ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

दंसराणाणचरित्ताणि मोक्खमग्गोऽति सेविद्व्वाणि । साधूहि इदं मणिद् तेहिं दु वंधो व मोक्खेा वा ॥१०१॥*

171 Since Darsana Juâna and Charitra constitute the Mossina Marga they are adored by the wise. If they are determined be non self they may lead directly to bondage or indirectly to Mossina. But if they are determined by Self then they may lead to Mossina.

COMMENTARY

Intuition knowledge and conduct produce effects according as they are determined by the associated things. If they are associated with external things they may get associated with desire and aversion and thus may bring about karmic bondage. But if they are associated with intuinist and pure nature of the self then they may directly and immediately bring about liberation. A king for example by cause of his defective instruments political and military may lead to the strengthening of the enemy instead of annihilating him or for example ghee which is by nature of soothing effects may when associated with fire aggravate the heat. Similar is the case with the three jewels.

172

Next the characteristic of Sukshma Parasamaya or the subtle

अण्णाणादो णाणी जिंद मण्णिद सुद्धसंपञ्जोगादो । हवदित्ति दुक्समोक्सं परसमयरदो हवदि जीवो ॥१०२॥†

127 If an Alma through ignorance believes that through awe and reverence to Arahanla and others he may attain emancipation from misery then he is only the lover of non-self or Parasamayi

*S insbrit rendering

दर्शनशानचारित्राखि मोत्तमार्गे इति सेवितन्यानि। साधूभिरिद् भणित तैस्तु यन्धा वा मोत्तो वा ॥ १७१ ॥

Sauskrit rendering

श्रज्ञानात् ज्ञानी यदि मन्यते शुक्कसम्योगात् । भवतीति द खमेातः परसमयरतो मचति जीव ॥ १७२॥

170

The Samsars Jivas do not as a matter of course all attain Moksha only some of them are said to have that privilege

जेण विजाणदि सव्वं पेच्छिदि सी तेण सीक्खमणुहविद । इदि तं जाणदि भविओ अभव्वसत्तो ण सदृहदि ॥१९०॥*

170 Because of the fact that the Self perceives and understands all things without limit it also enjoys infinite bliss. This fact that infinite knowledge and infinite bliss are inseparable is understood only by the Bhavia. The Abhavia cannot appreciate that, much less believe in it.

COMMENTARY.

All Samsán Jivas are not fit to obtain the state of self-realisation. Here happiness is the absence of any kind of hindrance to the intrinsic nature of the self. Perception and understanding are the intrinsic nature of the self. Hindrance to the nature of the self therefore would be a kind of obstruction to either perception or knowledge. The perfected self is all knowing and all perceiving sen, has no limit to its nature of perception and understanding. The absence of hindrance naturally manifests in its positive aspect as infinite bliss. The logical consequence of Kreula Jiana is Annita Sukha. This truth is appreciated and accepted only by the faithful The unfaithful ones are incapable of appreciating this truth. Therefore the path to salisation is available only to the former. Therefore only some of the Samsari Jivas but not all are fit to walk the path

171.

Darsana, Jāāna and Chāritra when conditioned by other things thao Self may in a way lead to bondage. But if they are determined absolutely by the self then they form the direct antecedent of emancipation.

येन विज्ञानाति सर्वे पर्यति स तेन सीय्यमनुभयति । इति तन्ज्ञानाति भन्ये।ऽमन्यसस्यो न श्रद्धते ॥ १७० ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering =

COMMENTARY

Arahanta and Siddha are free from every kind of perfection and are full of all good qualities. Love and devotion towards these may certainly be desirable but still by themselves they can never bring about kārmus liberation. The hope to obtain salvation only through devotion is Parasamaya. The person who has that hope is Parasamaya; the other determined one. The other determined means to be away from the ideal of self. When love and devotion to the perfect beings cannot take us marer the goal, we need not even mention the utter uselessness of ignorant worship of alien deities with all the samsâric parapharnalia. Thus the author emphasizes the fact that even the love and levotion towards the perfect beings form a subtle kind of obstacle in the way of self realisation.

173

The above mentioned reverence and devotion as they some times lead to boudage do not constitute the path to $Moksh\sigma$

अरहन्तसिद्धचेदियपवयणगणणाणभत्तिसंपण्णो । वंधिट पुण्णं वहुसा ण दुसा कम्मवस्वयं कुणदि॥१०३॥*

173 The person who has reverence and devotion towards *strahanta*, *Siddha*, their images, *Sangha*, and congregations will invariably get bondage with *Punya karma*, hence he can never achieve absolute annibilation of *karma*

COMMENTARY

The author minimises the importance of popular form of religious devotion and worship. The Traditional Jaina religion always emphasizes the value of faith and devotion towards the objects worthy of reverence. But our author views the popular faith from a higher point. From the true philosophical point of view such Bhakit will only lead to Punya karma and its consequent divine bappiness. But Punya karma is still thraidom thoughthe shackles are made of gold and Deva happiness is still Sansari. Therefore

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Larmic annihilation caonot be achieved by the person who has such faith and devotion alone.

174

The main obstacle in the path of self realisation or Scas.imaga is said to be Raga or affection.

ज़स्स हिदयेणुमन्तं वा परदृष्ट्यं हि विज्जदे रागो। सो ए विजासिद समयं समस्स सन्दागमधरो वि ॥१७२॥*

174 If in the heart of a jogu there is an iota of affection towards alien objects (even in an Arahanta) then, though he be well versed in all the Sustras, he would be incapable of appreciating his true Self or Param thma through immediate experience

COMMENTARY

Devotion to external ideals may be useful up to a certain stage of development. The ideal of Vitaraga is distinctly related to self Absolute freedom from attachment is the meaning of Vitaraga Complete absence of ruga is certainly inconsistent with attachment and devotion to Arabanta or Siddha. So for as self is concerned these ideal personalities are indeed external. The right ideal must he to attain perfect Siddha state oneself. This realisation of Siddha state cannot be reached by having attachment to external objects. hence the author emphasizes the importance of effort to transcend even this subtle kind of attraction however worth; the object of the ideal be. self and self alone must he the final and the ultimate ideal of spiritual evolution. To have Bhakte which is a subtle attraction and then to believe that somehow the final good will he Moksha is to forget the importance of self effort towards self realisation. According to the author then the traditional religious reverence, is only a stepping stone for the higher spiritual goal He who shrinks from transcending the traditional orthodoxy is unfit to live in the higher plane. He is infected with the delusion that what is only a means is an end in itself. That what is merely relative That what is of temporary value has eternal value

Sanskrit rendering

175

The author enumerates the train of several evils that may be generated by that little iota of affection, above referred to

धरिदुं जस्स ग् सक्कं चित्तुव्भामं विणादु अप्पाणं । रोधो तस्स ण विज्भादि सुहासुहकदस्स कम्मस्स॥१०५॥*

175 To whomsoever there is no ability to bear the turmoil of the mind apart from and independent of the pure contemplation of self to him there is no possibility of preventing the Karmas born of pleasure and puin

COMMENTARY

There can be no devotion to Arahanta and others apart from an affective state, when there is affection the disturbance of the mind cannot be avoided. With the agitation of the heart the calm of the self is lost and if the calm of the self is disturbed, it is not possible to prevent the appearance of pleasure and pain and there is no escape from byppiness and misery there can be no emancipa tion from Samsara. Affection however little is therefore the cause of this series of undesirable events.

176

One who has the ideal of Moksha must therefore completely eradicate every kind of desire from his heart

तहा णिव्वुदिकामी णिस्संगी गिम्ममीय हविय पुण्णी। सिद्धेसु कुणदि भत्तिं णिव्वाणं तेण पप्पीदि ॥१०६॥*

176 Therefore he who aims at Nirvana must not say "I love this, this is mine. Then he can very well be devoted to his own true self which is Suddha or the perfect one. Through that same self-realisation he will attain Nirvana

*Sanskrit rendering

धतु वस्य न शक्यश्चित्तोद्ग्रामं विनात्वातमानं । रोघस्तस्य न विद्यते शुभाशुभकृतस्य कर्मस्य ॥ १७५ ॥

tSanskrit rendering तस्माशिवुत्तिकामे। निसङ्को निर्ममत्वश्च भूत्वा पुन । सिद्धेपु करोति मक्ति निर्वाणं तेन प्राप्नोति ॥ १७६॥

COMMENTARY

The great soul that understands the truth of the previous causal sequence from desire to turmoil of the miod, and from thence to Aarma, and finally to Samsara, struggles to escape from the attraction of the environment and from the idea of ownership of alien things. When the relation of self to alien things either through attriction or owning is severed then there is no possibility of desire springing up in the heart. No desire means no sprintual discord spiritual hirmony repels karrias, prevention of karmas means disappearance of Samsara. Absence of Samsara certainly implies its opposite Valshir hence the per on that longs for sprintual perfection and karmic emancipation must never say. This I love This is mine.

177

The attitude of norship towards. Arahanta and others though it interferes with the immediate realisation of perfection is not altogether valueless, for it may ultimately lead to Nirvana

सपयत्यं तित्थयरं अभिगद्युद्धिस्स सुत्तरोइस्स। दूरतरं णिव्याणं संजमतवसंपओत्तस्स ॥१००॥*

177 One may understand the true nature of Tirthankara, who is the basis of nine Padarthas One may have interest in and devotion to the Scriptur. One may have self control and penance With all these if one is not capable of realising his own true self, to him Nirvana is bejond reach

COMMENTARY

Eveo though a person is well versed in Agamas and well devotion and worship to objective ideas, he will only secure the happiness of Svarga as the immediate fruit thereof Nirvana or Moksha is very remote and be can obtain that only by a tedious process of spiritual development. The immediate and direct antecedent of Moksha is the realisation of the true sell, worship

Sanskrit rendering

172

of and devotion to any other ideal however worths it may be is only a circuitous path to reach the goal

Again the author emphasizes the fact that worship of Arabata and others cannot be the immediate antecedent Mukti

अरहंतसिद्वचेदियपवयणभत्तो परेण णियमेण । जो कणदि तवी कम्मं से। सुरहोगं समादियदि ॥१७८१॥*

178 The person who has love and reverence towards Arahanti and Siddha, their representations and the divine Word or Agama, however well he is engaged in the best form of Tapas so long as he is incapable of apprehending the true greatness of self on account of attachment to external ideals, will mentably through his efforts enter only the world of the Devas.

COMMENTARY

Whether now or hereafter the direct and immediate condition of Moksha is the apprehension of trueself and not attachment to external ideal. The per on who har not grasped the self through all his efforts as ociated with worship and reverence will only secure the happiness of Devas. This is merely a higher state of Samsara and not Maksha

179

Then the author mentions the direct and immediate path to Moksha, thereby indicating that that is the meaning of the present Work

तहा णिव्वदिकामी रागं सवत्य कुणदि मा किंचि। स्रो तेण वीदरागा भविञा भवसावरं तरिट ॥१०९॥*

*Sanskrit renderir e

श्रष्टीसङ्केत्यमयचनमनः परेल नियमेन । य करोति तप वर्म स सरलेक समाइने ॥ १७० ॥

Sanskrit renderine

तस्मान्निरुचियामा राग सर्वत्र वरोत् मा किञ्चित । स तेन पोतरामी अन्या अवसाम ताति ॥ १७३ ॥

179 Because of this truth that even the subtle attachment to external ideals hinders Nirvâna, let not the person who desires Moksha have any kind of attachment to things, living or non living, desirable or undesirable, then he becomes the Vitarâga, the non attached Thus will be be able to sail across the ocean of Sansara

COMMENTARY

The essential ingredient of the direct and immediate path to Moshia is the state of Vitaraga or non attachment. Attachment to alien persons and things deserving worship is something like burning sandal word. It may smell sweet nevertheles it will burn. The subtle attachment to external ideals may lead to happiness but the very imposess will scorch the soul hence the great person, who longs for freedom from Samsara must rid himself of any kind of altachment, must become a Vitaraga. Then will be be able to conquer the misery of Life and inherit the kinedom of Nirtana.

This is the menning of the above Sutra and the same is the purport of the whole book

Panchastikaya, te it is not only the Sutra Taifarya but also Sastra Taifarya. This time to apprehend the nature of Jineseara the great victor, the all knowing of infinite qualities and the Vita raga or the non attached.

It is called Prabhuita "well filled with the meaning because it treats about the nine Padarlhas and thus describes the nature of the systems of reality. Since it deals with the Dravias or the existences it is also called Dravianiyoga It clearly explains the five Astiklyas the six Dravjas seven Tatteas, and the nine Padarthas examines the nature of bondage and of the bound of liberation and the liberated Lastly in the Chulika or the appendix there is a clear distinction between the absolute path and relative path, and also the indication of the fact that non attachment is the direct and immediate condition of Nirvana Non attachment and perfect peace of the heart is the central doctrine emphasized by an embodied in this Parchastikaya Prabhrita This Vitaragatva or the state of non attachment is available only to that Bhavya or the right believer who appreciates the comparative merits of the two paths, relative and absolute Vyacahara, and Nischaya, but not to one who is incapable of appreciating the various aspects of truth and who chings to only a

particular and fractional aspect as the whole truth, i.e. one who believes in Ekanta aspect of reality is incapable of appreciating the ideal of Vitaraga and the difference between the absolute path and the relative path. He is far away from the goal. The true believer will certainly understand the compatibility and the barmony between the two paths and thus will try to realise the ideal of non attachment or Vitaragava as the immediate condition of Moksha.

oΛ

The author ends the Work indicating his object in this composition

मगगप्पभावणहं पवयणभत्तिप्पचोदिदेश मया । भणियं पवयणसारं पंचत्थियसंगहं सुत्तं ॥१८०॥*

180 For the purpose of explaning the Moksha Marga, this work by the name of Pannastikaja containing the essentials of divine revelation is composed by me as actuated by Bhakit or devotion towards the divine Word

COMMENTARY

The author explains the purpole of his writing this work. The Mokkha Margo or the path is revealed by Jina. But Divine revelation or the SASTRA is elaborate and extensive. In order to exhibit the path to the believers this short summary of the divine WORD is written with the name of Pachustikaya Samgraha.

Thus ends Book II of Pauchastikaya

*Sanskrit rendering

मार्गप्रमावनार्थं प्रयचनमत्तिमचोदितेन मया । भणितं प्रयचनसारं पञ्चात्तिकापसमहं सत्र ॥ १८० ॥